Ecological Assessment Report Birkdale Community Precinct





ENVIRONMENTAL

March 22, 2023



Contact Information	Document Information	
Raptor Environmental	Prepared for	Redland City Council c/- Jacobs
ABN 83 889 622 798	Project Name	Ecological Assessment Report
Level 3/315 Brunswick Street, Fortitude Valley, 4006 Qld Australia	Job Reference	2002_023
www.raptorenvironmental.com.au Phone +61 424 673 114	Version	
Author		
Mary Timms	Effective Date	3122/23
Director and Principal Ecologist	$\circ \bigcirc$	
Reviewer David Francis		
Director – Francisii Ecology		

Version	Effective Ozte	Description of Revision	Prepared by	Reviewed by
1	02/02/2023	Draft for comment	M.Timms	D. Francis
2	22/03/2023	Amended with comments	M.Timms	D. Francis



This declinent is produced by Raptor Environmental solely for the benefit and use by the client in accordance with the terms of the engagement. Raptor Environmental does not and shall not assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party arising out of any use or reliance by any third party on the content of this document.

Our coort by ased on information made available by the client. The validity and comprehensiveness of supplied information has not been independently verified and, for the purposes of this report, it is assumed that the information provided to Raptor Environmental is both complete and accurate. Whilst, to the best of our knowledge, the information contained in this report is accurate at the date of issue, changes may occur to the site conditions, the site context or the applicable planning framework. This report should not be used after any such changes without consulting the provider of the report or a suitably qualified person.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Executive Summary

Raptor Environmental was commissioned by Jacobs on behalf of Redland City Council (RCC) to conduct a detailed ecological assessment and prepare an Ecological Assessment Report (EAR) for the proposed Birkdale Community Precinct. There have been a number of ecological studies associated with the Project. This EAR builds on these studies, provides contemporary site-based assessments, identifies ecological values, quantifies the Project's benefits and impacts, and identifies environmental approval requirements, where they relate to ecology. This EAR will support the Local Government Infrastructure Designation (LGID) application, Operational works applications and *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) Significant Impact Assessment (SIA) and inform the finalisation of the Commonwealth Conservation Agreement, as well as other potential legislative requirements.

The proposed Project is located at 362-388 Old Cleveland Road East, Birkdale. The Project will provide a central community hub for the RCC Local Government Area (LGA). The Project includes the delivery of separate Project components (e.g. White water rafting facility, internal roads, carparks etc).

This EAR identified several matters of national environmental significance (MNES) and matters of state environmental significance (MSES) within the Project Area. The table below provides a summary of ecological values, known and potential impacts and how these elements are considered throughout the design process and will be further considered in the detailed design.

	Value	Considered Impacts	Benefits and Response
MNES	Koala habitat	Minot area of habitat will be cleared.	• The design retains the Conservation Area including 39 ha of habitat that will be managed and restored.
		Potential impact on movement path.	 Internal traffic will be limited to 30km/hr.
×			• Patches of koala habitat and koala habitat trees will be retained to maintain movement and foraging opportunities within the Development Footprint.
	→	Increase in traffic, noise and light within the Project Area.	• The Redland Coast Koala Conservation Plan 2022-2027 includes initiatives relevant to the project including:
			 Koala population monitoring and disease management; Smart signs and driver awareness; and Community engagement.



ENVIRONMENTAL

	Value	Considered Impacts	Benefits and Response
	TEC	Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales South East Queensland TEC, Subtropical Eucalypt Forest on the floodplains TEC and Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh; entirely avoided from direct impacts.	0.94 ha of a vegetation community that does not presently meet the condition thresholds for the Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland TEC will be retained and restored such that it does
		Potential for indirect impacts to occur during detailed design by altering hydrology.	The Stormwater management plan demonstrates a minimal change to the existing hydrological regime of the tidal water way downsteam of the outlet from the wetland area.
MSES	Protected Plants	Protected Plants are unlikely to occur within the Project Area.	Areas of the Development Footprint area mapped within the High-risk area for Protected Plants. A Protected Plant Survey and Report will be required.
	Wildlife habitat	Several breeding places for Special Least Concern, Least Concern colonial breeders and Least Concern species will be impacted	 The design avoids the majority of habitat features within the Development Footprint. A Species Management Program – High Risk of Impacts will be required to be prepared and submitted to the Department of Environment and Science which details impact mitigation measures for impacts to several breeding places. The design incorporates ecologically sensitive lighting throughout the proposed infrastructure within the Development Footprint.
×	Koata habitat	Minor areas of habitat will be cleared in Koala District A.	• The design retains the Conservation Area which will be retained and restored.
	>		 The design retains patches and individual Non-juvenile Koala habitat trees within the Development Footprint.
			 Clearing will be staged and will occur sequentially under the supervision of a Koala spotter.

aptor

ENVIRONMENTAL

	Value	Considered Impacts	Benefits and Response
	Regulated Vegetation	Minor area or regrowth vegetation will be cleared.	 The Conservation Area will be protected through a Property Map of Assessable Vegetation (PMAV) application to amend the vegetation mapping to Category Aarea (i.e. declared offset area). Infrastructure is not proposed in the Conservation Area and the Regulated Vegetation within the Conservation Area and the Regulated Vegetation within the Conservation Area will be retained. The design aveids and minimises clearing of Regulated Vegetation by conselidating infrastructure in the chared areas of the Development Fostprint.
	Marine plants	Minor area of Marine Plants will be disturbed	 The design of the proposed Kayak and canoe launch facility is located in a cleared and eroding section of Tingalpa Creek which will be stabilised and restored. The minor disturbance to Marine Plants will be assessed under State Development Assessment
		4 Ô	Removal, destruction or damage of marine plants supported by a marine plant disturbance plan.
	Tidal waterway	Potential for the Kayak and sance launch facility to impact flows of Tingalpa Creek.	 The detailed design will ensure tidal flows of Tingalpa Creek are maintained and fish movement will be uninhibited.
	SX O	V	
52	\sim		

Raptor ENVIRONMENTAL

ENVIRO

Table of Contents

Executive	∋ Summary	
Glossary	of Acronyms and Abbreviations	
1 Intro	oduction	
1.1 Def	finitions	J 1
1.2 Pro	oject Description and Components	2
1.3 Bao	ckground	2
1.4 Pre	evious Studies	6
1.5 Sco	ope of this Report	7
1.5.1	Caveats	9
2 Met	thodology	10
2.1 Des	sktop Review	10
2.1.1	Reports and databases	10
2.1.2	Desktop mapping	11
2.1.3	Likelihood of Occurrence Assessment	14
2.2 Fie	ld Assessment	14
2.3 Lim	nitations and Climatic Conditions	20
3 Res	sults	25
3.1 Ma	tters of National Environmental Significance	25
3.1.1	Threatened Fauna and Migratory Species	25
3.1.2	Threatened Flora Species	35
3.1.3	Threatened Ecological Communities	35
3.2 Ma	tters of State Environmental Significance	42
3.2.1	Threatened Wildlife	42
3.2.2	Regulated vegetation	54
3.2.3	Manne Plants	58
3.2.4 📏	∕Wetlands	58
3.2.5	Pests and Weeds	58
3.3 Ma	tters of Local Environmental Significance	62
4 Esc	logical Impact Assessment	64
41 PO	entially Degrading Impacts	64
42 Imp	pact Mitigation Measures	66
4.2.1	Design Phase Recommendations	66
4.2.2	Clearing, Construction and Operational Phase Recommendations	67
5 Net	Gain	70
Ecological A Birkdale Cor	ssessment Report mmunity Precinct	vi

aptor

ENVIRONMENTAL

6 Literatu	re Cited	73
Appendix A	Desktop Searches	75
Appendix B	Refined Regional Ecosystem Mapping (Cardno, 2021)	6
Appendix C	Likelihood of Occurrence	
Appendix D	Biocondition Assessment	
Appendix E	Spotlighting Survey Notes	
Appendix F	Habitat Assessments	
Appendix G	Non-juvenile Koala Habitat Tree Survey Data	
Appendix H	Significant Impact Assessments for MNES	
Appendix I	Flora and Fauna Species List	
Appendix J	Wildlife Habitat Management Plan	
Appendix K	Marine Plant Disturbance Plan	
Appendix L	Weed Survey Data	

Figures

-		
Figure 1. The Project Area	<u> () (()</u>	4
Figure 2. Survey methods - transects, plats	and observation points	22
Figure 3. Survey methods – traps and econ	ding devices	23
Figure 4. Survey methods - vegetation asse	essment and mapping	24
Figure 5. Non-juvenile Koala habitat trees w	vithin the Development Footprint	33
Figure 6. Koala habitat characterisation with	nin the Project Area	34
Figure 7. Threatened Ecological Communitie	es within the Project Area	38
Figure 8. Habitat features recorded within th	ne Development Footprint	49
Figure 9. Landscape Context		53
Figure 10. Regulated vegetation within and	surrounding the Project Area	56
Figure 11. Ground-truthed Regional Ecosyst	tems within the Project Area	57
Figure K Weeds recorded within the Devel	lopment Footprint	61

ן	Tables	
$\overline{}$	Table 1. Jummary of Koala habitat assessment criteria (Youngentob, 2021)	. 12
\mathcal{A}	Table 2. Koala habitat value score	. 13
	Table 3. Description of survey methods	. 15
	Table 4. Weather conditions during the field survey component of the study	. 21

r nte ENVIRONMENTAL

Table 5. EPBC-listed fauna species – summarised likelihood of occurrence assessment
results for species 'likely' or 'known' to occur
Table 6. Review of habitat availability for the Greater glider.
Table 7. Estimate of impacts on Koala habitat
Table 8. Regional Ecosystems mapped within the Project Area that correspond with TECs. 35
Table 9. Summary of EPBC Act Significant Impact Assessment
Table 10. NC Act-listed fauna species – summarized likelihood of occurrence assessment results
Table 11. Flora species – summarized likelihood of occurrence assessment results 50
Table 12. Regional Ecosystem descriptions as provided in the REOD
Table 13. Potentially degrading impacts associated with the Project
Table 14. Incorporated design-phase recommendations for the Project. Project. 66
Table 15. Construction and operation-phase recommendations for the Project
Table 16. Ecological values and project benefits

۲ ۲ ۲



Glossary of Acronyms and Abbreviations

ALA	Atlas of Living Australia
AS	Australian Standard
С	Least Concern
CEEVNT	Critically Endangered, Endangered, Vulnerable, Near Threatened
CR	Critically Endangered
DAMS	Development Assessment Mapping System
DCCEEW	Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water
DES	Department of Environment and Science
DoR	Department of Resources
E	Endangered
EAR	Ecological Assessment Report
CEMP	Construction Environment Management Plan
EPBC Act	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999
ESD	Ecologically Sustainable Development
ha	hectares
HAT	Highest Astronomical Tide
HES	High ecological significance
km	kilometre
LGA	Local Government Area
LGID	Local Government Infrastructure Designation
LIKT	Locally important koala ree
m	metre
Ма	Marine
Mi	Migratory
MLES	Matters of Local Environmental Significance
MNES	Matters of Mational Environmental Significance
MSES	Matters of State Environmental Significance
NC Act	Nature Conservation Act 1992
NJKHT	Non-juvenile koala habitat tree
OEMP 🔇	Operational Management Plan
PMST	Protected Matters Search Tool
RCC	Redlands City Council
RE	Regional Ecosystem
SARA	State Assessment and Referral Agency
SDAP	State Development Assessment Provision
OSL ()	Special Least Concern
SMR	Species Management Programs
\$PP	State Planning Policy
SUR	Scientific Users permit
TÉC	Threatened Ecological Community
V	Vulnerable
VMA	Vegetation Management Act 1999

ENVIRONMENTAL

1 Introduction

Raptor Environmental was commissioned by Jacobs on behalf of Redland City Council (RCC) to conduct a detailed ecological assessment and prepare an Ecological Assessment Report (EAR) for the proposed Birkdale Community Precinct. To date, there have been several ecological studies associated with the Project. The current EAR builds of these studies, provides contemporary site-based field assessments, identified ecological values, quantifies benefits and impacts of the Project, and identifies environmental approval requirements (where they relate to ecological matters).

The Project is located at 362-388 Old Cleveland Road East, Birkdale (**Figure 1**). The locations of the Project Area and Birkdale Community Precinct Master Plan are shown in detail the Draft Birkdale Community Precinct Master Plan, provided as **Plate 1**.

Specifically, the Project Area incorporates 62 hectares (ha) is described as the following land parcels:

- Lot 1 on RP14143,
- Lot 2 on RP14144,
- Lot 2 on SP146445, and
- Lot 2 on RP211270.

Pursuant to the *Redland City Plan 2018* (Version 7), the land parcels within the Project Area are zoned as follows:

- Lot 1 on RP14143 and Lot 2 on RP14144 'Community Facilities' and 'Conservation' zones;
- Lot 1 on SP146445 Community Facilities' zone; and
- Lot 2 on RP211270 Dow Density Residential' zone.

The Project Area is also zoned with the Regional Landscape and Rural Production Area pursuant to the *Southeast Queensland Regional Plan 2017.*

1.1 Definitions

Definitions for terminology used to describe the Project components include:

Project – A spects of the Birkdale Community Precinct.

Project Area – the total area comprising Lot 1 on RP14143, Lot 2 on RP14144, Lot 2 on SP146445 and Lot 2 on RP211270 (i.e. 62 ha encompassing the Development Footprint and Conservation Area as shown in **Figure 1**).

Development Footprint – comprises 23 ha as shown in **Plate 1** and **Figure 1** illustrating the proposed project elements that are described in **Section 1.2**.

Conservation Area – comprises 39 ha and is shown in **Figure 1** and will be retained and protected.

ENVIRONMENTAL

1.2 Project Description and Components

The Project will provide a central community hub for the RCC Local Government Area (LGA). The proposed Redlands Whitewater Centre will be a host venue for the Brisbane 2023 Olympics and Paralympics Games. The Draft Birkdale Community Precinct Master Plan (Redland City Council, 2022) states that, *"its collective wealth is measured by its dedicated conservation and celebration habitat, culture and heritage, by its enhancement of today's social values, and the strong legacy outcomes of it being a home for active and passive recreation, education and technology diversity."*

The Project will result in the creation of seven 'hubs' within the Development Footprint, including:

- Cultural hub;
- Willards Farm (food) hub;
- Innovation hub;
- Entertainment hub;
- Communications hub;
- Recreation and adventure sports hub and
- Conservation hub.

The locations of the separate hubs for the Project are shown on the Pre- 2023 Master Plan in **Plate 1**, below.

1.3 Background

The Project Area is located in the suburb of Birkdale, Queensland. It is situated to the northeast of Tingalpa Creek (artical waterway) and encompasses an area of 62 ha. The Project Area (excluding Lot 2 on RP211270) was purchased by RCC from the Commonwealth in 2019 As part of the conditions of sale, the Commonwealth entered into a Conservation Agreement with RCC for the land described as Lot 1 on RP14143, Lot 2 on RP14144 and Lot 2 on SP140445.

The Development Footprint encompasses approximately 23 ha in the centre of the Project Area. The remaining areas form the Conservation Area that is the subject of the Conservation Agreement with the Commonwealth. While the Conservation Area supports an expanse of remnant and regrowth vegetation, the Development Footprint has been historically modified and primarily supports exotic grassland and scattered juvenile trees. The Project Area supports heritage assets including the former World War 2 radio receiving station and Willard's Farm (Redland City Council, 2022).

The Conservation Agreement specifies clauses requiring the protection and conservation of species and threatened ecological communities (TECs) listed under the *Environment* **Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999** (EPBC Act) within the Conservation Area.

The objectives of the Conservation Agreement are for the long-term protection and conservation of protected matters found on the land, including:

ENVIRONMENTAL

- Koala and Koala habitat present in the Conservation Area, to improve and maintain the viability of the Koala in the local area and promote and enhance the survival and conservation status of the species;
- 2. Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh ecological community in the Conservation Area, to improve and maintain the viability of the ecological community; and
- 3. Other ecological values within the Conservation Area, including ecological communities or habitat for other EPBC Act listed species as identified and may be identified in the future.

Ecological Assessment Report Birkdale Community Precinct



Figure 1. The Project Area.



Plate 1. Birkdale Community Precinct Master Plan (Pre-2032).

ENVIRONMENTAL

1.4 Previous Studies

Four phases of ecological and environmental assessments have been completed for the Project, as follows:

- Phase 1 Initial pre-purchase land investigation:
 - Environmental Investigation Land in Birkdale, Capalaba prepared to ERM (dated 2018).
- Phase 2 Ecological assessments, management plans and Koala studies to ensure compliance with the Conservation Agreement:
 - Ecological Assessment Report and Environmental Management Plan prepared by Cardno (dated 18 June, 2020).
 - Regional Ecosystem Review prepared by Cardno (dated 23 September 2021).
 - Environmental Early Works and Social Land Management Plan prepared by Cardno (dated 23 November 2021).
 - Koala Habitat Assessment and Recommendations prepared by Biolink (dated October, 2021).
 - Koala Survey Report prepared by the University of the Sunshine Coast (dated June, 2021).
- Phase 3 Review of Environmental Approvals, Conservation Agreement and MNES:
 - Environmental and Approvals Considerations, Birkdale Community Precinct Master Plan prepared by ERM (June, 2022).
 - Draft Conservation Agreement Review prepared by ERM (dated 2 August 2022).
 - Draft Threatened Species and Threatened Ecological Communities Review prepared by ERM (dated 2 August 2022).
- Phase 4 Supporting documentation for the LGID and finalization of the Conservation Agreement including:
 - DAR (this report) prepared by Raptor Environmental (dated 20 March 2023) to support and inform environmental approvals and refine the detailed design at a fine scale.

EPBC Act Significant Impact Assessment prepared by Raptor Environmental (dated 20 March 2023).

Marine Plant Disturbance Plan prepared by Raptor Environmental (dated 28 February 2023).

Wildlife Habitat Management Plan prepared by Raptor Environmental (dated 22 March 2023).

ENVIRONMENTAL

1.5 Scope of this Report

This EAR incorporates a contemporary assessment of ecological values within the Development Footprint, as well as the outcomes of targeted studies in accordance with the recommendations made in previous reports (listed above). This EAR focuses in detail on the Development Footprint, although parts of the study also incorporate areas within the Conservation Area.

Specifically, the scope of this EAR includes the following items.

- The methodologies and outcomes of a detailed fauna assessment,
- Assessment of general ecological values in the open grassland area (i.e. the Development Footprint);
- Collection of information to support a Vegetation Management Plan;
- Evaluation of Koala habitat connectivity within the Project Area;
- Consideration of MNES pursuant to the EPBC Act (including recent listing changes), including:
 - The Endangered Koala combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (*Phascolarctos cinereus*).
 - The Endangered Greater glider southern and central (*Petauroides volans*).
 - The Endangered Coastal Swamp Oak (*Casuarina glauca*) Forest of New South Wales and South Fast Queensland TEC.
 - The Endangered Subtropical eucalypt floodplain forest and woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland Bioregion TEC.
 - The Vulnerable Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh TEC.
 - The Vulnerable South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorhynchus lathami lathami).
 - The Vulnerable Marine and Migratory White-throated needletail (*Hirundapus* caudacutus).
 - o the Vulnerable Grey-headed flying-fox (*Pteropus poliocephalus*).
 - The Marine and Migratory Rufous fantail (Rhipidura rufifrons).

Marine and Migratory Satin flycatcher (Myiagra cyanoleuca).

Consideration of updated listings of MSES, including:

Up pur o Up

Updates to the listings of the Koala and Greater glider (Southern and central) pursuant to the *Nature Conservation (Animals) Regulation 2020.*

Updates to the Vegetation Management Act 1999 Vegetation Management
 Map, which now incorporates Category C – High Value Regrowth vegetation.

ENVIRONMENTAL

• Consideration of MLES, including *Redland City Council Planning Scheme Environmental Significance Planning Scheme Policy* list of Locally significant species.

This EAR will support the Local Government Infrastructure Designation (LGID) application under the *Planning Act 2016.* As such, assessment of Matters of State Environmental Significance (MSES) will likely be relevant to this designation.

Ecological Assessment Report Birkdale Community Precinct



1.5.1 Caveats

The scope of this EAR does not extend to the provision of a Vegetation Management Plan (although this EAR will identify restoration principles and approaches that may be integrated into a broader Vegetation Management Plan for the Project). This EAR also does not incorporate the results of a Protected Flora Survey conducted in accordance with the Flore Survey Guidelines (2020) pursuant to the *Nature Conservation (Plants) Regulation 2020,* given the time-frame requirements for such a survey (i.e. the survey must be conducted 12 months before the commencement of clearing activities).

ENVIRONMENTAL

2 Methodology

2.1 Desktop Review

2.1.1 Reports and databases

To inform the requirements of the field survey, a desktop assessment was undertaken to review contemporary and relevant database and mapping resources relating to flora and fauna, ecological communities, waterways and other MNES, MSES and Matters of Local Environmental Significance (MLES) likely to occur within and in proximity to the Project Area. The outcomes sought by the desktop assessment were to:

- review amendments to legislation since the preparation of the previous Ecological Assessment Report (Cardno, 2020);
- refine a list of threatened species to be targeted by the fauna and flora survey;
- guide the level of detail required during the field assessment.

In addition to review of current aerial photography, the following key Commonwealth, State and Local government desktop databases and mapping resources reviewed are listed below. Applicable outputs from these searches have been presented in **Appendix A**.

- MNES
 - Commonwealth Department of Ctimate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW's) EPBC Act Protected Matters Search Tool (PMST);
 - Atlas of Living Australia (insotar as MNES species are concerned); and
 - National flying-fox monitoring map prepared by DCCEEW.
- MSES

0

MLES

- MSES mapping as shown on the Development Assessment Mapping System (DAMS) and the State Planning Policy (SPP) interactive mapping system;
- Regulated Vegetation Management Map prepared by the Department of Responses (DoR) and pursuant to the Vegetation Management Act 1999;
- Koala Habitat Map prepared by the Department of Environment and Science (DES);

Protected Plants Flora Survey Trigger Map prepared by DES;

Wildlife online database prepared by DES;

Atlas of Living Australia (insofar as MSES species are concerned); and

The Queensland Wetland Environmental Values Map under the Environmental Protection (Wetland and Water Biodiversity) Policy 2019.

• *Redland City Plan 2018* online mapping tool and relevant overlays.

Mapping searches were centred on coordinates that represented the centre of the Project Area (-27.5097, 153.2014), or a centrally located Lot/Plan (i.e. Lot 1 on RP14143) as relevant. A three-kilometre buffer was applied for each desktop search where relevant.

2.1.2 Desktop mapping

2.1.2.1 Koala Habitat Characterisation

The Commonwealth provides guidance on the assessment of Koala habital (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, 2022) and Raptor Environmental has adopted the methodology described in the recent publication by the Australian National University (Youngentob, 2021) including:

- Summary of Koala presence and abundance within the Project Area and broader Birkdale area.
 - A summary of Koala presence in the Project freating collated based on the existing information provided in previous studies (Cardno, 2020; BioLink, 2021; University of the Sunshine Coast, 2021)
- An overview of habitat extent and conrectivity
- Koala habitat characterisation in accordance with **Table 1**, which indicates that the following attributes are valuable when dentifying Koala habitat:
 - Locally important koala tree (IKT)
 - Ancillary habitat trees; and
 - o Open ground.

Using combinations of these attributes, yoala habitat within the Project Area was assigned to three separate Koala habitat categories as per **Table 2**. While delineation of areas was a desktop exercise, it was also supported by field data.

The assessment of Koala habitat included mapping individual and patches of non-juvenile koala habitat trees (NJKHTs) as defined under the Queensland *Environmental Offsets Regulation 2014*. Mapping of Koala habitat categories within the Project Area relied, in part, upon the ground-truthed Regional Ecosystem mapping presented in the Regional Ecosystem Review (Carono, 2021) (**Appendix B**). This information was supplemented by transects completed by Raptor Environmental in 2022 in remnant and regrowth regional ecosystem. Transects utilised a 50 x 20 m plot and to quantify density of NJKHTs (refer to **Figure 2**).



ntor ENVIRONMENTAL

Section of report	Habitat assessment criteria	Applicable attributes
3.2	Locally important Koala tree	Applicable in
	The document states "The combination of koala occurrence and LIKT provides a strong indication that an area is koala habitat. However, it is important to recognise that the absence of koalas does not mean that an area with LIKT is not potential koala habitat."	
3.2	Ancillary habitat	Applicable in
	The document states "In some areas, the availability of certain tree species and other vegetation types not commonly recognised as important food may still be essential for koala survival due to the shelter or other resources they provide"	assessing koala habitat
7.1	Soil fertility	Not applicable
	The document states "…as a general rule, soil fertility should not be used as an indicator of koala habitat or koala habitat quakty"	
7.2	Tree size and age class	Not applicable
	The document states "The published literature does not include data that can be used to identify specific tree size thresholds that would be consistent across the range of the koala"	
7.3	Primary and secondary food tree species	Not applicable
	The document states "Methods of habitat assessment that rank the importance of particular evcalvot tree species as 'primary' or 'secondary' should be used with caution and awareness of limitations"	
7.4	Proportion of preferred food trees in a landscape (primary and secondary habitat)	Not applicable
	The document states "If secondary habitat can support a koala population, even at a lower density, it should not automatically receive lower priority for conservation than an area of primary habitat"	
7.5	Teorspecies diversity	Not applicable
Ś	The document states "Tree species diversity should not be considered a prequirement for koala habitat unless it has been robustly demonstrated that it is important for koala populations in a specific area"	
7.6	Remnant vegetation and non-remnant vegetation	Not applicable
	The document states "Non-remnant vegetation should be given the same consideration as remnant vegetation when determining whether it is koala habitat and its potential utility to koalas should not be downgraded simply on the basis of whether the vegetation has regrown or has never been disturbed."	
4	Open ground	Applicable in assessing koala habitat

Table 1. Sum	mary of Koala	habitat assessment	criteria	(Youngentob,	2021).
--------------	---------------	--------------------	----------	--------------	--------

ENVIRONMENTAL

Section of report	Habitat assessment criteria	Applicable attributes
	The document states "the ground itself forms an essential component of koala habitat"	

Table 2. Koala habitat value score.

	Based on ANU Report (Youngentob et al., 2021)			
Habitat Category	Locally important koala tree (LIKT)	Ancillary kvala habitat tree		
A	LIKT trees dominate the vegetation community	Ansillary keala habitat trees in scattered in the vegetation community		
В	LIKT scattered	Ancillary koala habitat trees dominate the vegetation community		
С	Areas cleared, do not support blk isolated LIKT or	(T or ancillary trees <u>OR</u> support ancillary trees		

2.1.2.2 Threatened Ecological Communities and Regional Ecosystems

Previous ecological assessments for the project included a Regional Ecosystem Review (Cardno, 2021). The review refined land zone boundaries and identified additional regional ecosystems (REs) not currently mapped. Underlying land zones and vegetation assemblages are used to identify REs and assist in identifying potential TECs. This study assisted the desktop identification of potential TECs which correspond with alluvial river and creek flats (i.e. landzone 3).

The vegetation community assessment sought to build upon the refined Regional Ecosystem (RE) mapping undertaken by Cardno (2021). In addition, high-resolution contemporary aerial imagery was also reviewed to take recent vegetation changes within the Development Footprint into account (i.e. removal of weeds). Where deviations from the refined RE mapping were identified during the field assessment, updated RE and TEC boundaries were mapped.

2.1.2.3 Habitat Connectivity

Habitat connectivity across the landscape incorporating factors such as barriers to local dispersal land use and effective connection elements in the environment were reviewed. Mapping of existing fauna movement barriers, including fencing, surrounding roads was completed to gain insight into the current fauna movement opportunities and limitations within the broader landscape.

ENVIRONMENTAL

2.1.3 Likelihood of Occurrence Assessment

Following review of relevant desktop resources, a likelihood of occurrence assessment was undertaken for species listed under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992* (NC Act) and EPBC Act that:

- Have verified occurrence records within 3km of the Project Area according to the Queensland Wildnet online database;
- Are considered 'known' to occur within 3km of the Project Area according to the EPBC Act PMST.

To assess the likelihood of occurrence for these species, the habitat requirements for each species was reviewed and compared against the habitat types present within the Project Area. The likelihood of occurrence status for each species was determined as follows:

- Species which have not been previously recorded and have strict habitat requirements that are not met by the habitat types within the Project Area were classified as '**unlikely**'.
- Species which have not previously been recorded within the Project Area or immediate surrounds (but have been recorded within at least 3km of the Project Area) and have habitat requirements that are broadly similar with the habitat types present within the Project Area were classified as **possible**'.
- Species which have previously been recorded within the Project Area or immediate surrounds and have habitat requirements consistent with the habitat types present within the Project Area were classified as 'likely'.
- Species which have previously been recorded within the Project Area or immediate surrounds within recent years (i.e. within the last 30 years) were classified as 'known'.

The results of the likelihood of occurrence assessment are provided in Appendix C.

2.2 Field Assessment

The field assessment component undertaken for this EAR sought to build upon previous assessments (i.e. Cardno, 2020; BioLink, 2021; University of the Sunshine Coast, 2021) which surveyed the entire Conservation Area or focused on a particular species within the Project Area (i.e. Koala). As such, the field assessments undertaken for this EAR focused on accumulating finer-scale information for all matters of environmental significance and associated habitat that may occur within the Development Footprint and immediate surrounds (within the Conservation Area).

The field assessment involved seven days of survey conducted from the 8th of September to the 18th of September 2022, 3rd March and 24th March 2023. All assessments were undertaken in accordance with a current Animal Ethics permit (license number: CA 2022/01/1581) and Scientific Users permit (registration number: SUR001662).

The field assessment involved nocturnal and diurnal survey methodologies. Surveys were undertaken in accordance with the following guidelines.

ENVIRONMENTAL

- *Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Survey Guidelines for Queensland* (Eyre *et al.*, 2022a).
- Survey Guidelines for Australia's Threatened Mammals (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, 2011).
- Biocondition Assessment Manual (Eyre et al., 2015).
- A review of koala habitat assessment criteria and methods (Youngentob, 2021).
- Guide to greater glider habitat in Queensland (Eyre et al., 2022b)
- Redland City Plan Planning Scheme Policy 1 Environmental Significance.

Locations where various survey methods were undertaken are shown) in the following figures:

- Figure 2 Transects, plots and observation points
- Figure 3 Traps and detectors.
- Figure 4 Vegetation assessment and mapping

Detailed descriptions of the survey methodologies undertaken are provided in Table 3.

Table 3. Description of survey methods

Survey Technique	Field assessment
Flora and Th	reatened Ecological Communities
Vegetation communities	Mapping of broadvegetation communities and Koala habitat characterisation within the Project Area. This mapping was supplemented with Koala habitat tree transects completed in remnant and regrowth regional ecosystems (REs) supporting Koala habitat
	Potential TECs were assessed in accordance with the Queensland Government's <i>BioCondition Assessment Manual</i> (Eyre et al., 2015). BioCondition is a condition assessment tranework for Queensland that provides a measure of how well a terrestrial ecosystem is functioning for biodiversity values and includes the quantification of native understorey. This approach was used to assist in determining TECs as per the Conservation Advices for the following TECs:
Threatened Ecological Communities	 Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland bioregions (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2022c)
	 Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland (Department of the Environment and Energy, 2018).
	BioCondition assessments are repeatable and quantifiable method of assessing non-native vegetation cover in the understorey and large trees. The location of the BioCondition plots assessed for the Project are shown on Figure 2 and is presented in Appendix D .
Flora inventory	A list of the native flora species encountered was compiled during random meanders undertaken within the Development Footprint; however it should be noted that the survey methodology adopted for this study was not on accordance with the Flora Survey Guidelines (2020) pursuant to the <i>Nature Conservation (Plants) Regulation 2020</i> , given the

Raptor

ENVIRONMENTAL

Survey Technique	Field assessment
	time-frame requirements for such a survey and proposed project staging (i.e. the surver must be conducted 12 months before the commencement of clearing activities).
Marine Plant Survey	A marine plant survey was undertaken of the proposed Kayak and canoe launch facility. The survey assessed areas on tidal land (i.e. ≤ Highest Astronomical Tide (HAT)) and areas supporting marine plants as defined under the <i>Fisheries Act 1994</i> and the Fish Habita and Management Operational Policy (FHMOP 001) (Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, 2007).
Weeds	A list of the exotic and/or invasive flora species encountered was compiled during random meanders undertaken within the Development Footprint. This incluted mapping the broad locations of restricted weeds listed under the <i>Biosecurity Apt</i> 2014.
Fauna	
Site selection	 Surveys were undertaken at multiple sites within the Development Footprint and immediate surrounds during the Spring survey period. The locations of the survey sites were determined following consideration of areas which were representative of vegetation communities potentially directly impacted by the Project and/or occurring at the direct interface of the Development Footprint and Conservations Area including: Dry sclerophyll forest in regrowth vegetation on land zone 3; Dry sclerophyll forest at the junction of the secondary access roads in advanced regrowth vegetation on land zone 5; and Exotic grassland with scattered native trees and fringing young regrowth vegetation. The location of the fauna survey sites has been illustrated in Figure 3.
Active diurnal searches	Active diurnal searches were undertaken in accordance with Section 8.3 of the <i>Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Survey Gudelines for Queensland</i> (Eyre et al., 2022a). Four active diurnal searches were completed throughout the survey period (i.e. one per day). Each diurnal search was undertaken by two ecologists for a 30-minute period (i.e. 60 personminutes for four days) during mid and early morning. Searches were undertaken over a 50 x 50 m plot area within the three survey sites. The diurnal searches targeted identification of bird and herpetofauna species. Search techniques for herpetofauna included turning over of woody debris, tacks and leaf litter beneath trees, as well as looking beneath peeling bark for reptiles.
Small-mammal trapping	Small mammal trapping was undertaken in accordance with Section 8.4 of the <i>Terrestrial</i> Vertestate <i>Fauna Survey Guidelines for Queensland</i> (Eyre et al., 2022). The survey methodology utilised for this project involved the designation of three separate 'survey sites' (i.e. survey site 1, survey site 2 and survey site 3). Survey sites 1 and 2 were located to the south and north of the Development Footprint, respectively and survey site 3 was located centrally within the Development Footprint. A total of 20 Elliot traps were deployed at each survey site for four nights (i.e. a total of 240 trap-nights). The locations of Elliot traps are shown on Figure 3 . Traps were baited with universal bait mixture (i.e. a combination of rolled oats, peanut butter, honey and vanilla essence). Traps were positioned and covered to reduce possibility of exposure of trapped animals. Traps were opened before dusk and checked each morning shortly after dawn (Plate 2) after which they were closed for the day. Two traps at Site 3 (Elliot traps I and M) were installed on the trunk of mature trees with the aim of trapping small arboreal mammals including gliders (Plate 3).

Raptor

ENVIRONMENTAL

Survey Technique	Field assessment
Pitfall trapping	Pitfall traps were installed at survey sites 1 and 2 as per Section 8.1 of the <i>Terrestrial</i> <i>Vertebrate Fauna Survey Guidelines for Queensland</i> (Eyre et al., 2022) (Figure 3) Pitfall traps were not installed at survey site 3, due to the lack of understorey habitat owing to regular slashing of the Development Footprint. Pitfall traps target small, ground twelling mammals, reptiles and amphibians. The technique utilised four 20 litre buckets connected by a drift fence using a 'T' configuration (refer to Plates 4 and 5 below). Each bucket/was furnished with a dampened sponge, floatable material (i.e. a cork) and leaf litter. Traps were checked at dawn, before midday, at dusk and opportunistically throughout the day. Buckets were closed for one day owing to excessive rain.
Spotlight searches and stag watching	Spotlight searches were undertaken in accordance with Section 8.10 of the Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Survey Guidelines for Queensland (Eyre et al. 2022a) and the Survey Guidelines for Australia's Threatened Mammals (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (2011). Spotlight searches were taken in proximity to survey sites 1 and 2 (in areas supporting high-quality arboreal mammal habitat including habitat suitable for <i>Petauroides volans</i> (Greater glider (Sothern and central)), as well as throughout the balance of the Development Footprint Spotlighting searches were undertaken over four nights by two ecologists for a 2. Shr period (i.e. average 4 person hours for four nights). It is noted that the <i>Survey Guidelines for Australia's Threatened Mammals</i> (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (2011) recommends a method of 2 x 200m transects per 5 ha, however the Spotlight searches completed were covered a greater distance and longer time-frame than the guidelines survey method. Each spotlight survey involved the observers meandering slowly through each survey site (including the extent of the Development Footprint) following call-playback activities. Spotlight searches were traused in a mixture of sparsely and densely vegetated areas (Appendix E). Prior to spotlighting searches at dusk, two stag watching searches were completed (i.e. 9 and 10 September 2022) at the locations shown in Figure 2 . Stag watching involved direct observation of nocturnal wildlife emerging from tree hollows at dusk. This technique is effective for the detection of possums and gliders and significant habitat trees containing hollow-bearing limbs were targeted for stag watching. The areas surveyed during spotlight searches are shown on Figure 2 .
Call playback	 Call playback was undertakely in accordance with Section 8.9 of the <i>Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Survey Guidelines for Queensland</i> (Eyre et al., 2022a) using a megaphone to amplify calls. Call playback was completed over four nights, in five key habitat locations (including survey sites 1 and 2) as well as at the centre of the Development Footprint. Call playback surveys targeted multiple fauna groups, including birds, amphibians and mammals, with particular emphases on this species deemed 'likely' to occur by the Project's likelihood of occurrence assessment. Specific species targeted were: Koala (<i>Phascolarctos cinereus</i>); Sugar glider (<i>Petaurus breviceps</i>) Squirrel glider (<i>Petaurus norfolcensis</i>); Powerful owl (<i>Ninox strenua</i>) and
	• Wallum sedge frog (<i>Litoria olongburensis</i>).
	The locations where call playback was undertaken are shown on Figure 2 .
Camera trapping	Camera trapping was undertaken in accordance with Section 9.13 of the <i>Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Survey Guidelines for Queensland</i> (Eyre et al., 2022a). Three passive infrared sensor camera traps were left deployed for a period of one week (refer to specifications below and Plate 6). Camera traps were positioned at survey sites 1, 2 and 3. Camera traps were baited with a meat (i.e. sardines). The locations of camera traps are shown on Figure 3 .

Raptor

ENVIRONMENTAL

Survey Technique	Field asse	ssment				
	Three camera positioned alo a third was pla	traps were deployed ng the northern and s aced centrally within t	l for a period of on southern boundarie he Development F	e week. Two camera es of the Developmen ootprint within survey	traps were at Footprint, while a sites 1 /2 and 3-	
	Camera trap s					
	Camera trap	Make	Model	Installation	Aspeci (facing)	
	1	Reconyx HF2X	Hyperfire 2	Installed on a tree at 0.6 m	North	
	2	Browning Patriot	BTC- PATRIOT- FHD	Installed on a tree at 0.6 m	South	
	3	Swan	Outback-Cam-	Installed on a tree at 8.6 m	Southwest	
Microbat Echolocation Call Detection	Microbat Echolocation Call Detection Microbat Echolocation call detection was undertaken in accordance with Section 8.11 <i>Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Survey Guidednes for Queensland</i> (Eyre et al., 2022a). The methodology recommends setting of one bad detector on the centre of the generic survey site to record continuously for a minimum of three hights (dusk until dawn) during each survey period. One Chorus wildlife recorder was deployed for four nights to the north of Development Footprint, areas along the proposed secondary access road which is a northor corridor overtopped by canopy trees. Additionally, analysis of bat activity was completed over a single night using a handhel Echo meter Touch Pro 2 within the Development Footprint. Additional microbat survey methodologies were not utilised as there were no threatened microbat species that we considered 'likely' to occur within the Project Area by the likelihood of occurrence assessment (noting that some threatened microbat species' calls cannot be distinguislus using echolocation, call detection, such as <i>Nyctophilus</i> spp.). The location where the C					
	A detailed hat F). The habita trees, termitar identification a	tat assessment was tassessment involve ia, hests, burrows an ind mapping of hollow	completed within d mapping of habi d fallen logs. The l vs suitable for:	the Development Foc tat features, such as nabitat assessment ir	otprint (Appendix hollow-bearing ncluded	
	Salyptorhynchus lathami lathami (South-eastern glossy black cockatoo) as per the Conservation Advice Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2022a):					
<u> </u>		>8 m above grou	ınd;			
Habitat		Located in branc	hes >30 cm in diar	meter;		
assessment	> c	Branch or stem r	no more than 45° fro	om vertical; and		
	Petal Cons and \	 Minimum entrand uroides volans (Greater servation Advice (Dep Water (2022b): 	ce diameter of >15 ter glider (Souther partment of Climate	cm. n and central)) as per e Change, Energy, the	the e Environment	
	0	b Hollows ≥10cm c	liameter in large ol	d trees.		
	Additionally, a methodology c	ssessments of Koala described in Section on of Koala habitat wi	habitat were com 2.1.2 . For the purp ithin the Project Ar	pleted in accordance poses of this assessme a included mapping	with the nent, of Koala habitat	

Raptor

ENVIRONMENTAL

rechnique	Field assessment
	trees. To aid in delineating koala habitat trees, the definition of a non-juvenile koala has trees (NJKHTs) was adopted.
	A general habitat assessment was completed at each of the survey sites and included following information:
	coarse woody debris;
	percentage native understory ;
	hollows;
	organic litter;
	distance to water;
	weed cover;
	species richness; and
	tree canopy cover.
Opportunistic searches	Opportunistic searches included surveys for soats and mimal tracks over a diurnal and nocturnal survey. Additionally, opportunistic observation for signs of wildlife were complete during all survey days (i.e. any bird species observed during vegetation assessments were corded).
Options revi	ew of design and TECs
Development Footprint	ew of design and TECs The field assessment included ground-truthing TECs in relation to the proposed Development Footprint in order to provide design recommendations and adjustments to proposed Conservation Area soundary to avoid directly impacting TECs. Mapped TECs additional vegetation communities were ground-truthed to confirm presence/absence an extent as per the prethonology described in above
Development Footprint Tingalpa Creek Kayak and canoe launch facility	ew of design and TECs The field assessment included ground-truthing TECs in relation to the proposed Development Footprint in order to provide design recommendations and adjustments to proposed Conservation Area boundary to avoid directly impacting TECs. Mapped TECs additional vegetation communities were ground-truthed to confirm presence/absence an extent as per the methodology described in above. A review of suitable locations for the proposed Kayak and canoe launch facility, pathway and pontoon was completed to avoid and minimise impacts to ecological values along of Tingalpa Creek. This included an assessment of Tingalpa Creek to review the presence and extent of ecological values including TECs, marine plants, remnant vegetation and habitat features to determine an appropriate siting.
Options revi	 ew of design and TECs The field assessment included ground-truthing TECs in relation to the proposed Development Footprint in order to provide design recommendations and adjustments to proposed Conservation Area boundary to avoid directly impacting TECs. Mapped TECs additional vegetation communities were ground-truthed to confirm presence/absence an extent as per the methodology described in above. A review of suitable locations for the proposed Kayak and canoe launch facility, pathway and pontoon was completed to avoid and minimise impacts to ecological values along of Tingalpa Creek. This included an assessment of Tingalpa Creek to review the presence and extent of ecological values including TECs, marine plants, remnant vegetation and habitat features to determine an appropriate siting. A review of the location of the proposed wetland area within the Development Footprint completed in order to minimise impacts to ecological values and the hydrological regime This included a mapping aquatic habitat within proximity to the area and a review of upstream and downstream aquatic habitat and the extent of tidal influence (i.e. marine plants).
Development Footprint Tingalpa Creek Kayak and canoe launch facility Wetland design	 ew of design and TECs The field assessment included ground-truthing TECs in relation to the proposed Development Footprint in order to provide design recommendations and adjustments to proposed Conservation for the provide design recommendations and adjustments to additional vegetation formunities were ground-truthed to confirm presence/absence an extent as per the orethodology described in above. A review of subable locations for the proposed Kayak and canoe launch facility, pathway and pontoon was completed to avoid and minimise impacts to ecological values along of Tingalpa Creek. This included an assessment of Tingalpa Creek to review the presence and extent of ecological values including TECs, marine plants, remnant vegetation and habitat features to determine an appropriate siting. A review of the location of the proposed wetland area within the Development Footprint completed in order to minimise impacts to ecological values and the hydrological regime This included a mapping aquatic habitat within proximity to the area and a review of upstream and downstream aquatic habitat and the extent of tidal influence (i.e. marine plants).

Raptor

ENVIRONMENTAL



Plate 2: Elliott trap.



Plate 4: Pitfall trap and 'T' configuration drift net in survey site 2.



Plate 6: Camera trap and bait tube installed at survey site 2

Limitations and Climatic Conditions

Limitations associated with the above-listed methodologies are listed below.

Plate 3: Arboreal deployment of Elliott trap.



Plate 5: Installation of drift fence in survey site 1.

anter

- The field assessment was undertaken over five days in spring 2022 with an additional two days in Autumn 2023. This is outside of the optimal timing for the detectability of some cryptic flora species including Winter and Summer flowering species, however the majority of the Development Footprint was regularly slashed which reduced the likelihood of recruitment of cryptic flora species.
- The field assessment did not include the seasonal replication required to detect certain migratory fauna species, however the highly modified Development Fortprint provides limited habitat suitable for migratory fauna species.
- The Conservation Area and portions of the Development Footprint are mapped within the High-risk Area for Protected Plants. A Protected Plants Survey and associated report will be required. Due to the statutory time-frames regarding the validity of the flora survey, it is recommended that a Protected Plant Flora Survey is completed once construction time-frames are confirmed. As such, a Protected Plant Flora Survey is excluded from this ecological assessment.
- During the field assessment, 12 of the 20 Elliott traps located with survey site 3 were compromised on the fourth morning of the survey (i.e. 1) September 2022).
 Torresian crows (*Corvus orru*) were observed disassembling Elliott traps. Traps were subsequently secured in a manner that requeed the risk of disassembly.
- Due to the completion of extensive surveys for Keala previously of the Project Area which provide presence and population density estimates (USC, 2021, Biolink, 2021 and Cardno, 2020), the contemporary factors survey did not include additional Koala survey.
- Pitfall traps were closed for one day on September 9 due to rainfall. The weather and moonlight conditions present at the time of spotlighting surveys are provided in Table 4.

Date	Temperature °C (max)*	Rainfall (mm)*	Wind speed (km/hr) and direction	Moon-phase
September 8, 2022	20.0	0	31 NE	Waxing Gibbous
September 9, 2022	20.1	10.8	30 N	Waxing Gibbous
September 10, 2022	20.2	4.8	37 W	Full moon
September 11, 2022	23.2	0	24 NE	Waning Gibbous
September 12, 2022	21.6	0	22 N	Waning Gibbous

Table 4. Weather conditions during the field survey component of the study.

bservations were drawn from Redland (Alexandra Hills) {station 140007} (Bureau of Meteorology, 2022).

Notwithstanding the above limitations, the survey methods and effort used during the survey, and the application are considered adequate to assess impacts from the Project to those communities and species identified by the desktop assessment as 'known' or 'likely' to occur within the Project Area.



Figure 2. Survey methods – transects, plots and observation points



Figure 3. Survey methods – traps and recording devices.



Figure 4. Survey methods – vegetation assessment and mapping.

ENVIRONMENTAL

3 Results

3.1 Matters of National Environmental Significance

The EPBC Act provides a legislative framework to protect and manage nationally and internationally significant flora, fauna, ecological communities, and heritage places The EPBC Act defines these as MNES. The EPBC Act Policy Statement 1.1, the Significant Impact Guidelines' further lists a set of 'significant impact criteria' for each of the nine listed matters of national environmental significance. The MNES which may apply to the proposed works include the potential to impact upon:

- listed threatened and TECs.
- migratory species.

The EPBC Act PMST indicates that there are 83 threatened species with habitat that is 'known' or 'likely' to occur within a three-kilometre radius of the Project Area. The desktop likelihood of occurrence assessment identified seven threatened fauna species listed under the EPBC Act which are 'known' or 'likely' to occur within the Project Area. The Project Area is 'known' or 'likely' to support habitat for nine MNES, listed below.

- Endangered Koala combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (*Phascolarptosicinereus*).
- Endangered Greater glider (Southern and central) (Petauroides volans).
- Endangered Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland ecological community.
- Endangered Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland bioregion.
- Vulnerable Subtropidal and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh.
- Vulnerable South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorhynchus lathami lathami).
- Vulnerable, Marine and Migratory White-throated needletail (*Hirundapus* caudacutus)
- Vulnerable Grey-headed flying-fox (*Pteropus poliocephalus*).
- Marine and Migratory Rufous fantail (Rhipidura rufifrons).
- Marine and Migratory Satin flycatcher (*Myiagra cyanoleuca*).

3.1. Threatened Fauna and Migratory Species

The likeliheod of occurrence assessment completed for threatened fauna in accordance with the methodology outlined in **Section 2.1.3** is provided as **Appendix C**. The results of the likelihood of occurrence assessment for threatened fauna indicates that seven species listed under the EPBC Act are 'known' or 'likely' to occur within the Project Area. Species that have previously been recorded within the Project Area include:

ENVIRONMENTAL

ENVIRONM

- Phascolarctos cinereus (Koala);
- Myiagra cyanoleuca (Satin flycatcher);and
- Rhipidura rufifrons (Rufous fantail).

Species considered 'likely' to occur within the Project Area include:

- Hirundapus caudacutus (White-throated needletail);
- Calyptorhynchus lathami lathami (South-eastern glossy black cockato
- Petauroides volans (Greater glider (Southern and central)); and
- Pteropus poliocephalus (Grey-headed flying-fox).

The Project Area does not support a known Grey-headed flying fox roost; however, several roosts that house the Grey-headed flying fox are located within 5 km of the Project Area (**Appendix A**). As the species is known to travel considerable distances to feed, it is considered 'likely' to occur for foraging purposes only.

Table 5, below, provides the summarised results of the likelihood of occurrence assessment for EPBC-listed species, as well as the years of identification for this species 'known' to occur within the Project Area.

Table 5. EPBC-listed fauna species – summ	narised likelihood	of occurrence assessme	nt results
for species 'likely' or 'known' to occur.			

	Scientific Name	Common Name	Status*		Likelihood	Observed in Survey Year	
	Scientific Name		NC Act	EPBC Act	Occurrence	2022	2020
	Mammals						
	Petauroides volans	Greater glider (Southern and central)	Е	E	Likely	-	-
	Phascolarctos cinereus	Koala (combined populations of Queensland, New South Wates and the Australian Capital Territory)	E	Е	Known	Y	Y
	Pteropus poliocephalus	Grey-headed flying-fox	С	V	Likely	-	-
	Aves						
~	Hirundapus caudacutus	White-throated needletail	V	V, Mi	Likely	-	-
	Calvptorhynchus Tathami lathami	South-eastern glossy black- cockatoo	V	V	Likely	-	
	Nyiagra cyanoleuca	Satin flycatcher	SL	Mi	Known	Y	-

antor

ENVIRONMENTAL

Solontific Nome	Common Nomo	Status*		Likelihood	Observed in Survey Year	
Scientific Name	Common Name	NC Act	EPBC Act	Occurrence	2022	2.2.7
Rhipidura rufifrons	Rufous fantail	SL	Mi	Known	Y	

The results of the desktop and field assessment for the species which are considered 'known' or 'likely' to occur in the Project Area have been detailed below.

3.1.1.1 Greater glider (Southern and central)

The likelihood of occurrence assessment for Greater glider resulted in the species being classified as 'likely' to occur as suitable habitat is present within the Conservation Area, however the Development footprint lacks suitable habitat attributes as defined by the Guide to Greater Glider Habitat in Queensland (Eyre *et al*, 2022b). (he targeted field surveys did not record Greater glider within the Project Area.

In accordance with the species' Conservation Advice, the Greater glider's diet consists primarily of restricted species of eucalyptus leaves supplemented by buds and flowers (DCCEEW, 2022b). The Greater glider shelters in tree hollows during the day and prefer large hollows (diameter >10cm) in large old trees. The Conservation Advice states, "*The probability of occurrence of the species is positively correlated with the availability of tree hollows, which is a key limiting resource.*" In southern Queensland, Greater gliders require a minimum of 2-4 live den trees within each 2 ha of suitable habitat.

The field assessment included a review of subable den trees. The Development Footprint contains two trees with hollow-bearing limbs of greater than 10cm diameter (DCCEEW, 2022b). The proposed design will impact a single hollow-bearing tree containing potential denning habitat. The Conservation Advice defines 'habitat critical to the survival' of the Greater glider as containing the characteristics described in **Table 6** (noting that critical habitat critical is defined by forest type on a regional basis). Further, the *Guide to greater glider habitat in Queensiand* (Evre *et al.,* 2022b) was considered concerning habitat for Greater glider within the Project Area. This guide provides an update on quantitative and qualitative information about Greater glider habitat. **Table 6** below details the definition of habitat in the Conservation Advice (DCCEEW, 2022b) and *Guide to greater glider habitat in Queensland* and its applicability to the Project Area.

Table 6. Review of habitat availability for the Greater glider.

Habitat	Applicability to the Project Area
Habitat critical to the survival of the Greater glider (Conservation Advice)	
Large contiguous areas of eucalypt forest, which contain mature hollow-bearing trees ¹ and a diverse range of the species' preferred food species in a particular region; and	The Conservation Area contains large areas of contiguous eucalypt forest containing mature hollow- bearing trees. The Development Footprint has been historically cleared and supports a low diversity of the

¹ Tree hollows can be difficult to detect in ground-based surveys. The presence of trees with basal diameter > 30 cm can be used as a proxy measure for tree hollows used by greater gliders in Queensland (Eyre et al. 2021).
aptor

ENVIRONMENTAL

Habitat	Applicability to the Project Area
	species' preferred food species and supports two isolated hollow-bearing trees located 80m and 130 from surrounding continuous habitat areas.
Smaller or fragmented habitat patches connected to larger patches of habitat, that can facilitate dispersal of the species and/or that enable recolonization; and	The Conservation Area supports habitat for Greater glider that are connected to larger patches of contiguous habitat. These areas would assist in facilitating dispersal of the species. However, the Development Footprint is largely unvegetated and would not facilitate dispersal.
Cool microclimate forest/woodland areas (e.g. protected gullies, sheltered high elevation areas, coastal lowland areas, southern slopes); and	The Conservation Area provides or ested areas including sheltered guilles which provide a cool microclimate for refuse. The Development Footprint has been historically cleared and does not contain cool microclimate forest/woodland areas.
Areas identified as refuges under future climate changes scenarios; and	The Conservation Area provides a refuge for future climate change scenarios, however the Development Footprint is not identified as a suitable refuge for Greater gliders in future climate change scenarios.
Short-term or long-term post-fire refuges (i.e. unburnt habitat within or adjacent to recently burnt landscapes) that allow the species to persist, recover and recolonize burnt areas.	The conservation Area provides short-term and long- term post-the refuge that allow the species to persist, recover and recolonize burnt areas. The Development Footprint lacks the vegetation to provide a refuge (either short-term or long-term) following fires; however, it may provide a natural firebreak within the landscape. It is unlikely that Greater gliders would be able to use the Development Footprint in such a scenario given the lack of vegetation present within the Development Footprint as ground-level travel would be required.
Habitat (Guide to greater glider habitat in Qu	eensland)
Habitat Regional ecosystems with confirmed greater glider records.	In southern Queensland, Greater gliders require a minimum of 2-4 live den trees within each 2 ha of suitable habitat.
Contains habitat attributes (but not necessarily all attributes), such as five and dead hollow-bearing trees for denning, feed trees, large trees, habitat	The remnant REs within the Conservation Area contain live den trees which provide suitable habitat for the Greater glider.
Connectivity across the landscape. Potential habitat Regional ecosystems that do not have confirmed greater glider becords but are identified by experts as potential greater glider habitat Contains habitat attributes (but not necessarily all attributes), such as live and dead hollow-bearing trees for denning, feed trees, large trees, habitat connectivity across the landscape	The field assessment included a review of suitable den trees. The Development Footprint contains two trees with hollow-bearing limbs of greater than 10cm diameter (DCCEEW, 2022b) The Development Footprint doesn't contain the minimum amount of live den trees to be considered suitable habitat. The proposed design will impact a single hollow-bearing tree containing potential denning habitat; however, this tree is isolated from continuous habitat by a cleared expanse (i.e. 80m distance). The Development Footprint does not contain remnant REs, as such, it is not considered 'habitat' or 'potential habitat' for Greater glider.

antor

ENVIRONMENTAL

Habitat	Applicability to the Project Area
Not habitat Regional ecosystems with no confirmed records of greater gliders, and identified by experts as non- habitat. Does not contain habitat attributes such as live and dead hollow-bearing trees for denning, feed trees, large trees, habitat connectivity across the landscape.	The Project Area and surrounds are not known to contain a Greater glider population (ALA, 2023). The nearest records of a live specimen are located within Greater Glider Reserve, approximately 3km to the south-east of the Project Area. Greater Glider Reserve is mapped as containing RE 12.5.3 and RE 12.5.6 The Conservation Area areas ground-truthed as RE 12.5.3 (refer to Section 3.2.2). The Project Area and surrounds are not known to contain a Greater glider population and the species was not recorded during the field assessments (Cardno, 2020 and Rapter Environmental, 2023). The Conservation Area contains babitat attributes suitable for use by the species. The Development Footprint lacks areas of contiguous canopy cover that would limit the capability of the Greater glider to move through the area. As such, the Development Footprint is not considered habitat for the Greater glider due to the lack of Inabitat connectivity across the landscape and lack of Inabitat connectivity across the landscape and lack of Inabitation of the area for a population were it to reside in the area.
	$(\bigcirc \gtrsim \sim)$

3.1.1.2 Koala

It is well established that Koala are present within and surrounding the Project Area (Cardno, 2020; USC, 2021 and Biolink, 2021). Further, opportunistic searches completed recorded Koala scats (Raptor Environmental, 2022).

A total of 165 individual NJKHTs were located within the Development Footprint by way of handheld GPS in open areas of the site along with three patches of vegetation dominated by NJKHTs (**Figure 5**, **Appendix G**)

Of the NJKHTs, three species, Forest Red Gum (*Eucalyptus tereticornis*), Tallowwood (*Eucalyptus microcorys*), and Northern Grey Ironbark (*Eucalyptus siderophloia*) are considered to be LIKT as per the Australian National University (Youngentob, 2021).

The results of the Koala habitat characterisation exercise are shown on **Figure 6**. Pre-and post-construction estimates of Koala habitat availability are provided in **Table 7**.

Table 7. Estimate of impacts on Koala habitat.

	Habitat Category	Pre-construction (ha)	Estimated Post- construction (ha)	Reduction of habitat type (ha)
\bigwedge	A (Plate 7)	34.43	32.4	~1.8
$\langle \! \langle \! \rangle$	B (Plate 8 and 9)	4.8	1.96	~2.9
	C (Plate 10)	22.8	4.3	~18.5

antor

ENVIRONMENTAL



Plate 7: Patch of Category A Koala habitat dominated by LIKT in the Development Footprint.



Plate 8: Patch of Category B Koala habitat with scattered LIKT.





Plate 9: Patch of Category B koala habitat dominated by ancillary habitat trees.

Plate 10: Areas of Category C Koala habitat characterised by a cleared area.

3.1.1.3 Grey-headed Phying-tox

The Project Area does not contain a known roost site for the Grey-headed flying-fox. The closest recorded roost site that hosts Grey-headed flying-foxes is located 2.5 km from the Project Area. The broader Project Area contains vegetation that would be highly suitable for foraging by the Grey-headed flying fox. The Development Footprint only contains small patches and scattered trees from the species' favoured feeding genera (i.e. Eucalyptus, Corymbia or Angephora), and it is likely that the species would also undertake occasional or regular foraging within the Development Footprint (Eby & Law, 2008).

While flying foxes were heard foraging during spotlighting and seen flying high overhead, no Grey headed flying foxes were directly observed. A single Black-headed flying fox was observed earing diurnal surveys.

1.1.4 White-throated Needletail

The White-throated needletail is a non-breeding migrant to Australia. As such, the species' habitat in Australia consists of non-breeding habitat only. The species is typically found over

antor

wooded areas, where it is almost exclusively aerial. They will roost in hollows in tall trees on ridge-tops, on bark or rock faces (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015). Such habitat is present along the northern, western and southern extents of the Project Area; however, the Development Footprint largely avoids areas of intact vegetation. The Development Footprint does, however, contain hollow-bearing trees that could be used by the species for roosting purposes. The species was not observed during site surveys.

3.1.1.5 South-eastern glossy black cockatoo

South-eastern glossy black cockatoos rely on nine species of she-oaks (Allocasuarina spp.) and Casuarina spp.) for feeding, with species used varying depending on the region. Birds often only feed on one or two species in one region (DCCEEW, 2022a). In south-east Queensland and north-east New South Wales, they show preference for:

- Black sheoak (A. littoralis), and
- Forest sheoak (*A. torulosa*).

There are also records of the species feeding on Swamp sheeak (C. glauca).

While the Project Area contains Swamp she-oak, the Development Footprint contains only scattered juvenile Black sheoak. The South-eastern gloss, black cockatoo is a nomadic species, which often returns to the same food trees year to year. Searches for orts were undertaken where preferred food species were present; however, none were encountered.

The species has previously been recorded in Atlas of Living Australia, records collected from citizen-science databases are subject to some degree of uncertainty. Upon scrutiny of the record of a Glossy Black Cockatoo within the Development, the record has been denatured to protect the taxon (coordinate uncertainty increased by ~30,000m). Additionally, Atlas of Living Australia records for the species collected within the vicinity of the Project include five individuals along Tingalpa Creek, approximately 1.75 km northwest of the Project Area (ALA, 2022).

While orts were not identified as part of this study, the South-eastern glossy black cockatoo could potentially use the Project Area as an intermittent feeding resource. It is likely that South-eastern glossy black cockatoo occur in the wider landscape and despite the lack of evidence within the Project Area may utilise the resources within the Project Area on occasion.

There are also three bollow-bearing trees within the Development Footprint that could be suitable for the species for sheltering or breeding purposes.

3.1.1.0 Satio flycatcher

The Satin lycatcher's preferred habitat consists of "*eucalypt forest and woodlands, at high elevations* when breeding. They are particularly common in tall wet sclerophyll forest, often in guilies or along water courses. In woodlands they prefer open, grassy woodland types. Jouring migration, habitat preferences expand, with the species recorded in most wooded habitats except rainforests. Wintering birds in northern Qld will use rainforest - gallery forests interfaces, and birds have been recorded wintering in mangroves and paperbark swamps." (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015). Such habitat is present along the western and southern extents of the Project Area and within vegetated parts of the Development Footprint. As

ENVIRONMENTAL

such, the species would readily inhabit any vegetated area within the Development Footprint. The species was observed throughout the wooded portions of the Project Area during site surveys.

3.1.1.7 Rufous fantail

The Rufous fantail favours "*moist, dense habitats, including mangroves, rainforest, inpatian forests and thickets, and wet eucalypt forests with a dense understorey. When on passage a wider range of habitats are used including dry eucalypt forests and woodlands and Brigalow shrublands*" (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015). Habitat for the Rufous fantail is present along the western and southern extents of the Project Area adjoining the Development Footprint. Rufous Fantail are also known to regularly occur along fragmented habitat edges. A Rufous fantail was recorded along the edge of the Development Footprint during the field assessment.



Figure 5. Non-juvenile Koala habitat trees within the Development Footprint.



Figure 6. Koala habitat characterisation within the Project Area.



3.1.2 Threatened Flora Species

The likelihood of occurrence assessment completed for threatened flora in accordance with the methodology outlined in **Section 2.1.3** is provided as **Appendix C**. The results of the likelihood of occurrence assessment for threatened flora indicates that no species listed under the EPBC Act are 'known' or 'likely' to occur within the Project Area.

3.1.3 Threatened Ecological Communities

The EPBC Act PMST indicates that four TECs are 'likely' to occur within the Project Area. These include:

- Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh;
- Coastal Swamp Oak (*Casuarina glauca*) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland ecological community (Coastal Swamp Oak Forest);
- Coastal Swamp Sclerophyll Forest of New South Walks and South East Queensland; and
- Subtropical eucalypt floodplain forest and woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland bioreging TEC

Additionally, a TEC 'may' occur within the Project Area, Lowland Rainforest of Subtropical Australia. A review of the Regulated Vegetation Management Map, the Review of Regional Ecosystems Report (Cardno, 2021) and amendments to the RE mapping as part of the field assessment (Raptor Environmental, 2023) indicates REs mapped within the Project Area which directly correspond with TECs as details in **Table 8**.

TEC	TEC Status	Equivalent RE Code	Equivalent RE Description
Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales and South	Encangered	12.1.1	Casuarina glauca woodland on margins of marine clay plains
East Queensland (Doff, 2018)		12.3.20	Melaleuca quinquenervia, Casuarina glauca +/- Eucalyptus tereticornis, E. siderophloia, M. styphelioides open forest on low coastal alluvial plains
Subtropical eucarop floodplain forest and woodland of the New South Wates North Coast and South East Queensland biologion FEC (DCCEEW, 29220)	Endangered	12.3.11	Eucalyptus tereticornis +/- Eucalyptus siderophloia, Corymbia intermedia open forest on alluvial plains usually near coast
Coastal Swamp Sclerophyll Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland	Endangered	12.3.6	Melaleuca quinquenervia +/- Eucalyptus tereticornis, Lophostemon suaveolens, Corymbia intermedia open forest on coastal alluvial plains

Table 8. Regional Ecosystems mapped within the Project Area that correspond with TECs.

ENVIRONMENTAL

TEC	TEC Status	Equivalent RE Code	Equivalent RE Description
Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh (DSEWPC, 2013)	Vulnerable	12.1.2	Saltpan vegetation including grassland herbland and sedgeland on marine clay plains.

The extent of current TECs were ground-truthed within the Project Area as shown in **Figure 7**. The results of this assessment were that:

- The extent of Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh referes the findings of Cardno, 2020 (Plate 11).
- The extent of Coastal Swamp Oak (*Casuarina glauca*) Forest of New South Wales and Queensland previously identified in Cardno, 2020 was verified. In addition:
 - An additional potential patch of the TEC was dentified and assessed using the BioCondition assessment framework to ascertain if the patch met the key characteristics and condition thresholds to be considered the TEC (**Plate 12**).
 - The results of the BioCondition Assessment indicate that the understorey was dominated by exotic species. As such, the patch did meet the condition thresholds to be considered the TEC (refer to patch of regrowth RE12.3.20 shown in Figure 9 and BioCondition Assessment 1 in Appendix D).
- Coastal Swamp Sclerophyll Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland was not identified within the Project Area. While the Project Area contains mixed polygons of RE 12.3.6 (and surrounding areas contain RE 12.3.11), these patches of RE did not meet the key diagnostic characteristics to be considered the Coastal Swamp Sclerophyll Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland TEC. This is because where we later and a guinguenervia occurs, it is either:
 - in abundance and located on land zone 5 (i.e. not on a substrate subject to inundation), or
 - where it occurred on appropriate substrate, it was a relatively minor element of the vegetation community.
- Subtropical Eucarypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland Bioregion TEC corresponds with RE12.3.11 mapped within the Project Area. Four vegetated areas were identified as containing potential Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest TEC. BioCondition Assessments indicate that two of the vegetation communities meet the key diagnostic characteristics and condition thresholds to be the TEC and are categorised as Class AQ Good Condition as per the Conservation Advice (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2022c) (Appendix D, Plate 13). The remaining two areas did not meet the key diagnostic characteristics (i.e. patch size <0.5 ha or canopy cover < 20%) to be considered the TEC (Plate 14).

Captor

ENVIRONMENTAL



Plate 11: Patch of Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh adjoining Tingalpa Creek.



Plate 12: Patch of regrowth 12.3.20 lacking the structure to be considered the Coastal Swamp Oak (*Casuarina glauca*) Forest of New South Wales and Queensland. If managed it may reach this status.



Plate 13: Mapped RE12.3.11 which corresponds with Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland Bioregion



Plate 14: Patch of vegetation within the Development Footprint which doesn't meet the size criteria to be considered Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland Bioregion





Figure 7. Threatened Ecological Communities within the Project Area.

antor ENVIRONMENTAL

Site-based considerations relevant to the EPBC Act, including a summary of the findings of the Significant Impact Assessment, are provided in **Table 9.** The Significant Impact Assessment completed for the Project is provided as **Appendix H**.

MNES are addressed for the Project Area by way of a Conservation Agreement entered with the Commonwealth. The terms of the agreement are, at the time of preparing this ERR, subject to ongoing refinement.

Table 9. Summary of EPBC Act Significant Impact Assessment.

MNES	Summary	Significant Impact				
Endangered Species and Ecological Communities						
Koala (<i>Phascolarctos</i> <i>cinereus</i>)	The table below includes a comparison of Koala habitat types estimated based on pre-construction and post-construction areas.	Unlikely				
	Habitat Pre- Category construction (ha) (ha) (ha)	of pe				
	A 34.43 32,4 ~1.8					
	B 4.8 1.96 ~2.9					
	C 27-8 4.3 ~18.5					
ST.	Koala habitat is protected and retained within the Conservation Area. The Development Footprint impacts 0.2% of the Southeast Queensland bioregion population An overall reduction in the area of occupancy of the Koa is not anticipated as the Project provides opportunities to improve the condition of remaining Category B areas an restore some portions of Category C areas with the long term are to enhance these to Category A condition. The Project will also adopt avoidance measures to minimise clearing to the furthest extent practicable. The Project is unlikely to fragment an existing population considering to the southern portion of the Site adjoining the Tingalpa Creek corridor (along the boundary of the Conservation Area) will be retained and enhanced by the Project, and connectivity will be maintained across the Development Footprint during the operational phase.	ila o id j- hat				
Greater glider southern and central (Pelauroides volans)	The Conservation Area contains habitat attributes suitat for use by the species, such as live and dead hollow- bearing trees for denning, feed trees, large trees and habitat connectivity across the landscape. However, the Development Footprint itself lacks areas of contiguous canopy cover that would limit the capability of the Greate glider to move through the area. As such, the Project is considered unlikely to result in a significant impact on a Greater glider population.	ole Unlikely er				

Raptor

ENVIRONMENTAL

MNES	Summary	Significant Impact
Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland Bioregion	The Project does not result in a direct impact to the Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest TEC which will be protected and retained within the Conservation Area. Potential indirect impacts associated with changes in hydrological regime are managed through the measures outlined in the Stormwater Management Plan including bioretention basins, diversion and treatment swales and a water reuse system and expansive irrigation area. Additional potential indirect impacts such as weed invasion and altered fire regimes are managed through the outcomes of the Conservation Agreement, routine controls, Council's invasive species management program and Council's Parks and Conservation Planned Burn Program, Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) and Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP).	Unlikew
Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland The Project does not result in a direct impact to the Coastal Swamp Oak TEC which will be protected and retained within the Conservation Area While the Project has the potential to have an indirect impact on the TEC as a result of changes to surrounding hydrology, weeds and fire regime, indirect impacts will be addressed in the outcomes of the Stormwater Management Plan, Conservation Agreement, routine controls, Council's invasive species management program and Council's Parks and Conservation Planned Burn Program, CEMP and OEMP.		Unlikely
Vulnerable Species a	nd Ecological Communities	
South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorhynchus lathami lathami)	Areas within and surrounding the Project Area are suitable intermittent foraging habitat for the South-eastern glossy black costatos. The Development Footprint will require the removal of scattered juvenile Black sheoak; however, the majority of such trees in the Project Area will be protected and retained within the Conservation Area. The Project results in the protection of the Conservation Area and restoration of an area which includes a patch of vegetation dominated by <i>Casuarina glauca</i> , restoration of these areas will improve the condition of potential foraging resources for South-eastern glossy black cockatoo. As such, the Project will not reduce the availability of foraging habitat for the species. The Development Footprint contains two hollows with characteristics consistent of those preferred by the South-eastern glossy black-cockatoo. One of the significant hollow-bearing trees will be retained and one will be removed as it was assessed as structurally unsafe to retain within the Development Footprint.	Unlikely
Grey headed flying- tox (<i>Pteropus</i> <i>poliocephalus</i>)	The Conservation Area will be protected and retained for the Project and supports suitable foraging habitat for the Grey-headed flying fox. The Development Footprint supports limited foraging habitat and directly impacts juvenile live foraging trees including scattered winter and	Unlikely

Raptor

ENVIRONMENTAL

MNES	Summary	Significant Impact
	spring species. The vegetation community in the Development Footprint which will be directly impacted is limited to scattered retained individual trees which are unlikely to represent critical habitat for the Grey-headed flying fox. In addition, two patches of semi-mature and mature eucalypt trees will be retained as part of the design.	
White-throated needletail (<i>Hirundapus</i> <i>caudacutus</i>)	Densely wooded habitat within the Conservation Area fit be protected and retained for the Project. The Development Footprint does not contain densely wooded areas that would be considered critical habitat for the species (noting that appropriate habitat is present of the Conservation Area). The species' habitat in Australia consists of non-breeding habitat only. The Development Footprint avoids areas that would be considered 'important habitat' for the species.	nlikely
Migratory Species		
Rufous fantail (<i>Rhipidura rufifrons</i>)	Habitat within the Conservation Area will be protected and retained for the Project. The Project will retain existing vegetation along the northern, western and southern fringes of the Development Footprint and require the removal of scattered trees within an historically cleared area. As such, it will not substantially modify, destroy or isolate an area of habitat for the Rufous fantail (noting that the Development Footprint has been subject to previous modification and therefore does not support what is considered 'important habitat' for the species).	Unlikely
Satin flycatcher (<i>Myiagra</i> <i>cyanoleuca</i>)	The species preferred habitat consists of "eucalypt forest and woodlands, at high elevations when breeding. They are perticularly common in tall wet sclerophyll forest, often in gulies of along water courses. In woodlands they prefer open, grassy woodland types. During migration, habitat preferences expand, with the species recorded in most wooded habitats except rainforests. Wintering birds in northern Queensland will use rainforest - gallery forests interfaces, and birds have been recorded wintering in mangroves and paperbark swamps." Such habitat is present along the western and southern extents of the Conservation Area which will be protected and retained for the Project. The Development Footprint avoids areas that would be considered 'important habitat' for the species.	Unlikely

The sumptary of findings of the Significant Impact Assessment indicate a significant impact on a MNES threatened and migratory species and TEC is unlikely to occur as a result of the Project.

ENVIRONMENTAL

3.2 Matters of State Environmental Significance

Matters of State Environmental Significance (MSES) are an element of the biodiversity state interest defined under the State Planning Policy 2017 (SPP). The following MSES as defined in the SPP are relevant to the Project:

- Threatened wildlife under the Nature Conservation Act 1992 and special least concern animals under the Nature Conservation (Animals) Regulation 2020
- High risk area on the flora survey trigger as described by the *Environmental Offsets Regulation 2014*.
- Regulated vegetation under the Vegetation Management Act 1999 have:
 - category B areas on the regulated vegetation management map, that are 'endangered' and 'of concern' regional ecosystems
- Marine plants under the Fisheries Act 1994.
- Wetlands in a wetland protection area or wetlands of high ecological significance shown on the map of Queensland wetland environmental values under the *Environmental Protection Regulation 2019.*

3.2.1 Threatened Wildlife

3.2.1.1 Fauna

The likelihood of occurrence assessment completed for threatened fauna in accordance with the methodology outlined in **Section 2.13** is provided as **Appendix C**.

The results of the likelihood of occurrence assessment for threatened fauna indicates that six species listed under the *Nature Conservation (Animals) Regulation 2020* are 'known' or 'likely' to occur within the Project Area (**Table 10**). Additionally, the Project Area is known to contain the Special Least Concern *Tachyglossus aculeatus* (Short-beaked echidna), which was recorded within the Project Area in the 2020 Cardno study.

Table 10. NC Act-listed fating species – summarized likelihood of occurrence assessment results.

\$	Common Name	Status*		Likelihood	Survey Year	
Scientific Name		NC Act	EPBC Act	of Occurrence	2022	2020
Aves	2					
Hirup dapus caudacutus	White-throated needletail	V	V, Mi	Likely	-	-
 Calvotomynehus lathami lathami	South-eastern glossy black- cockatoo	V	V	Likely	-	
Myiagra cyanoleuca	Satin flycatcher	SL	Mi	Known	Y	-

ENVIRONMENTAL

		Status*		Likelihood	Survey Year	
Scientific Name	Common Name	NC Act	EPBC Act	of Occurrence	2022	2020
Rhipidura rufifrons	Rufous fantail	SL	Mi	Known	Y	S
Mammals						\bigcirc
Phascolarctos cinereus	Koala	Е	Е	Known		Y
Tachyglossus aculeatus	Short-beaked echidna	SL	-	Known		Y

Under the *Nature Conservation (Koala) Conservation Plan 2017* (Koala Plan), the Koala Conservation Plan Map shows Koala Priority Areas and Koala Rabitat Areas. The main purpose of Koala Priority Areas is to strategically focus long term management and monitoring efforts on areas that have the highest likelihood of achieving conservation outcomes for Koalas. In addition, the purpose of Koala habitat areas is to avoid impacts on Koala habitat to ensure the long-term persistence of koala populations in the wild.

The entirety of the Project Area is mapped within a Koala Priority Area. Areas within the Project Area that contain Regulated Vegetation are also mapped as 'Core Koala Habitat' (**Appendix C**). This also includes small sections of vegetation within the Development Footprint.

A total of 77 fauna species were recorded during the contemporary fauna survey including:

- 4 amphibians
- 53 birds
- 14 mammals
- 6 Reptiles

A full list of the fauna species (91 in total) identified within the Project Area in 2020 and 2022 is provided as **Appendix**. The list includes those species previously identified in Cardno, 2020.

The contemporary fair a survey resulted in the following:

• Two reptiles recorded within pitfall traps including Least Concern Lampropholis guichenoti (Garden skink) (Plate 15) and Least Concern Calyptotis scutirostrum (Soute-snouted calyptotis) (Plate 16).

Enjott trapping resulted in captures of the pest species *Rattus rattus* (Black rat) within survey site 1.

Spotlighting surveys recorded seven species including Least Concern *Podargus strigoides* (Tawny frogmouth) (**Plate 17**) and Least Concern *Pteropus alecto* (Black flying fox).

Anabat records identified four species of Least Concern microbats.

Captor ENVIRONMENTAL

- The diurnal searches resulted in a diversity of Least Concern avifauna including grassland, urban specialists, open forest (**Plate 18**), water birds, marine and migratory birds (**Plate 19**, **Plate 20** and **Plate 21**).
- Opportunistic searches recorded scats of the Endangered Phascolarctos cinereus (Koala) (Plate 22).

The Project Area also supports a wide diversity of uncommon Least Concern bird species, such as the Aviceda subcristata (Pacific baza), Todiramphus macleayii (Forest kinglisher) and the Turnix maculosus (Red-backed button quail).



Plate 15: Garden skink recorded from pitfall trapping.





Plate 17: Tawny frogmouth perching during spotlighting survey.





Plate 18: Red backed fairy wren utilising grassland habitat within the Development Footprint.

aptor

ENVIRONMENTAL



Plate 19: Satin flycatcher catcher recorded within woodland.



Plate 20: Rainbow bee eater



Plate 21: Rufous fantail.



Plate 22: Koala scats recorded in survey site 1.

3.2.1.2 Fauna Habitat

The habitat assessment identified 39 habitat features within the Development Footprint and vehicle access roads, including:

- ten hollow-bearing trees (three of which are significant habitat trees) (Plate 23 and Plate 24)
- 14 avboreal termitaria;
- two burrows;
- two hollow logs (fallen);





The locations of discrete habitat features are shown on **Figure 8**. General habitat assessments were completed at the survey sites (**Figure 3**). Detailed information collected during the habitat feature survey is provided in **Appendix F**.

A gully is located within the southern portion of the Development Footprint, which includes marine plants 4 m to the west of the Development Footprint. The gully, above areas of iteal influence, provides suitable habitat for Least Concern frog species, such as the Fastern sedge frog (*Litoria fallax*), Peron's tree frog (*Litoria peronii*) and Striped marsh frog (*Limnodynastes peronii*), all of which were heard calling from this area during nocturnal surveys (**Plate 25**). A historic weir was noted along the gully however the were allows tidal water upstream and no longer serves the function of a significant tidal barrier (**Plate 26**).



Plate 23: Significant habitat tree bearing multiple large hollows is located to the east of the proposed wetland area.



Plate 24: Hollow-bearing tree within the Development Area.



Plate 25. The northern extent of the gully within the Development Footprint includes minor ponding enhanced in some areas within vehicle tracks.



Plate 26: Historic tidal weir located within the tidal extent of the gully.

The Project Area contains one Endangered species, the Koala, listed under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992* and subordinate *Nature Conservation (Animals) Regulation 2020.* It also provides habitat for the Special Least Concern species or Least Concern Colonial Breeding Species including:

ENVIRONMENTAL

Special Least Concern - Short-beaked echidna.

• Special Least Concern - Rufous fantail.

•

- Special Least Concern Satin flycatcher.
- Colonial Breeder Little bent-wing bat (Miniopterus australis).
- Colonial Breeder White-striped freetail bat (Austronomus australis).

With respect to fauna, the DES Information sheet: Species Management Program Requirements for tampering with a protected animal breeding place in Queensland provides additional guidance relating to Species Management Programs (SMP) (ADSMP – Low Risk of Impacts is required for tampering with the breeding spaces of Least Concern species. An SMP – High Risk of Impacts is required for any proposed activity that will impact on breeding places of protected animals that are classified as Critically Endangered, Endangered, Vulnerable, Near Threatened (CEEVNT), Special Least Concern, or as a Least Concern Colonial Breeding species.

Given the known presence of Endangered, Special Least Concern and Least Concern colonial breeding species within the Project Area, an SMR – High Risk of Impacts will be required for the abovementioned species.

The *Planning Regulation 2017* provides the regulatory tramework for the management of Koala Habitat as described in the *Nature Conservation* (Koala) Plan 2017. Schedule 10, Part 10, Division 2, sub-part 16A of the *Planning Regulation 2017* states that:

- (1) "Development is prohibited development to the extent the development involves interfering with koala habitat in an area that is both—
 - a koala priority area; and
 - a koala habitat area."
- (2) However, subsection (1) does not apply to the extent the development—
 - (a) is <u>exempted development</u>; or
 - (b) is assessable development under section 16C; or
 - (c) is in an identified koala broad-hectare area and is—
 - (i) accepted development, or assessable development, under a local categorising instrument, other than development that is for an
 - extractive industry and is not assessable development under section 160; or

زناً) development under part 14, division 1, section 21; Vegetation management Act 1999.

According to Schedule 24 of the *Planning Regulation* 2017, the definition of 'exempted development' includes: "development for infrastructure stated in schedule 5, if the development is carried out by or for the State or a public sector entity."

The Project will be carried out by a public sector entity (RCC) and will proceed under a Local overment Infrastructure Designation (LGID) application. As part of this process, RCC would designate the Project as a type of infrastructure listed in Schedule 5 of the *Planning Regulation 2017* (i.e. community and cultural facilities, including community centres, galleries, libraries and meeting halls – or – facilities for parks and recreation). If the LGID is successful, the Project would be considered exempt from the requirements listed in

NVIRONMENTAL

Schedule 10 of the *Planning Regulation 2017*. If this does not occur, the Project would be considered prohibited development.

Irrespective of the above, the Project is located within a Koala District A Area. As such, the Project must comply with the Koala-spotter requirements and sequential clearing conditions specified in the *Nature Conservation (Koala) Plan 2017*.

The sequential clearing conditions are listed in Part 3, sub-part 10 of the Koala Ramas stated below:

- a) clearing of the koala habitat trees is carried out in a way that ensures koalas on the area being cleared (the clearing site) have enough time to move out of the clearing site without human intervention, including, in particular, for clearing sites with an area of more than 3ha, by
 - *i.* carrying out the clearing in stages; and
 - ii. ensuring not more than the following is cleared in any 1 stage—

(A) for a clearing site with an area of 6ha or less—50% of the site's area;

(B) for a clearing site with an area of more than 6ha—3ha or 3% of the site's area, whichever is the greater; and

- iii. ensuring that between each stage and the next there is at least 1 period of 12 hours starting at 6p.m. on a day and ending at 6a.m. on the following day during which no trees are created on the site;
- b) clearing of the koala habitat tracks is carried out in a way that ensures, while the clearing is carried out, appropriate habitat links are maintained within the clearing site and between the site and its adjacent area, to allow koalas living on the site to move out of the site;
- c) no koala habitat tree in which a koala is present, and no koala habitat tree with a crown overlapping a tree in which a koala is present, is cleared.

The Koala spotter requirements are provided in Part 3, sub-part 11 of the Koala Plan. These requirements apply "to a person clearing, in a koala habitat area, koala habitat trees having a trunk of a diameter of more than 10cm at 1.3m above the ground." A Koala spotter is defined as, "a person who has qualifications and experience, or demonstrated skills and knowledge, in locating koalas in koala habitats; or conducting arboreal fauna surveys." The person clearing must ensure the clearing is carried out in the presence of a Koala spotter who has the primary role of locating Koalas in the trees for the person (i.e. in addition to a fauna spotter). The Wildlife Habitat Management Plan details the mitigation measures for fauna during the project phases (refer to **Appendix J**).





Figure 8. Habitat Features.



3.2.1.3 Flora

The likelihood of occurrence assessment completed for threatened flora in accordance with the methodology outlined in Section 2.1.3 is provided as Appendix C.

The results of the likelihood of occurrence assessment for threatened flora indicates that the species are 'known' or 'likely' to occur within the Project Area. The Wildlife Online database returned two threatened flora species listed under the *Nature Conservation (Plants) Regulation 2020* that have previously been recorded within 3km of the Project Area, the Endangered *Melaleuca irbyana* and Special Least Concern *Najas tenuifolia* (Water Nymph) (**Table 11**). These species are considered unlikely to occur within the Project Area because:

- Reliable *Melaleuca irbyana* records have been made approximately 1.5km upstream from the Project on the southern bank on Tingalpa Creek. Despite previous search efforts (i.e. Cardno, 2020), in addition to the present study, the species was not found to occur within the Development Footprint and is considered highly unlikely to occur within the Conservation Area.
- A reliable *Najas tenuifolia* record has been made approximately 2.5km to the northeast of the Project. Searches of ephemeral water bodies on the southern edge of the Development Footprint did not reveal the Water nymph to be present.

Sciontific Namo	Common North	s	tatus*	Likelihood of	
	Common Nakie	NC Act	EPBC Act	Occurrence	
Melaleuca irbyana	Swamp tea-tree	E	-	Unlikely	
Najas tenuifolia	Water wmph	SL	-	Unlikely	
*Nature Conservation Act 1992: CR; Critically I	Endangered; E = Endangered; V = Vulnerable; S	L = Special Least	Concern; C = Least Conce	irn.	

Table 11. Flora species – summarized likelihood of posurrence assessment results.

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999: CE = Critically Endangered; E = Endangered; V = Vulnerable.

The flora inventory conducted within the Development Footprint identified 104 flora species, including 28 exotic species. None of the species recorded are listed as threatened flora species listed under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992* and subordinate *Nature Conservation (Reants)* Regulation 2020 were identified during the ecological surveys conducted in 2020 and 2022 (Cardno, 2020 and the present study).

Portions of the Development Footprint are mapped within the High-risk area on DES's Protected Plants Trigger Map. No threatened flora species listed under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992* and subordinate *Nature Conservation (Plants) Regulation 2020* were identified during the ecological surveys conducted in 2020 and 2022 (Cardno, 2020 and the present study). Portions of the Development Footprint are mapped within the High-risk area on DES's Protected Plants Trigger Map.

Cursu ant to Section 141 of the Nature Conservation (Plants) Regulation 2020, "the person must give a flora survey report to the chief executive before the person starts clearing; and (b) no later than 12 months after the flora survey undertaken for the report was completed." Given that a portion of the Development Footprint is located within a High-risk area, a

antor

protected flora survey must be completed for the Project. The survey must be undertaken no more than 12 months prior to the commencement of clearing activities. If protected flora species are identified within the Project Area (and associated 100 m buffer), the Project with require preparation of an Impact Management Plan an application for a Protected Plants Clearing Permit. If no protected flora species are identified during the survey, the Project must submit an Exempt Clearing Notification.

3.2.1.4 Landscape Context and Ecological Corridors

In a regional context, the Project Area forms part of the South-east Queensland Begional Biodiversity Corridor under ShapingSEQ (Department of Infrastructure Focal Government and Planning, 2017). The regional corridor links with the mouth of the Lagoon River to the south and extends north through Mt Cotton (including Venman Bushland National Park), Tingalpa Reservoir and to the outfall of Tingalpa Creek into Moreton Bay. Under Shaping SEQ the Project Area and surrounds to the west are within the Regional Landscape and Rural Production Area and the area to the west is within the Irban Footprint Land-use category (Figure 9).

At a local catchment level the Lower Tingalpa and Cool wynph, Creek Catchment is approximately 34.4km² and starts to the south of Mt Cotton Boad and transverses through Mt Cotton, Capalaba, Alexandra Hills, Birkdale and Thorneside before out falling into Moreton Bay at Waterloo Bay (Redland City Council, 2028).

Under RCC's Koala Conservation Program 2022-027, Koala Safe Neighbourhoods are established as a collaboration between RCQ and the local community to reduce the threats to Koalas (Redland City Council, 2021). The criteria for establishing a Koala Safe Neighbourhood includes a known koala population, evidence of koala strike on roads and habitat that can support koalas. The Birkdale koala safe neighbourhood is located 700 m north-east of the Project Area (**Figure 9**). Similar to the Koala Safe Neighbourhood actions, the design has integrated signage as well as reduced speed limits to minimise the risk of vehicle strike (refer to **Section 4.2.1**).

The Project Area is located along Tingalpa Creek and plays a significant role in the Regional Biodiversity Corridor and local creek ecological corridor. A review of historical imagery indicates the balance of the Project Area including the Development Footprint was cleared (as shown in the 1946 aerial imagery (**Appendix A**)), however clearing likely occurred earlier to accommodate the historical use of the property as a World War 2 radio receiving station. Prior to historical disturbance, the entire Project Area would have supported well vegetated areas providing high quality habitat for local fauna. Historical clearing and land use within the Development Footprint has reduced vegetation cover, habitat quality and connectivity value.

The Project Area contains existing barriers/impediments to fauna movement including existing barbed wire-fencing (eastern and northern boundaries) and fauna barrier fencing (northern and western boundaries). Koala exclusion is located to the south and along a portion of the eastern extent of the Project Area. Old Cleveland Road East adjoins the eastern boundary of the Project Area and in a four-lane carriage arterial road (**Figure 9**). The design has integrated fauna-friendly and exclusion fencing as outlined in **Section 4.2.1**.

the vegetation within the Conservation Area supports the significant ecological corridor and habitat and foraging resources for native species including threatened species (i.e. Koala). The Development Footprint is predominately cleared and contains scattered patches of retained canopy trees and scattered juvenile native canopy trees. The Development

r ENVIRONMENTAL

Footprint supports movement opportunities for native species including Koala and limited habitat and foraging resources.



Figure 9. Landscape Context.



3.2.2 Regulated Vegetation

Queensland's DoR Vegetation Management Property Report and Regulated Vegetation Man identifies the Project Area as supporting Category C (High-value Regrowth) and Category X (non-remnant vegetation), as illustrated in **Appendix A** and **Figure 10**. According to the Regulated Vegetation Map, the Development Footprint supports the following areas of mixed-RE patches, including:

- 1.381 ha of Category C (of Concern) RE 12.3.11/12.3.6
- 0.009 ha of Category C (Endangered) RE 12.5.3/12.5.2a.
- 0.068 ha of Category C (Endangered) RE 12.5.2a/12.5.3/12.3.

A description of these REs from the Regional Ecosystem Description Database (REDD, 2021), is provided in **Table 12**, below.

RE Code	VMA Class	Description	Structure Category
12.3.11	Of Concern	Eucalyptus tereticornis +/- Eucalyptus siderophloia, Corymbia intermedia open forest on attrivial plains usually near coast	Mid-dense
12.3.6	Least Concern	Melaleuca quinquenervia +/- Euoalyptus tereticornis, Lophostemon suaveolens, Sorymbia intermedia open forest on coastal alluvial plains	Mid-dense
12.5.3	Endangered	Eucalyptus racemosa subsp. racemosa woodland on remnant Tertiary surfaces	Sparse
12.5.2a	Endangered	Confinbia intermedia, Eucalyptus tereticornis woodland. Other species can include Lophostemon suaveolens, Angophora leiocarpa, Eucalyptus acmenoides or E. portuensis, E. siderophola or E. crebra, Corymbia tessellaris and Melaleuca gunguenervia (lower slopes).	Mid-dense

Table 12. Regional Ecosystem descriptions as provided in the REDA

The Regional Ecosystem Review undertaken by Cardno in 2021 provided a refined regional ecosystem map that included the boundaries of ground-truthed remnant and regrowth REs within the Project Area (Figure 11 and Appendix B). The current study relied on the information provided in the Regional Ecosystem Review (Cardno, 2021) and refined this mapping to include the patch of regrowth RE 12.3.20 located adjacent to the Development Footprint. According to the refined RE mapping, the Development Footprint would involve the removal of the following areas of regrowth RE:

• 12.3, 11 (0.511 ha); • 12,53 (0.828 ha); and • 12.5.7 (0.026 ha).

Vegetation Management Act 1999 provides a framework for the description, identification and mapping of Regulated Vegetation. It aims to prevent land degradation, maintain biodiversity, preserve ecological processes, and promote Ecologically Sustainable

ENVIRONMENTAL

Development (ESD) through various mechanisms including through the State Assessment and Referral Agency (SARA) Development Assessment process.

Schedule 21, Part 1 of the *Planning Regulation 2017* outlines the exemptions for clearing of Regulated Vegetation. Subpart 1 provides the exemptions for clearing of vegetation and which includes clearing that is:

(14) "Clearing vegetation for the construction or maintenance of infrastructure stated in schedule 5, if—

- (a) the clearing is on designated premises; or
- (b) the infrastructure is government supported transport infrastructure.

The Project is currently undergoing a LGID. As part of this process, RCC, would designate the Project as a type of infrastructure listed in Schedule 5 of the *Planning Regulation 2017*. If the LGID is successful, the Project would be considered exempt of work.

Ecological Assessment Report Birkdale Community Precinct



Figure 10. Regulated Vegetation within and surrounding the Project Area.



Figure 11. Ground-truthed Regional Ecosystems within the Project Area.



3.2.3 Marine Plants

The proposed kayak launch and pontoon is located to the south of the Project Area within Tingalpa Creek which is mapped as a Tidal Waterway and under Highest Astronomical Tide (HAT).

The proposed Kayak and canoe launch facility and pontoon is located within a mapped Edal Waterway; however, a pontoon is not considered waterway barrier works. The proposed Kayak and canoe launch facility and pontoon location was confirmed during the field assessment to ensure impacts are minimised. As a result, the proposed Kayak and canoe launch facility is located in a cleared area on an eroding riparian bank. Legislative Considerations

The purpose of the *Fisheries Act 1994* is to provide for the use, conservation and enhancement of the community's fisheries resources and fish habitats in a way that seeks to apply and balance the principles of ecologically sustainable development and promote ecologically sustainable development. The *Fisheries Act 1994* regulates development considered Waterway Barrier Works and disturbance to Marine Plants.

The Kayak and canoe launch facility will impact makine blants) and a response to State Development Assessment Provisions (SDAP) Code 11: Removal, destruction or damage of marine plants supported by a marine plant disturbance plan will be required. A Marine Plant Disturbance Plan was prepared to support the SDAP Code 11 (Appendix K).

3.2.4 Wetlands

The Project Area is located adjacent to an 'MSES high ecological significance (HES) wetland', analogous with Tingalpa Creek and the proposed Kayak and canoe launch facility is within the HES wetland (**Appendix A**). High ecological significance wetlands are identified as MSES under the Planning and Environmental Offsets legislation. Works within an HES wetland within a designated Wetland Protection Area may trigger development assessment.

The objective of the Environmental Protection Act 1994 and subordinate Environmental Protection Regulation 2008 is to protect Queensland's environment while allowing for development that improves the total quality of life in a way that maintains ecological processes.

As the Project Area is located outside of a Wetland Protection Area, development assessment is not triggered for the Project. With the exception of the Kayak and canoe launch facility, the current iteration of the Development Footprint avoids the mapped HES wetland located adjacent to the Project Area. However, the Kayak and canoe launch facility is strategically located within a cleared and eroding section of Tingalpa Creek.

The proposed infrastructure associated with the Kayak and canoe launch facility will include measures to stabilise and rehabilitate the eroding bank. General erosion and sediment control will also be managed through the Project's Erosion and Sediment Control Plan.

3.2.5 Pests and Weeds

The contemporary weed survey mapped 37 occurrences of weeds including eight restricted weed species listed under the *Biosecurity Act 2014*. Restricted weed species identified include:

antor

ENVIRONMENTAL

- Aspargus fern (Asparagus aethiopicus)
- Camphor laurel (*Cinnamomum camphora*)
- Creeping lantana (Lantana montevidensis)
- Fireweed (Senecio madagascariensis)
- Giant Paramatta grass (Sporobolus fertilis)
- Lantana (Lantana camara)
- Mother-of-millions (*Bryophyllum delagoense*)
- Broad-leaved pepper tree (Schinus terebinthifolius)
- Prickly pear (Opuntia stricta)



The locations where weed species listed under the *Biosecurity* Act 2014 are present relatively abundant are shown on **Figure 12**. The results of the weed survey, including estimated densities of infestations are provided as **Appendix L**.

A single declared pest fauna species *Vulpes vulpes* (European red fox) (**Plate 27**) was detected within the Development Footprint as evidenced through camera trap data. Foxes were also detected in the previous ecological assessment (Cardno, 2020).



Plate 27: European red fox recorded within proximity to survey site 2 through camera trap data.

The *Biosecurity Act 2014* imposes a general biosecurity obligation on persons dealing with biosecurity matters to prevent and/or minimise the impact of biosecurity risks on human health social amenity, the economy and the environment. A general biosecurity obligation requires that all reasonable and practical measures are taken to prevent or minimise biosecurity risk and that actions are taken to prevent exacerbation of the adverse effects of biosecurity matter. With respect to these points, the *Biosecurity Act 2014* specifically notes that the requirement to manage the impact of invasive plants and animals on a person's land.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Whilst a comprehensive weed and pest survey was not completed within the Project Area, the below-listed restricted weed and animal species under the *Biosecurity Act 2014* were identified within the Development Footprint (Raptor Environmental, 2023 and Cardno, 2020);

- Asparagus fern (Asparagis aethiopicus).
- Camphor laurel (Cinnamomum camphora).
- Creeping lantana (Lantana montevidensis).
- Fireweed (Senecio madagascariensis).
- Giant Paramatta grass (Sporobolus fertilis).
- Lantana (Lantana camara).
- Mother-of-millions (Bryophyllum delagoense).
- Broad-leaved pepper tree (Schinus terebinthifolius).
- Prickly pear (Opuntia stricta).
- European red fox (Vulpes vulpes).

The Project must undertake all reasonable and practical measures to prevent or minimise biosecurity risk, including the spread of weed and pest animal species. Management and mitigation measures for weeds and pests are to be included in the CEMP.

Ecological Assessment Report Birkdale Community Precinct



Figure 12. Weeds recorded within the Development Footprint.

ENVIRONMENTAL

3.3 Matters of Local Environmental Significance

Redland City Council Planning Scheme Environmental Significance Planning Scheme Policy describes MLES generally as habitat for particular species (i.e. Locally significant species (listed in Appendix 1 of the Policy)). Relevant to the Project is the South-eastern glossy black cockatoo which is listed as a locally significant fauna species considered 'likely' to occur within the Project Area. Habitat suitability for the South-eastern glossy black coskatoo is discussed in Section **3.1.1.2** above.

The flora and fauna species recorded as part of the surveys (Raptor Environmental, 2022 and Cardno, 2020) are not listed as Locally significant species under the Environmental Significance Planning Scheme Policy. MLES species and/or suitable supporting habitat are not present within the Development Footprint (refer to Section 3,3).

Pursuant to the *Redland City Plan 2018* (Version 7), the land parcels within the Project Area are zoned as follows:

- Lot 1 on RP14143 and Lot 2 on RP14144 Community Facilities and Conservation.
- Lot 1 on SP146445 Community Facilities.
- Lot 2 on RP211270) Low Density Residential.

The Project Area also falls within various planning overlays. Relevant environmental overlays that are present within all, or part, of the project Area include:

- Redland City Council (RCC) Koala Habitat including the following sub-categories:
 - RE Remnant Koala Habitat
 - RE Regrowth Koala Habitat
 - o Urban Non Koala Habitat
- RCC Regional Ecosystems, including the following sub-categories:
 - o Endangered
 - Of Concern
- RCC Non Region Ecosystem Habitat, including the following sub-category:
 - o Urban Trees
- Bushire Hazard Overlay, including the following sub-categories:

High Potential Bushfire Intensity

Medium Potential Bushfire Intensity

Potential Impact Buffer

Coastal Protection Overlay, including the following sub-categories:

- o Erosion Prone Area
- o Coastal Management District

ENVIRONMENTAL

- Environmental Significant Overlay, including the following sub-categories:
 - o MSES
 - o MLES
- Flood and Storm Tide Hazard Overlay, including the following sub-categories:
 - o 2016 Storm Tide Inundation Area
 - o 2100 Storm Tide Inundation Area
 - Flood Prone Area
- Landslide Hazard Overlay, including the following sub-categorie
 - o Medium
 - o Low
- Waterway Corridors and Wetlands Overlay

Council will process under a LGID application and, it approved by the minister, will be incorporated into the local planning scheme. Chapter 8 of the Minister's Guidelines and Rules (Queensland Government, 2020) details the process for making a LGID which includes providing an acknowledgment of relevant planning instruments and how they relate to the proposal. The LGID will provide a response to relevant overlay codes using the information within this EAR.

Ecological Assessment Report Birkdale Community Precinct


NOIP"OL

4 Ecological Impact Assessment

4.1 Potentially Degrading Impacts

Potentially degrading impacts associated with the Project are listed in Table 13, below.

Table 13. Potentially degrading impacts associated with the Project.

Project Phase	Potentially Degrading Impact	Relevant matters	Recommended Mitigations
Planning	Failure to integrate retention of LIKT into the design, resulting in increased fragmentation of the local Koala population.	MNES – Threatened Species (Koala) MSES – Threatened Species (Koala) and habitat	Refer Table 14 , item 1
	Failure to consider fauna movement patterns into the design, resulting in decreased movement through the landscape for resident fauna populations.	MINES – Threatened and Migratory Species MSES – Threatened Species	Refer Table 14, item 2
	Loss of areas that could be restored to support TECs found elsewhere in the Project Area.	MNES – Threatened Ecological Communities	Refer Table 14, item 3
	Loss of significant habitat trees, resulting in a loss of breezing and sheltering habitat for fauna.	MSES – Threatened Species	Refer Table 14 , item 4
Clearing and Construction	 Likely impacts to habitat for an MNES and MSES species, the Endangered Koala. This includes the loss of: Koala habitat type A ~ 1 6 ha; Koala habitat type B < 2.9 ha; and Koala habitat type C ~ 18.5 ha. 	MNES – Threatened Species (Koala) MSES – Threatened Species (Koala) and habitat	Refer Table 15 , item 1
	Possible mortality of fauna during clearing activities.	MNES – Threatened Species MSES – Threatened Species	Refer Table 15 , item 1
	Adoption or improper erosion and sediment control measures, resulting in indirect impacts TECs.	MNES – Threatened Ecological Communities	Refer Table 15 , item 1

Ecological Assessment Report Birkdale Convnunity Precinct

D	4
Ra	plor
E N V	RONMENTAL

Project Phase	Potentially Degrading Impact	Relevant Matters	R ecom mended Nitigerions
	 Removal of areas of regulated vegetation pursuant to the <i>Vegetation Management Act 1999</i>, including: 1.381 ha of Category C (of Concern) RE 12.3.11/12.3.6 0.009 ha of Category C (Endangered) RE 12.5.3/12.5.2a. 0.068 ha of Category C (Endangered) RE 12.5.2a/12.5.3/12.3.6. 	MSES – Regulated Vegetation	Refer Table 15 , item 1
	Possible unlawful removal of marine plant vegetation.	MSES – Marine Frants	Refer Table 15 , items 1, 9 and 10
	Possible loss of animal breeding spaces (i.e. hollow-bearing trees).	MSES - Threatened Species	Refer Table 15, item 1
	Elevated noise and light levels within the Project Area, resulting in a temporary decrease in habitat quality.	MNES Threatened Species MSES – Threatened Species	Refer Table 15 , Items 7 and 8
	Elevated noise and light levels, leading to disruptions to the breeding cycles of resident fauna, resulting in reduced breeding success.	MNES Threatened Species	Refer Table 15 , Items 7 and 8
Operational	Changed hydrological regime for the area, resulting in indirect in pacts to the Swamp Oak TEC.	MNES – Threatened Ecological Communities	Refer Table 14, item 3 and Table 15 , items 9 and 10
	An increase in land-use intensity for the area, resulting in the potential introduction of weeds, pest animals and other threatening processes.	MSES – Restricted Weeds	Refer Table 15 , items 9 and 10
	Changed lighting regime for the area nesulting in a decrease in habitat quality for resident fauna.	MNES – Threatened Species MSES – Threatened Species	Refer Table 15 , Items 7 and 8

quality for resident fauna. Ecological Assessment Report Birkdale Community Precinct

ptor ENVIRONMENTAL

4.2 Impact Mitigation Measures

4.2.1 Design Phase Recommendations

Design-phase recommendations were provided in the early design phase for the Project The design recommendations integrated into the Master Plan and are summarised in **Table 14**, below.

Table 14. Incorporated design-phase recommendations for the Project.

	Number	Element	Integration in design
			The design retains Koala habitat Categories A and B (see Figure 6) area where possible within the Development Footprint.
	1	Koala habitat	The Landscape Plan includes revegetation areas adjoining and buffering the Conservation Area as well as additional planting within open space areas within the Development Footprint. The planting palette incorporates locally important koala trees.
	2	Fauna movement	Fauna movement infrastructure is included in the design. Proposed infrastructure includes rope bridges, signage, pavement stenciling, fauna friendly fencing and Keala exclusion fencing. Permeability of the Development sootprint is important by way of eliminating barriers in the design, this understood that speed will be limited to 30km/hr within the Project and the facility will be inaccessible to vehicles after nours.
			The landscape plan includes koala food trees and locally endemic native species to maintain fauna movement opportunities within the Development Pootprint.
	3	Threatened Ecological Communities	The Development Footprint avoids directly impacting TECs within the Project Area. The design retains the patch of Swamp oak that has the potential to be future TEC following restoration (refer to regrowth RE 12.3.20 shown in Figure 7). This patch of vegetation will be restored with of the aim of restoring this patch to meet the TEC threshold.
			A Stormwater Management Plan has been prepared to ensure the changes to hydrological regime within proximity to TEC is minimal.
	4	Significant, habitat trees	Two significant habitat trees (see Figure 11) will be retained as part of the Project. One is located within the Development Footprint and one is located within the Conservation Area. If significant habitat trees will be impacted by the design, it is recommended that the significant habitat trees are salvaged and reinstalled as vertical habitat feature within landscaping.
<		Wetland area	The wetland area is designed to retain, TECS, vegetation within the Conservation Area, Marine Plants and a significant habitat tree (see Figure 8).
		Protection of retained vegetation.	RCC propose a to submit a Property Map of Assessable Vegetation (PMAV) application to amend the vegetation mapping within the Conservation Area to Category A area (i.e. declared offset area).
	7	Kayak and canoe launch facility	The proposed Kayak and canoe launch facility is located in the existing cleared area along Tingalpa Creek to minimise impacts to marine plants and remnant vegetation and TEC. The proposed

antor

ENVIRONMENTAL

Number	Element	Integration in design
		walking track to the Kayak and canoe launch facility is an existing informal walking track and the path alignment will formalise this existing track through low-impact pathway construction.
8	Lighting	 The concept design includes measures to minimise lighting minimise impacts to fauna during the operational phase of the Project. To minimise potential impacts of light spill, proper light management are incorporated into the design of the physics complies with AS1158.3.1:2020 and the floodlights comply with AS4282: 2019. The design incorporates of tilt anaptar to be installed (which will reduce the upward drow of light) and a warmer 3,000K colour temperature LED light engine (rather than 4,000k) be installed in the luminares. Buffer plantings are the conservation Area. The concept design ensures floodlights face away from the Conservation Area to minimise reflection and light spill. In accordance with the best practice lighting design available design in the National Light Pollution Cridelities for Widlife² (Department of the Environment and Energy, 2020) the design has incorporated the following principals: Lighting is to be installed as close as possible to the ground; Consider adaptive light controls to manage light timing, intensity and colour; Use the lowest intensity lighting appropriate for the task; Use lights with reduced or filtered blue, violet and ultra-violet wavelengths.

4.2.2 Closeng, Construction and Operational Phase Recommendations

Recommendations to be adopted throughout the clearing, construction and operational phases of the Project are detailed in **Table 15**, below.

² Ms noted that the National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife (Department of the Environment and Energy, 2020) have been developed for marine turtles, seabirds and migratory shorebirds, however in the absence of guidelines for light pollution and terrestrial vertebrates this guideline has been referenced.

Raptor ENVIRONMENTAL

Numb	er Element	Recommendation
		Preparation of a detailed Construction Environment Managemer Plan including the following sub-plans:
		Koala Management Plan
		Wildlife Habitat Management Plan (Appendix)
	Construction	Vegetation Management Plan
1	Management	Rehabilitation Management Plan
		Biosecurity Management Plan
		Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
		Noise and Vibration Management Plan
		Stormwater Management Plan
2	Koala	Adherence to the Koala-spotter requirements and staged and sequential clearing conditions as specified in the Nature Conservation (Koala) Plan 2017.
3	Koala	Ensure Koala exclusion fencing is installed around all construction and works areas in accordance with the Queensland Government's <i>Koala Sensitive Design Quidelines</i> .
4	Koala	Provision of on-ground restoration to offset the loss of Koala habitat in accordance with the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Environmental Offsets Policy.
5	Koala	Conduct a) clearing activities outside of the Koala breeding and eispersal season, so far as practicable.
6	Fauna mortality	Prisure a fauna-spotter catcher is present for all vegetation clearing activities.
7	Fauna habitat	Ensure the successful establishment of the lighting buffer planting to minimise light spill into the Conservation Area.
8	Fauna habitat	Ensure lighting fixtures are regularly maintained to ensure the direction of light spill does not unfavourably alter towards the Conservation Area. This includes maintenance checks after significant weather events (i.e. storms) which may damage the pole or fixtures. Ensure lighting operating hours are included in the Operational Management Plan including switching off floodlights outside of operational hours stated in the lighting plans (i.e. 10 pm).
	Vegetation protection	Adoption of a Rehabilitation Program to be implemented around the edges of the Development Footprint to insulate retained areas of the Conservation Area from edge effects.
10	Vegetation protection	Ensure tree protection measures are adopted in accordance with Australian Standard (AS) 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites.

Table 15. Construction and operation-phase recommendations for the Project.

Ecological Assessment Report Birkdale Community Precinct

Raptor

ENVIRONMENTAL

Number	Element	Recommendation
11	Enhancement	Implement restoration and management of the Conservation Area with particular attention paid to: Restoration of koala habitat Restoration of Glossy black habitat Restoration of the Swamp Oak TEC
		 Restoration of the Swamp Oak TEC

Rantor

5 Net Gain

Net environmental benefits or gains are the increase in value of environmental or ecological services achieved by mit ation measures less the impacts of a project or action. In lieu of an existing framework for the assessment of net gain, the following is a high-level review of net benefits as they relate to the Project.

Table 16. Ecological values and project benefits

	Value	Benefits
MNES	Koala habitat	The design retains the Conservation Area including 39 ha of habital that will be managed and restored. The Conservation
		Area supports koala habitat and retains and enhances a regional biodiversity corridor which supports Koala movement
		opportunities within the Lower Tingalpa and Coolnwyn of catchinert.
		The Conservation Agreement with the Commonwealth ensures the long-term protection and conservation of MNES within
		the Conservation Area.
		RCC is operating under an Environmental Early Works and Social Land Management Plan to ensure MNES are protected,
		managed and improved over time (Cardno, 2021). The Early Works Management Plan incorporates the mandatory
		outcomes of the Draft Conservation Agreement including management plans for:
		• Weeds and pests;
		Ecological values and restoration;
		Bushfire management; and
		Restricted access and bacters.
		Patches of koala habitat and koala habitat trees will be retained to maintain movement and foraging opportunities within the
		Development Footprint
		The Landscape Plan includes revegetation areas adjoining and buffering the Conservation Area as well as additional
		planting winin open space areas within the Development Footprint. The planting palette incorporates Locally important
		Koala, kees to maintain connectivity for Koalas through the Development Footprint.
	<	The design has integrated faund-menuity lencing and exclusion rencing to ensure permeability through the Project Area and Vale minimport the risk of Keeles entering the transport network
		Council's Pedland Coast Koala Conservation Plan 2022 2027 details initiatives across the local government area (Pedland
		City 20uncil, 2022) The Redland Coast Koala Conservation Plan 2022-2027 includes initiatives relevant to the project
		including:
	\circ (()))	Koala population monitoring
6	$\langle \langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle$	 Annual koala population and health monitoring will be completed within the Project Area
	$)) \land))$	
	\sim	70
Ecological X	ssessment Report	70

2 4	
Kapler	1
ENV ROMENTAL	

	Value	Benefits
		 Tagged koalas in the region will include individuals recorded within the population manitoring program of the Project Area. Tagging will include a minimum period of three years to monitor population and health data over a medium-term period.
		 The koala watch program will be included in community encagement activities and events to promote program uptake. The Project Area is included in the list of identified sentinel sites to be included in DNA collection and health checks. The disease management program will include the Project Area. Smart signs and driver awareness The internal roads will be included in the smart driver response signage project. Signs will include both passive and vehicle-activated messaging signage. The design has integrated signage and internal traffic will be limited to 30km/hr.
		 The Project will be showcased as a kona watch focus area for community support and engagement. The Project will be included in ROC's community engagement communications plan.
	TECs	0.94 ha of a vegetation community that does not presently meet the condition thresholds for the Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland TEC will be retained and restored such that it does. Weed and pest management measures and the integration of restricted access into the design will enhance the TECs within
		the Conservation Area.
MSES	Wildlife	The design avoids the majority of habitat features within the Development Footprint.
	habitat	The design incorporates ecologically sensitive lighting throughout the proposed infrastructure within the Development Footprint.
		The design incorporates several fauna rope bridges to ensure fauna movement through the Development Footprint is maintained. Fauna rope bridges provide safe passage for arboreal mammals including possums and gliders.
	Koala habitat	The design retains the Conservation Area including 39 ha of habitat that will be managed and restored. The Conservation Area supports koala habitat and retains and enhances a regional biodiversity corridor which supports Koala movement opportunities.
	\mathbf{x}	The design retains patches and individual Non-juvenile Koala habitat trees within the Development Footprint to maintain permeability.
		Searing will be staged and will occur sequentially under the supervision of a Koala spotter.
	NUN [®]	Net benefits for Koala and koala habitat include those detailed in the Redland Coast Koala Conservation Plan 2022-2027 in the MNES section above.
	\swarrow	

Ecological Assessment Report Birkdale Community Precinct

Kapter

	Value	Benefits		
	Regulated	The design avoids and minimises clearing of Regulated Vegetation by consolidating infrastructure in the cleared areas of		
	Vegetation	the Development Footprint.		
		The Conservation Area will be protected under the Vegetation Management Act 1999 through a PMAV application to amend		
		the vegetation mapping to Category A area (i.e. declared offset area) to ensure the long-term protection and conservation of		
1	Manina	the Conservation Area.		
	Plante	The design of the proposed Kayak and cance faunch facility is located in a cleared and eroding section of lingaipa Creek.		
	Fiants	provide for the long-term protection marine plants along Tingalpa Creek		
	Tidal	The detailed design will ensure tidal flows of Tingalpa Creek are maintained and ish movement will be uninhibited by the		
	waterway	proposed Kavak and canoe launch facility.		
	,			
	•			
	\sim			
	\circ (()))			
	`{\{_}}\`			
//				
Ecological X	ssessment Report	t 72		
Dirkuale Con				

ENVIRONMENTAL

6 Literature Cited

Atlas of Living Australia (2022) South-eastern glossy black cockatoo records for the Reclard City Council Local Government Area.

Biolink (2021). Birkdale Community Precinct: Koala Habitat Assessment and Recommendations.

Byrnes, N.B. (1984). A revision of Melaleuca L. (Myrtaceae) in northern and easter Australia, 1. Austrobaileya 2(1): 72.

Cardno (2021) Environmental Early Works and Social Land Management Plan prepared by Cardno (dated 23 November 2021).

Cardno (2021) Review of Regional Ecosystems – Birkdale Community Precinct.

Cardno (2020). Ecological Assessment Report – Birkdale Land Survey.

Commonwealth of Australia (2015). Draft referral guideline for 14 birds listed as migratory species under the EPBC Act.

Department of the Environment and Energy (2020) National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife. Canberra: Department of the Environment and Energy. Available https://www.agriculture.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/national-light-pollutionguidelines-wildlife.pdf

Department of the Environment and Energy (2018). Conservation advice (incorporating listing advice) for the Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland ecological community. Canberra: Department of the Environment and Energy. Available

from: http://www.environment.gov/au/biodiversity/threatened/communities/pubs/141conservation-advice.pdf. In effect under the EPBC Act from 20-Mar-2018.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2022) Referral guidance for the endangered koala, (online)

https://www.dcceew.gov.avenwronment/biodiversity/threatened/publications/referralguidelines-endangered-koala, Department of Climate Change, Energy and the Environment and Water, Canberra.

Department of Winate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2022a). Conservation advice for Calyptorhypchus lathami lathami (south-eastern glossy black cockatoo), Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water Canberra.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2022b). Conservation Advice for *Petauroides volans* (greater glider (southern and central)). Canberra: Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2022c). Approved Conservation Advice for the Subtropical eucalypt floodplain forest and woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland bioregions. Canberra: Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Department of Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning (2017) ShapingSEQ - South East Queensland Regional Plan 2017. Queensland Government, Brisbane.

Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries (2007) Fish Habitat and Management (Operational Policy (FHMOP 001) Brisbane. Queensland Government.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (2011) Survey Guidelines for Australia's Threatened Mammals – Guidelines for detecting mammals listed as threatened under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.Canberra. Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities

Eby, P., & Law, B. (2008). Ranking the feeding habitat of grey-headed tying foxes for conservation management. c: Department of Environment, Heritage, Water and the Arts.

Eyre TJ, Ferguson DJ, Smith GC, Mathieson MT, Venz MF, Hogan, D, Hourigan CL, Kelly, AL & Rowland, J. (2022a). Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Survey Assessment Guidelines for Queensland, Version 4.0. Brisbane: Department of Environment and Science, Queensland Government.

Eyre TJ, Smith GC, Venz MF, Mathieson MT, Hogan LD, Starl, C, Winter, J and McDonald, K (2022b), Guide to greater glider habitat in Queensland, report prepared for the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, Canberra, Repartment of Environment and Science, Queensland Government, Brisbane. QC BX 4.0.

Neldner, V.J., Wilson, B.A., Dillewaard, H.A., Ryan, Y.S., Butler, D.W., McDonald, W.J.F, Richter, D., Addicott, E.P. and Appelman, G.N. (2022) Methodology for survey and mapping of regional ecosystems and vegetation communities in Queensland. Version 6.0. Updated April 2022. Queensland Herbarium, Queensland Department of Environment and Science, Brisbane.

Queensland Government (2020): Minister's Guidelines and Rules. Queensland Treasury June 2020.

Redland City Council (2023) Lower Tingalpa and Coolnwynpin Catchment (online) https://www.redland.qld.gov.au/info/20261/waterways_coasts_and_catchments/657/catchment_ nt_areas_of_the_redlands. Redland City Council, Cleveland

Redland City Council (2022) Draft Birkdale Community Precinct Master Plan, Redland City Council, Cleveland.

Redland City Council (2021) Koala Conservation Action Plan 2022-2027, Redland City Council, Cleveland.

University of the Sunshine Coast, Detection Dogs for Conservation (2021). Birkdale Comparently Precinct Project Koala survey report.

Youngenton, K.N, Marsh, K.F., Skewes, J., A review of koala habitat assessment criteria and methods, report prepared for the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, **Canberra**, November. CC BY 4.0.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Appendix A **Desktop Searches**





Page 85 of 214







Appendix D **Biocondition Assessment**



Spotlighting Survey Notes Appendix E

Page 88 of 214

ENVIRONMENTAL

Appendix F **Habitat Assessments**



Appendix G Non-juvenile Koala Habitat Tree **Survey Data**







Flora and Fauna Species List Appendix I

Page 92 of 214



Appendix J Wildlife Habitat Management Plan



Marine Plant Disturbance Plan Appendix K

ENVIRONMENTAL

Appendix L Weed Survey Data

Raptor

Birkdale Community Precinct: Koala Habitat

Assessment and Recommendations



Table of contents

Executive Summary	3
1. Introduction	5
1.1. Objective	.,) 6
2. Methodology	·····.7
2.1. Study Area	7
2.2. Field Survey	7
2.2.1 Determining Koala Activity: Spot Assessment Technique (SAT)	7
2.2.2 Stadia-metric survey of Preferred Koala Food Trees (PKFTs)	8
2.2.3 Ground-truthing Regional Ecosystems (REs)	8
2.3 Desktop Assessment	8
2.3.1 Carrying Capacity	8
3. Results	9
3.1 Study Area	9
3.2 Field Survey	12
3.2.1 Determining Koala Activity: Spot Assessment Technique (SAT)	12
3.2.2 Stadia-metric survey of Preferred Koala Food Trees (PKFTs)	14
3.2.3 Ground-truthing-Regional Ecosystems (RES)	19
3.3 Desktop Assessment	21
3.3.1 Carrying capacity	21
4. Discussion	21
4.1 Connectivity Considerations	22
5. Recommendations	23
5.1 Future Development	23
5.2 Fencing	23
5.3 Increasing Carving Capacity	26
5.4 Koala Management Plan	27
Reference	29
Appendix A	30
Appendix B.	31
Appendix C	52
Appendix D	54

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description	C
DBH	Diameter at Breast Height	C
EPBC	Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation	
GPS	Global Positioning System	
LGA	Local Government Area	
PKFT	Preferred Koala Food Tree	
РКН	Preferred Koala Habitat	$\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{A}}$
RCC	Redlands City Council	9 7
RE	Regional Ecosystem	-
SAT	Spot Assessment Technique	
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator	
	0, ()	

Acknowledgements

We commend Redland City Council for undertaking this study and acknowledge Cathryn Dexter for her contributions to this project. We also thank cameron Mackay for facilitating site access.

Citation

Biolink Ecological Consultants. (2024). Birkdale Community Precinct: Koala habitat assessment and recommendations. Report Redlands City Council by Biolink Ecological Consultants, Pottsville, NSW.

Executive Summary

The site of the proposed Birkdale Community Precinct at 302 and 362-388 Old Cleveland Road East, Birkdale, was purchased by Redlands City Council (RCC) in 2016. Subsequently, a Conservation Agreement was entered into between RCC and the Commonwealth regarding the projection and conservation of koalas and koala habitat on the site, among other matters. This project assesses koala habitat and quantifies current koala activity levels across the 61.2 ha Birkdale Community Precinct and makes recommendations for future management.

Spot Assessment Technique (SAT) assessments were undertaken at 13 sites across the study area, 11 of which detected the presence of koalas in the form of diagnostic faceal pellets. Koala activity levels were interpreted in the context of two koala carrying capacity landscapes owing to variations in soil fertility. Eight of the sites at which koala presence was confirmed returned significant koala activity levels. Analysis of koala activity levels using a splining process performed with the spatial analyst extension in ArcGIS 10.5 produced an activity contour model delineating areas occupied by resident koalas, living in overlapping home ranges which extensiones the boundaries of the site itself. The maintenance of connectivity across these boundaries and across the site itself, is important for the continued viability of the local koala population,

A stadia-metric survey of Preferred Koala Food Trees (PKFTs) mapped and measured 1,774 PKFTs \geq 100 mm Diameter at Breast Height (DBH), comprising four species; *Eucalyptus tereticornis, E. moluccana, E. microcorys* and *E. resinfera*. The widespread occurrence of *E. tereticornis* across the site is in broad agreement with current Regional Ecosystem (RE) mapping. However, minor adjustments to linework are recommended in some areas, while landscape considerations and floristics imply the presence of other, currently unmapped plant communities on the site. The density and size of mapped canopy trees suggests that some areas which are currently mapped as regrowth may also require recognition as remnant stands.

A notional koala carrying capacity for the site was calculated with reference to koala habitat type classifications. Mapped remnant vegetation on the site is currently estimated as likely \leq 6 koalas, however imapped regrowth vegetation reaches remnant status the total number that the study area could support increases to ~ 20 koalas. In this context, the carrying capacity of the site as a whole could be enhanced by increasing the density of PKFTs within existing habitat. This report recommends that a program of PKFT planting focus on areas in which overall native tree density is low, including areas that are currently dominated by introduced species such as *Pinus elliottii*, and areas that have widely spaced, typically large PKFTs but otherwise exhibit current lack of recruitment. Further

recommendations pertaining to the on-going management of the proposed Birkdale Community Precinct site include fencing to reduce a known vehicle strike blackspot on Old Cleveland Road East, securing the site against access by domestic dogs, and implementing koala-sensitive design principles in the case of future development of the site.

1. Introduction

In March of 2016 Redland City Council (RCC) purchased Willards Farm, a 61.2 ha propert flocated at 302 and 362-388 Old Cleveland Road East, Birkdale, with the intent of establishing a Birkdale Community Precinct. The site includes areas of identified environmental, cultural and distorical significance, including being in a mapped area of koala Generational Persistence, meaning that koala records have re-occurred across this localised area over periods that extend be one the life-span of a single koala, inferring the presence of a resident population (Biolink 2019) Previous mapping of Preferred Koala Habitat (PKH) across the Redlands Local Government Area (CGA) has also identified the presence of suitable habitat for koalas on the site, by merit of the presence of Preferred Koala Feed Trees (PKFTs) within the mapped Regional Ecosystems (REs) (Biolink 2019). For the Redlands Coast, PKFTs comprise the following species (Biolink 2019):

- Eucalyptus robusta (swamp mahogany) *
- E. resinifera (red mahogany),
- E. tereticornis (blue (Forest red) gum),
- E. microcorys (tallowwood),
- E. moluccana (grey box), and
- E. propinqua and/or E. major (grey guins) including affiliated species such as E. biturbinata and/or E. longirostrata.

* Includes the naturally occurring E. robustox E. tereticornis hybrid, often referred to E. patentinervis.

The dominance of PKFTS in the landscape are generally determined with reference to mapped REs, the descriptions of which broadly allow the determination of PKH categories as outlined in **Table 1**.

 Table 1. Four-tiered koala habitat classification hierarchy criteria as applied to REs mapped within the Redlands

 Coast.

Koala habitat type	Classification criteria		
Primary koala habitat	Forest and/or woodland REs occurring on soils of medium to high nutrient value whereupon <u>primary</u> PKFTs are dominant or co-dominant components of the tallest stratum.		
Secondary (Class A) koala habitat	Forest and/or woodland REs occurring on soils of medium to high nutrient value whereupon <u>primary</u> PKFTs are sub-dominant components of the tallest stratum.		

Secondary (Class B) koala habitat	whereupon primary PKFTs are absent, the tallest stratum instead dominated or co-dominated by <u>secondary</u> food tree species only.
Secondary (Class C) / marginal koala habitat	Forest and/or woodland REs occurring on soils of low to medium nutrient value whereupon primary food tree species are absent and <u>secondary</u> food tree species are sub-dominant components of the tallest stratum.

Note: The terms "<u>Primary</u>" and "<u>Secondary</u>" koala food tree species¹ as used in the classifications outlined in Table 1 are based on the simplified logit models of PKFT utilisation described by PKHips (2000).

Each of the classifications in the Table above reflects differing koala carrying capacities of the associated vegetation communities, areas of 'Primary' Koala Habitat capable of sustaining high density populations (*i.e.*, > 0.5 koalas ha⁻¹), whereas Secondary (Class C) / Marginal Koala Habitat can only sustain low density populations (*i.e.*, < 0.1 koalas ha⁻¹). Applying this understanding of the relationship between the presence of specific Eucalypt species and the abitity of a landscape to support resident koalas, provides the basis for an effective and scientifically robust koala habitat assessment.

1.1. Objective

Redlands City Council requires a detailed koala habitar assessment on the site of the 61.2 ha Birkdale Community Precinct, to inform recommendations on yow to maintain and/or increase the koala carrying capacity of the site, among other matters. Specifically, this requires a detailed, landscapescale assessment of koala habitat and activity levels, to be achieved by;

- Interrogating and field-testing vegetation communities to confirm Regional Ecosystem (RE) mapping and Preferred Koale Habitat (PKH) categorisation (*e.g.* Primary, Secondary, Other).
- Mapping of individual Profesed Roala Food Trees (PFKT), species-specific to the Redlands LGA.
- Delineating areas of significant koala activity.
- Assessing koala habitat connectivity / potential movement corridors within and adjoining the site.
- Informing recommendations for management, including enhancement and expansion of koala habitat in the future.



¹ Primary Food Tree requires preferential use by koalas to be significantly higher than other congeners with utilisation that is independent of size class (Phillips *et al.* (2000) refers) whereas a Secondary Food Tree also requires a level of use that is significantly higher than other congeners but with a utilisation model that is typically size-class dependent (Phillips and Callaghan (2000) refers).

2. Methodology

2.1. Study Area

There are three lots which Birkdale Community Precinct includes, namely 1/RP14143, 2/RP14144 and 2/SP146445, hereafter referred to as the study area (**Figure 1**). Within the 61.2 ha study area, 44 ha has been identified as an intended conservation area (Cardno 2020), inclusive of most vegetated parts of the study area, but notionally excluding a centrally located expanse of mostly cleared band.

2.2. Field Survey

2.2.1 Determining Koala Activity: Spot Assessment Technique

Within the study area, potential survey sites were spaced on a randomly designated grid at 250 m intervals. Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinates were determined for each corresponding point and uploaded into hand-held Global Positioning System (GPS) to enable location in the field. Koala activity at each field site was assessed using the Spot Assessment Technique (SAT) of Phillips & Callaghan (2011).

Interrogation of coarse underlying soil landscape mapping (CSIRO 1985) alluded to a mix of greyed podzolic soils with alluvial soils and humic greys along the banks of Tingalpa Creek, with medium to high nutrient krasnozem soils across the rest of the site. This information, in combination with mapped REs, implies the presence of two distinct koala carrying capacity landscapes across the study area, referred to as East Coast (med-high) and East Coast (low) respectively. These levels of koala utilisation are defined by Phillips and Callaghan (2011) and **Table 2** details the koala activity threshold parameters that are applicable to these areas, with medium (normal) use and high use sites indicative of the presence of resident animals, with low use sites being indicative of more transient utilisation.

 Table 2. Categorisations of Koala activity based on use of mean activity level ± 99% confidence intervals. Activity

 levels in the medium (normal) and high use range for East Coast (low) activity categories indicates occupancy

 levels by resident total populations (Source: modified from Table 2 in Phillips and Callaghan 2011).

Activity category	Low use	Medium (normal) use	High use
East Coast (low)	< 9.97%	≥ 9.97% but ≤ 12.59%	> 12.59%
East Coa st (med- high)	< 22.52%	≥ 22.52% but ≤ 32.84%	> 32.84%

¹ Koala activity (%) is determined based on the number of trees with faecal pellets and the number of trees sampled at each site. For example, three positive trees of 30 trees would yield a 10% activity level.

Data analysis

Using the satellite imagery from ESRI Basemap World Imagery (2020) null (zero activity) sites) were designated at 125 m intervals along perceived barriers to koala movement, such as Old Stevenand Road East. Null sites and koala activity data from all sampled field sites were then interpolated using regularised, thin-plate splining techniques using the spatial analyst extension in Accels 10.5. Output from the splining process was utilised to produce an activity contour model to delineate areas occupied by resident koala populations by identifying contours with the 94%) 12.59%, 22.52% and 32.84% significant activity thresholds of Phillips & Callaghan (2011) as previously detailed in **Table 2**. This process produces a meta-population model (or contour map) that delineates important 'source' areas supporting resident koala populations. Lower activity antours were included in the activity model to assist with interpretation of connectivity.

2.2.2 Stadia-metric survey of Preferred Koala Food Trees (PKFts

The location of all Preferred Koala Food Trees (PKFTs), with a Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) greater than 100 mm were recorded within the defined study area using a hand-held GPS. Each PKFT was identified to species and measured. PKFTs were grouped into DBH size increments of 200 mm to determine their size-class distribution. Corrections for multi-stemmed trees were made by summing DBH for each stem.

2.2.3 Ground-truthing Regional Feosystems (REs)

The boundaries of mapped RES were traversed guided by a handheld GPS and concordance with current descriptions of florestics was assessed while walking both the boundaries and internal sections of each mapped RE. The tree composition within each SAT site was also compared to that predicted by underlying mapped RES.

2.3 Desktop Assessment

2.3.1 Carrying Copacity

The carrying capacity of the study area was calculated by coding the habitat within "Queensland Remnant Ecosystems 2019 v 12" spatial layer and the Environmental Protection Act 1994 – High Value Regrowth 2020 (AKA "DP_QLD_EP_MATUREGROWTH_DCDB_A" spatial layer) using the criteria provided in Biolink (2019), as outlined in **Table 1**. An important distinction between the koala habitat

categories which relate to each RE described in Biolink (2019) and habitat categories of the current study, is that RE 12.3.11 / 12.3.6 is considered as Primary / Secondary A habitat in the current study because ground-truthing reveals that the dominant canopy tree, *E. tereticornis*, is not homogeneously distributed therein. Upper and lower estimates for carrying capacity were calculated by using the upper and lower bounds of each PKH category (*e.g.* Secondary A koala habitat can support 0.1) - 0.5 koalas per ha), with reference to the amount of this habitat type mapped within the study area.

3. Results

3.1 Study Area

(Figure 1)

The study area was 61.2 ha in size, consisting of three lots 1/RP14143 2/RP14144 and 2/SP146445 located at 302 and 362-388 Old Cleveland Road East, Birkdale, OLD. The site is less than 100 m from the boundary of Redlands / Brisbane LGA (to the west) and adjoins Howeston Golf Course in the northwestern corner. According to the "Remnant Ecosystems of Queensland 2019 v 12" spatial layer, 14.23 ha (23.33%) of the study area is mapped as remnant vegetation and comprises four REs and a single combination RE, these being:

- 12.1.1 Casuarina glauca woodland on margins of marine clay plains (1.52 ha)
- 12.1.2 Saltpan vegetation including prassland, herbland and sedgeland on marine clay plains (0.89 ha)
- 12.1.3 Mangrove shrubland to low closed forest on marine clay plains and estuaries (0.32 ha)
- 12.5.3 E. racemosa subsp. racemosa woodland on remnant Tertiary surfaces (2.23 ha)
- 12.3.11 / 12.3.6 E. teretisornis +/- E. siderophloia, C. intermedia open forest on alluvial plains usually near coast / M. quinquenervia +/- E. tereticornis, L. suaveolens, C. intermedia open forest on coastal alluvial plains (9.27 ha)

Only one of these (12.3.11 / 12.3.6) contains PKFT species in its description, thus qualifying it as koala habitat. On occasion, REs without PKFT species in their description will qualify as PKH, for example RE 12.1.0 – casuaring glauca woodland, commonly occurs as a monoculture without PKFTs and hence the appropriate habitat categorisation from a koala habitat perspective would be "Other". However, in some areas and for a variety of reasons, RE 12.1.1 may contain PKFTs such as Blue (Forest red) gum and/or swamp mahogany as localised or occasional components of the overstorey. To recognise this possibility, such REs may be categorised as "Other / Secondary A" or other combinations as appropriate, recognising the most commonly occurring form of the habitat from a koala's perspective,

while also acknowledging that within this there will be localised habitat areas wherein PKFTs may be present (Biolink 2019).

In addition to the 14.23 ha of mapped remnant vegetation, a further 28.88 ha of high value regrowth is mapped across the study area according to the *Environmental Protection Act 1994* – High Value Regrowth (DP_QLD_EP_MATUREGROWTH_DCDB_A (5/6/20 – Queensland Spatial). This right alue regrowth includes three RE combinations, these being:

- 12.5.3 / 12.5.2 E. racemosa subsp. racemosa woodland on remnant Tertiary surfaces / C. intermedia, E. tereticornis open forest on remnant Tertiary surfaces, usually near coast and on deep red soils (0.66 ha)
- 12.5.2 / 12.5.3 / 12.3.6 C. intermedia, E. tereticornis open forest on remnant Tertiary surfaces, usually near coast and on deep red soils / E. racenoso subsp. racemosa woodland on remnant Tertiary surfaces / M. quinquenervia +/- E. tereticornis, L. suaveolens, C. intermedia open forest on coastal alluvial plains (9.61 hp)
- 12.3.11 / 12.3.6 E. tereticornis +/- E. siderophloia, C intermedia open forest on alluvial plains usually near coast / M. quinquenervia +/- E. tereticornis) L. suaveolens, C. intermedia open forest on coastal alluvial plains (18.61 ha)



Figure 1. The 61.2 ha study area thin grey line), inclusive of four mapped remnant REs, plus one combination remnant RE, on the site. Three more REs occur in the immediate vicinity. Four combination REs are mapped as high value regrowth on the site, not having officially met remnant status. For description of REs refer to Section 3.1.
3.2 Field Survey

3.2.1 Determining Koala Activity: Spot Assessment Technique (SAT)

Spot Assessment Technique (SAT) assessments were undertaken on the 26th – 27th May 2021, during which time nine SAT sites were assessed (Birk_01 – Birk_10), with an additional four supelementary sites (Birk A – Birk_D) assessed to assist refinement of the boundaries of the activity contours. One of the sites selected by the 250 m grid was not assessed due to a lack of trees at the proposed location. The distribution of these sites is illustrated in **Figure 2**, with a summary of assocrated data supplied in **Appendix A**. Evidence of koalas in the form of diagnostic faecal pellets was recorded at eight of the nine sampled primary sites field sites resulting in a habitat utilisation estimate of approximately 88.89% of the otherwise available habitat. Of the eight active primary sites, six returned significant activity levels of medium to high, and two returned low activity levels. Three of the four supplementary sites were also active, with two of these sites returning significant activity levels of medium to high and one site returning low activity levels. The site is an artefact of the majority of the study area currently supports s significant koap activity indicative of resident animal/s. The depression in activity contours near the central-northern boundary of the site is an artefact of the splining process and in order to determine the activity of this aspect of the model, additional sites would need to be surveyed on adjoining lands.



four high activity sites (red).

3.2.2 Stadia-metric survey of Preferred Koala Food Trees (PKFTs)

The stadia-metric survey of PKFTs was undertaken 28th May – 2^{ne} June 2021, during which time 1,774 PKFTs were measured and mapped (**Figure 3**). Four PKFT species were identified, namely; *E. tereticornis* (*n* = 1,543), *E. moluccana* (*n* = 217), *E. microcorys* (*n* = 12) and *E. resinifera* (*n* = 2) (see **Appendix B** for locational data and DBH). *Eucalyptus tereticornis* was widely distributed throughout the study area and occurred in vegetation mapped as remnant and non-remnant, acress all Bts except 12.1.2 (**Figure 4**). The area supporting the highest density of E. *tereticornis* was the central-south and the lowest density, other than cleared expanses, was the north-eastern portion of the study area which supports a mix of *Allocasuarina littoralis, Melaleuca quinquenervia* and non-PKFT eucalypts. *Eucalyptus moluccana* occurred predominantly in areas mapped as remnant and non-remnant RE 12.3.11 / 12.3.6 and was largely restricted to two localised patches, one along the northern boundary of the study area and the other near the south-western boundary where RE 12.3.11 / 12.3.6 meets RE 12.1.2 (**Figure 5**). There were relatively few *E. microcorys and E. resinifera* which were both restricted to a localised area in the north-west of the study area (**Figure 6**).



Figure 3. Distribution of 1,774 Preferred Koala Food Trees across the study area, comprising *E. tereticornis* (blue circles) (*n*=1,543), *E. moluccana* (orange circles) (*n*=217), *E. microcorys* (green circles) (*n*=12) and *E. resinifera* (red circles) (*n*=2). REs are indicated by coloured polygons.



Figure 4. The distribution of 1, 543 *E. tereticornis* across the study area with individual trees displayed according to their DBH size class. Size classes are in 200 mm size increments starting at 100 - <300 mm (small, light blue circles) up to 1,100 - <1,300 mm (large, dark blue circles), and a single tree in the size class 170 - <190 cm (blue star).



increments starting at 100 - <300 mm (small, light orange circles), up to 900 - <1,100 mm (large, dark orange circles).



Figure 6. The distribution of 12 F. *microcorys* across the study area with individual trees displayed according to their DBH size class. Size classes are in 200 mm increments comprising 100 - 200 mm (small, light green circles), 300 - <500 mm (medium, mid green circles) and 700 - <800 mm (large, dark green circles). The distribution of two *E. resinifera* across the study area are similarly displayed in two size classes of 100 - <300 mm (small, pink circles) and 300 - <500 mm (large, red circles).

The collective size class distribution of all PKFTs \geq 100 mm DBH are displayed in **Figure 7**. Just under half of all mapped trees (46.89%, *n* = 832) had a DBH of less than 300 mm, with a greater proportion of these trees in the 200 - <250 mm and 250 - <300 mm size classes relative to the smaller 100 - <150 mm and 150 - <200 mm size classes.



Figure 7. Histogram showing the distribution of PKFTs in 50 cm DBH intervals, with *E. tereticornis* in blue, *E. moluccana* in orange, *E. microcorys* mercen and *E. resinifera* in red.

3.2.3 Ground-truthing-Regional Ecosystems (REs)

Floristic concordance

The botanical composition and boundaries of mapped RE 12.1.2 are generally consistent with the description of saltpan vegetation including grassland, herbland and sedgeland on marine clay pans, comprising as it does, a brackish grassland of *Sporobolus virginicus* with some emergent *C. glauca* and *N. quinquenervia*. RE 12.1.1, *Casuarina glauca* woodland on margins of marine clay pans, is mapped in a thin strip along much of the south-western boundary of the site adjacent to Tingalpa Creek, with a larger consolidated patch in the west. For much of this mapped RE, the species composition is largely congruous with the RE description, consisting of mangrove shrublands and *C. glauca*, with a smaller

number of emergent *L. suaveolens* and *M. quinquenervia*, and a grassy ground cover which is partially tidally inundated. Along the northern portion of 12.1.1, this RE is likely to extend in an easterly direction into adjacent mapped RE 12.3.11 / 12.3.6, in the vicinity of SAT site Birk_D (discussed below). In the more consolidated western section, the botanical composition of the site is again largely congruous with RE descriptions, including mangrove shrublands and *C. glauca*, with some emergent *E. tereticornis* and *E. siderophloia*. This RE is mapped in the far southern corner of the study area where *C. glauca* are sparser, giving way to a greater number of *L. suaveolens* and *M. quinquenevia*. At this locality the floristics are more akin to 12.3.6 and our notes imply that the boundary of the adjacent 12.3.11 / 12.3.6 extends further to the west and into 12.1.1.

The description of RE 12.3.11 / 12.3.6 is representative of the botanic composition of this mapped RE. An exception to this is in the north-west of the study area where *C. alguca* is co-dominant in the canopy, though it is not present in the RE description. The presence of *C. glauca* in this RE may be indicative of a history of fire / disturbance. Portions of the RE supporting high densities of *E. molucanna* (see **Figure 5**) are also likely to have been mistyped, particularly in the case that the land zone is better described as land zone 5 (RE 12.5.7 maybe more appropriate here).

The far south-east of the study area is mapped as RE 12.93 and the dominance of *E. racemosa* and *C. intermedia* in the canopy is in accord with this RE description, as are the lower densities of *E. siderophloia*, *E. pilularis*, *A. leiocarpa*, *M. quinquenervia*, *Grevillia robusta* and *Livistona australis*. The boundaries of this RE appear to be consistent with existing mapping, though a preponderance of weeds along the mapped boundary particularly *C. camphora* and *Syagrus romanzoffiana*, is complicating.

The north-east of the study area is mapped as high value regrowth of two combination RE descriptions, namely; 12.3.6 / 12.3.11 and 12.5.2 / 12.5.3 / 12.3.6. In areas mapped 12.3.6 / 12.3.11 the floristics are most consistent with 12.3.11, along with the additional presence of *Allocasuarina littoralis*. In areas mapped as 12.5.2 / 12.5.3 / 12.3.6, the floristics reflect 12.5.3, including the presence of some *E. microcorys* and *E. resinifera* in addition to the dominant *E. racemose*, though again with the addition of *A. littoralis*.

Canopy composition at SAT sites

Of the 13 SAT sites which were assessed, six were located within the boundaries of mapped REs with the remainder falling in unmapped vegetation or mapped high value regrowth. The majority of the sites in mapped REs (five), occurred in the combined RE 12.3.11 / 12.3.6, with the final site located in RE 12.1.1. Of the seven sites that do not fall within mapped REs, two occur in non-habitat comprised Page | 20 of *Pinus elliottii* (Birk_04 and Birk_C), three sites (Birk_03, Birk_07, Birk_08) are situated in mapped high value regrowth RE 12.3.11 / 12.3.6. and the remaining two sites (Birk_A, Birk_B) are located in mapped high value regrowth corresponding to RE 12.5.2 / 12.5.3 / 12.3.6. The canopy compositions at SAT sites are broadly consistent with that described above and are presented in detail in **Appendix C**.

3.3 Desktop Assessment

3.3.1 Carrying capacity

There were four REs and a single combination RE located within the study area, totalling 14.23 ha of mapped remnant vegetation. According to PKH categorisation for Redands LGA (Biolink 2019) two REs, 12.1.2 (0.89 ha) and 12.1.3 (0.32 ha), qualify as 'Other' koala habitat, meaning that they do not support koalas, a categorisation which is consistent with PKFT mapping (Figure 3). RE 12.5.3 (2.23 ha) is considered Secondary C koala habitat supporting < 0.1 keatas per ha, again consistent with PKFT mapping. The remaining RE and RE combination of 12.01 (1.51 ha) and 12.3.11 / 12.3.6 (9.27 ha) are considered Secondary A koala habitat supporting 0.1 205 koalas per ha, according to Biolink (2019). Ground truthing via tree mapping, in conjunction trowledge of the underlying soil landscapes, suggests that 12.3.11 / 12.3.6 is more accurately described as Secondary A / Primary koala habitat in the context of the Birkdale site. Based on these categorisations, the mapped remnant vegetation across the study area has a carrying capacity of 1.08 – 6.27 koalas.

There is an additional 28.88 ha of high value regrowth mapped across the study area. This includes three RE combinations, two of which, 12,5.3 / 12.5.2 (0.66 ha) and 12.5.2 / 12.5.3 / 12.3.6 (9.61 ha) are categorised as Secondary C / Secondary A. The remaining RE combination, 12.3.11 / 12.3.6 (18.61 ha) is considered Secondary A / Primary habitat (see above). If these REs were to reach remnant status, they could collectively support 2.27 – 13.59 koalas, increasing the total number that the study area could support to 3.35, 19.85, better described as $\sim 3 - 20$ koalas.

4. Discussion

The outcomes of PKFT mapping and SAT survey confirm the widespread presence of koala habitat and koela activity across the Birkdale Community Precinct site with a stadia-metric survey identifying 1,774 PKFTs 2 100 mm DBH. This is congruous with previous mapping of PKH on the site by Biolink (2019), with the exception that areas mapped as 12.3.11 / 12.3.6 qualify as Secondary A / Primary habitat, rather than Secondary A, by virtue of *E. tereticornis* functioning as a primary food tree on medium to high nutrient soils. The study area therefore supports a mix of Primary, Secondary A, Secondary C and Page | 21

Other koala habitat. Current RE mapping is in broad agreement with the floristic composition, with some minor alterations to linework and typing recommended for the boundary of 12.1.1 and 12.3.11 / 12.3.6 and other localities. Canopy cover in areas which are currently mapped as high value regrowth, particularly in the west, is likely to reach benchmarks for remnant status as is reflected by the size and density of the mapped PKFTs.

The size class distributions of *E. tereticornis* and *E. moluccana* reveal that there are fewer small trees (100 – 200 mm DBH) than is ideal for a stable population. This distribution should ideally approximate a smooth 'inverse j-shaped' pattern, akin to the log normal function, such that younger trees are plentiful enough to exceed the mortality of older trees (Smith *et al*, 1997) (This should be considered during the drafting of any Vegetation Management Plan, as recruitment must keep pace with mortality for populations to persist.

Evidence of koalas in the form of diagnostic faecal pellets was recorded at eight of the nine sampled primary sites field (SAT) sites, resulting in a habitat utilisation estimate of approximately 88.89% of the otherwise available habitat. Three of the four supplementary sites were also positive for koala activity indicating that koalas are utilising the bulk of the site, including both remnant and non-remnant vegetation. Of the eleven positive sites, eight returned significant medium to high koala activity levels, with the resulting activity contours indicating the presence of resident animal/s across much of the study area with the highest activity in the central – east. SAT survey results are broadly aligned with a report by Cardno (2020) where six transects within the study area were traversed for koala faecal pellets. The primary discrepancy in the outcomes of this report compared to that of Cardo (2020) is that we report high koata activity in the north-eastern corner of the site, whereas Cardno (2020) recorded absence in this area.

The maximum notional keela carrying capacity of the site in the order of 20 koalas, based on calculations relying an the boundance of PKFTs within vegetation classified as either Primary, Secondary A or Secondary C habitat across the study area. This estimate assumes that areas mapped as high value regrowth have the same capacity to sustain koalas as mapped remnant vegetation - an assumption we consider reasonable given that all three SAT sites in high value regrowth returned significant high activity levels (Birk_03: 53.33%; Birk_07: 83.33%; Birk_08: 66.67%).

44 Connectivity Considerations

The proposed Birkdale Community Precinct is situated in the broader context of interconnected koala habitat throughout Redlands LGA, with other suitable habitat located on immediately adjacent lands to the north, west and south of the site (Biolink 2019). Modelled activity contours suggest that resident

koalas are likely to traverse these site boundaries, with no obvious barriers to koala movement in these locations, consisting as they do of mostly contiguous habitat. Tingalpa Creek, which bounds the study area to the south and west, is unlikely to seriously impede koala dispersal by virtue of the fact that the canopy is continuous across the creek in some sections and the width of the creek is < 5 m along several stretches. This applies predominantly to the south of Tingalpa Creek near the netal recycling plant (Jones Road) and Willards Farm Homestead. The maintenance of connectivity across these boundaries, and across the site itself, is important for the continued viability of the local koala population.

5. Recommendations

5.1 Future Development

Any future development of the Birkdale Community Precinel site should ideally avoid areas of significant koala activity, more so if removal of PKFTs is required. An exception to this is the cleared expanse in the middle of the site and weedy adjacent area dominated by *P. elliottii* and *Psidium guajava*. The 44-ha conservation area proposed by Cardo (2020) is a reasonable proxy for the areas to avoid in any possible future development of recreational infrastructure. Conversely, there are some large PKFTs located outside the proposed conservation area that require consideration. In the absence of extending the conservation area to incorporate all PKFTs \geq 300 mm DBH, we recommend that these biodiversity assets are integrated into any development plan such that their removal is avoided and that Australian standards for Tree Krotection Zones are followed. Retaining all large PKFTs will prevent placing nutritional stress on resident koalas and will serve a protective function by ensuring that areas of consolidated habitat do not become disconnected by any future development, by allowing animals to move in a 'stepping stone' fashion across non-habitat if required.

We recommend measures that will result in the exclusion of all domestic dogs from the site.

5.2 Fencing

Informed by the location of a koala vehicle-strike blackspot on Old Cleveland Road East along the eastern border of the site (Biolink 2019), koala-exclusion fencing should be installed along the length of this boundary (Figure 8). A variety of exclusion fencing is available and there is evidence that regardless of the type, fencing *per se* successfully deters the movement of koalas and so the potential for koala vehicle collisions (Phillips and Fitzgerald 2014). Current best practice fencing standard would imply the use of 1.5 m - 1.8 m high chain mesh wire in 3 - 5 m panels. In any wildlife exclusion fencing program and in the absence of koala-grids (see Figure 9) at each end of the fence line, there is the

potential for so-called 'fence-end issues', *i.e.* displacing vehicle-strikes from their current location to the ends of the fence line (van der Ree *et al.* 2015). This is best addressed by continuing the fence to a natural barrier or following the tree line away from the black spot. We suggest that at the southern boundary of the site, the fence line turns westward along Jones Road, adjacent to the recycling plant, ending at Tingalpa Creek. To the north, exclusion fencing should extend past the federally held ands directly to the north, and Birkdale Fodder Forest (near Creek Road), where fencing would turn westward and follow the tree line to meet residential fencing. To avoid trapping animals in the road corridor, this exclusion fencing should include one-way structures which would allow koalas to enter the site from the east (**Appendix D**).

Other than protecting koalas from a known vehicle-strike blackspot, fencing can also be used to great effect in excluding domestic dogs. We recommend fencing the northern boundary of the site with exclusion fencing, with the addition of two-way koala bridges at regular intervals, in order to support the continued dispersal of animals into and out of adjacent habitat (**Figure 8**, **Appendix D**). Such fencing already exists along the boundary with Howeston Golf Course, which should be modified with the addition of two-way koala bridges. Other existing tencing along the northern boundary of the site is barbed wire and would not enforce an exclusion grip cipal.



While exclusion fencing is an effective impediment to koala movement and has been shown to ameliorate vehicle mortality when correctly installed, there is a positive correlation between vehiclestrikes and entry nodes such as driveways that allow koalas access into fenced road corridors where they presumably become trapped (Phillips and Fitzgerald 2014). For this reason, we recommend that exclusion fencing be used in conjunction with specially constructed 'koala-grids' at entry points to the site (**Figure 8**). When located in driveways these koala-grids, which consist of a depression in the road covered by a grid of round pipes (**Figure 9**), are successful in preventing koalas from entering the road corridor (Biolink 2003 - 2009). This embodies best-practice design principals that will enforce exclusion by not allowing koalas to gain access to Old Cleveland Road East.



Figure 9. Example of **FRBC**-approved koala grid, fencing and signage used to manage access by koalas (Tip Road, Dunbogan, NSW).

5.3 Increasing Carrying Capacity

The notional carrying capacity of this site, calculated according to koala habitat classifications of the vegetation is ~3 20 koalas. The number of koalas whose home ranges intersect with the site is not currently known, however SAT survey outcomes and observations made in the course of fieldwork suggest that it is closer to the minimum of this estimate. Increasing the carrying capacity of the site could be achieved by extending the geographic extent of koala habitat or by increasing the density of

PKFTs within existing habitat. This report recommends that a program of PKFT planting focus on areas in which overall native tree density is low, either in areas that are currently dominated by introduced species such as *P. elliottii*, in association with a weed control program, and/or in areas that support widely spaced, typically large PKFTs but exhibiting a current lack of recruitment. This circumstance is evident in some grassy paddock areas *e.g.* to the north-west of the communication / radio building. Plantings should utilise locally sourced heirloom seeds of the PKFT species currently tound onsite, namely; *E. tereticornis*, *E. moluccana*, *E. microcorys* and *E. resinifera*.

5.4 Koala Management Plan

The Conservation Agreement pertaining to the study area that exists between Council and the Commonwealth requires RCC to develop a management plan for koalas and koala habitat. We recommend that the outcomes of this report be used to inform such a management plan. The management plan should also include a <u>schedule for monitoring</u> of the site using SAT methodology to inform on changes in koala activity levels and metapopulation structure over time. The SAT sites established by this study provide a baseline for such on going monitoring.

Koala management of the site should include guidelines for koala-friendly development and <u>construction</u> to protect koalas from disturbance By way of example these could include;

- Temporarily suspending the clearing of vegetation within a range of 25 m from any tree which is currently occupied by a koala until such time as the koala has moved from the tree by its own volition.
- Clearing of land should not commence until the area proposed for clearing has been inspected for the presence of kealas by a suitably qualified person and approved in writing.
- Approval to proceed with dearing is valid for the day on which the inspection is undertaken.

An alternative to the measures listed above is to temporarily fence any construction footprint with koala-proof fencing and enable dispersal of koalas from within the impact zone via one-way koala bridges (Appendix D)

Increasing the carsying capacity, as outlined in Section 5.3 above, is conceptually different to moving more koalas onto the site. If this were to be pursued in the form of assisted introductions, it would need to be qualified and follow a <u>scientifically informed reintroduction program</u> outlined in the management plan.

Koala management pertaining to the study area should also speak to the broader koala population. We recommend an <u>evaluation of habitat connectivity</u> across a geographic area that extends beyond the study area itself and incorporates such factors as barriers to local dispersal and effective connectivity elements in the environment.

References

Biolink. (2003 – 2009). *Management of koala issues in conjunctions with the proposed upgrading of Skyline Road*. Various reports for Lismore Council. Biolink Ecological Consultants, Uki, NSW.

Biolink. (2019). Redlands Coast Koala Population and Habitat Assessment. Report to Bedandy City Council. Biolink Ecological Consultants, Uki, New South Wales.

Cardno. (2020). Ecological Assessment Report: Birkdale Land Survey. Report to Redland City Council. Cardno, Fortitude Valley, Queensland.

CSIRO. (1985). Division of soils: the soil landscapes of Brisbane and South-eastern Environs, Queensland. Adelaide, South Australia.

Phillips, S. and Callaghan, J. (2011). The spot assessment technique: a tool for determining localised levels of koala habitat use by koalas *Phascolarctos cinereus*. *Australian Zoologist*, **35**: 774-780.

Phillips, S. and Fitzgerald, M. (2014). *Review of koolg road-kill data and issues associated with underpass use by koalas: Pacific Highway upgrades from Clothiers Creek to Ewingsdale*, NSW. Report to NSW Roads and Maritime Services. Biolink Ecological Consultants, Uki, NSW.

Smith, D.M., Larson, B.C., Kelty, M.J. and Ashton P.M.S. (1997). *The Practice of Silviculture: Applied Forest Ecology*. John Wiley & Sons, New York

USC (University of Sunshine Coast). (2018) Redland Coast Koala Population Assessment Project. Report to Redlands City Council by University of Sunshine Coast, Detection Dogs for Conservation.

Van der Ree, R., Gagnon, J.W. and Smith, D.J. (2015). *Fencing: a valuable tool for reducing wildlife-vehicle collisions and funnelling found to crossing structures*. From Handbook of Road Ecology (eds. R. van der Ree, D.J. Smith and C. Grilo), John Wiley & Sons.



Site	Date	Easting	Northing	Activity	Site type	Activity category	Activity categorisation	Comments
Birk_01	26/05/2021	519930	6956689	3.33	primary	East Coast med-high	low	some lantana
Birk_02	26/05/2021	519670	6956943	30.00	primary	East Coast med-high	medium	4 x burnt scats found at trees 4,5,6,10
Birk_03	26/05/2021	519922	6956944	53.33	primary	East Coast med-high	high	grassy, bug and small scats
Birk_04	26/05/2021	520173	6956935	0	primary	East Coast low	zero	deg scats found at tree 17 and tree 22
Birk_05	26/05/2021	519422	6957191	10.00	primary	East Coast med-high	low	dog scat at tree 8
Birk_07	26/05/2021	519936	6957190	83.33	primary	East Coast med-high	high	grassy
Birk_08	26/05/2021	520178	6957189	66.67	primary	East Coast med-high	high	dog scat on tree 19
Birk_09	27/05/2021	519418	6957444	30.00	primary	East Coast med-high	medium	mixed pellet age
Birk_10	26/05/2021	519671	6957447	20.00	primary	East Coast med-high	medium	grassy, loads of fallen branches - super spikey
Birk_A	27/05/2021	520063	6957327	16.67	supplementary	East Coast low	high	
Birk_B	27/05/2021	520302	6957331	33.33	supplementary	East coast low	high	
Birk_C	27/05/2021	520053	6956823	0	supplementary	East Coast low	zero	grassy, dog scat at tree 21
Birk_D	27/05/2021	519562	6957077	3.33	supplementary	East Coast med-high	low	dog scat at tree 14 and 15. Grassy/weedy site, next to saltmarsh. Hard to rake.
	RIO							

Appendix A

Appendix B

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. microcorys	21	520160.18	6957373.18		E. moluccana	17	519802.65	6957432.35
	21	520156.04	6957373.95			17	519574.43	6957457.31
	23	520090.63	6957301.29			17	51975842	6957386.24
	26	520176.15	6957348.89			17	519402,27	957262.85
	31	519999.99	6957314.40			17	519425.81	6957222.83
	33	520185.41	6957342.45			18	519788.80	6957418.42
	36	520096.60	6957385.46			18	519798.79	6957427.93
	37	520139.26	6957320.92			10	\$19416.20	6957267.15
	38	520152.32	6957332.09			- le	519457.43	6957230.75
	41	520233.75	6957366.96	-		18	519432.55	6957235.45
	74	520161.67	6957377.16			18	519763.28	6957392.76
	79	520150.54	6957332.98		\bigcirc	18	519376.37	6957247.94
E. moluccana	11	519443.41	6957234.99		al .	▶ 19	519411.76	6957268.04
	11	519433.91	6957224.03			19	519377.04	6957231.44
	12	519420.01	6957239.34		\sim	20	519443.32	6957241.41
	12	519816.07	6957426.13	〈	\times	20	519424.56	6957245.43
	12	519798.64	6957397.36	6		20	519640.47	6957430.40
	12	519431.15	6957225.48	V	\bigcirc	20	519443.21	6957233.10
	13	519755.98	6957399.41	\sim		20	519376.54	6957229.89
	13	519789.58	6957418 81	\bigtriangledown	•	21	519344.76	6957243.45
	13	519412.21	6957 1 86.81	S [−]		21	519807.76	6957418.72
	13	519769.70	6957396.74	-		21	519772.73	6957438.72
	13	519404.40	6957236 27			21	519830.82	6957389.33
	13	519840.26	6957241.54	-		21	519360.96	6957245.75
	14	519341 80	6957245.00			21	519736.00	6957444.64
	14	5219431 70	6957263.69	-		21	519808.18	6957433.56
	14	519656.71	6957459.28	-		21	519433.88	6957203.32
	14	519780.10	6957417.88			22	519806.39	6957428.13
	15	519878.38	6957419.61			22	519371.26	6957262.68
	18	519763.19	6957398.74			22	519364.63	6957253.94
	× ¹⁵	519810.82	6957421.38			22	519622.94	6957465.21
	a tes	519771.24	6957432.18			22	519798.35	6957218.80
	15	519843.92	6957239.11			23	519722.02	6957410.77
	15	519652.37	6957459.73	-		23	519870.88	6957423.93
0	16	519791.00	6957438.58	-		23	519396.06	6957269.29
	16	519430.36	6957224.70	-		24	519790.67	6957417.75
	16	519446.18	6957235.98			24	519478.45	6957219.42
	16	519766.63	6957395.75			24	519760.21	6957392.10
	16	519439.05	6957225.13			24	519389.71	6957253.90
	16	519859.82	6957420.85			24	519664.41	6957454.29

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. moluccana	24	519863.17	6957422.84		E. moluccana	32	519738.32	6957415.84
	25	519407.29	6957253.98			32	519769.17	6957437.17
	25	519367.16	6957232.78			32	519410.81	6957289.42
	25	519649.81	6957465.83	-		32	519357.65	6957273.67
	25	519365.02	6957254.83			32	519384.09	695 256.90
	25	519396.20	6957231.85			32	519800.57	6957427.48
	25	519329.69	6957272.94			33	519745.24	6957419.49
	25	519733.83	6957445.31	-		33	51940.7	6957250.78
	25	519858.04	6957425.06	-		32	529800.76	6957428.70
	25	519810.34	6957422.93	-		33	519659.34	6957434.13
	25	519741.59	6957418.28	-		- 13	519661.42	6957437.01
	26	519870.97	6957416.07			334	519349.72	6957255.96
	26	519850.15	6957427.63			34-7	519796.40	6957418.62
	26	519781.62	6957437.37		\sim	34	519436.96	6957278.53
	26	519442.81	6957228.56			34	519330.93	6957244.02
	27	519416.87	6957252.86			35	519773.59	6957425.42
	27	519375.57	6957241.41		\circ	35	519479.17	6957236.92
	27	519483.24	6957251.98	<		35	519659.65	6957441.44
	27	519650.58	6957456.74			36	519725.67	6957410.77
	27	519866.91	6957411.09	$(\cap$	\sim	36	519314.86	6957258.01
	27	519347.82	6957244.11		\bigcup	36	519320.71	6957278.16
	27	519447.74	6957220 69		>	36	519649.20	6957455.09
	27	519793.86	6957436.91	\rightarrow		36	519382.26	6957224.56
	28	519350.33	6957271.24	\mathbf{P}		36	519805.00	6957421.71
	28	519389.93	6957267.64			36	519395.44	6957251.46
	28	519426.14	6957241.55	-		37	519405.13	6957194.73
	28	519870.77	6957416.40	-		37	519320.32	6957276.16
	28	519341.38	6957231.82	-		38	519382.40	6957250.04
	29	519484.88	6957225.61	-		38	519415.04	6957218.64
	29	519703.21	6957446.91	-		38	519595.45	6957443.65
	29	519488.97	6957253.41			39	519599.72	6957455.39
	29/	519437.96	6957223.70			39	519788.50	6957418.97
	29	519764.14	6957442.71	-		39	519840.36	6957427.64
	30	519772.82	6957433.62			39	519378.81	6957226.23
	300	519426.13	6957236.12			39	519571.48	6957401.93
	30	519768.29	6957439.94			39	519/68.64	695/411.4/
	> 30	519446.64	6957212.49			39	519469.17	6957222.76
		519774.15	695/398.61			39	519815.08	695/180.56
	- 31	519355.93	6957248.86			40	519386.80	095/225.00
	31	519465.66	0957250.46			40	519782.90	095/43/.15
►	31	519/90.10	6957429.16			40	519592.89	6957450.63
	32	519476.96	695/216.//	-		41	519364.03	695/250.18
	32	519378.64	6957247.49]		41	519326.77	6957232.95

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. moluccana	41	519339.72	6957244.23		E. moluccana	55	519795.92	6957426.60
	41	519778.15	6957434.38			56	519448.79	6957199.53
	41	519406.98	6957242.69	-		57	519781.89	6957421.86
Species E. moluccana	41	519780.13	6957434.49	-		58	519720.70	6957451.31
	41	519555.84	6957443.60			58	519869.77	6957406.32
	42	519356.33	6957254.18			59	51932	6957246.58
	42	519673.47	6957438.32			60	518770 61	6957410.37
	42	519335.57	6957243.80			60	5195 1.80	6957464.61
	43	519389.32	6957257.45			63	579779.41	6957415.55
	43	519480.28	6957253.98			64	519857.61	6957403.80
	43	519349.43	6957262.38	-		64 /	519858.41	6957405.35
	44	519371.45	6957257.59	-	1	67	519772.09	6957405.49
	44	519681.41	6957402.75	-		74-7	519863.94	6957405.89
	44	519772.61	6957423.87		\sim	74	519480.76	6957244.56
	45	519444.99	6957236.20			74	519705.30	6957454.77
	45	519497.85	6957244.76			75	519347.95	6957262.16
	45	519684.66	6957459.13		\circ	80	519770.25	6957430.19
	45	519366.58	6957239.43	<		98	519419.62	6957244.22
	45	519770.13	6957417.67			102	519470.29	6957244.91
	45	519347.15	6957258.18	(105	519491.35	6957255.18
	45	519350.01	6957254.96		E. resinifera	16	0.00	0.00
	46	519784.35	6957413.99			46	0.00	0.00
	46	519443.81	6957237 09		E. tereticornis	11	519395.92	6957307.84
	46	519391.44	6957223.99	$\mathbf{>}$		11	520055.52	6956907.34
	46	519422.68	6957238.12	-		11	520032.94	6956927.87
	46	519317.94	6957269.08	-		11	520391.08	6957183.93
	47	519483.83	6957249.54	-		11	519449.76	6957312.96
	48	51939 .53	6957199.50			11	520145.65	6956885.37
	48	519578.50	6957470.04			11	519902.49	6957187.39
	48	519360.89	6957265.13	-		11	519448.18	6957315.40
	48 ((519788.14	6957438.69	-		11	520048.88	6956652.37
	49/2	519364.22	6957247.52	-		11	520084.58	6956983.84
	49	519841.27	6957250.74			11	519663.03	6957459.49
	50	519397.31	6957249.35	-		11	520111.54	6956863.17
	580	519399.06	6957231.40	-		11	520325.93	6957023.09
E.	50	519377.65	6957244.95	-		11	519351.62	6957463.09
	50	519398.81	6957198.28			11	520298.66	6957016.38
		519870.09	6957419.73	-		11	5195/1.//	6957210.30
	- 52	519332.15	695/263.85			11	519821.78	6956988.36
	53	5194/7.86	095/224.19			11	520055.63	09509/7.13
	53	519406.16	6957222.53			11	520095.90	6956959.89
	54	519//1.90	695/409.69	-		11	520103.09	6956950.02
	55	519744.00	6957447.51	J		12	520250.98	6956849.76

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	12	519576.04	6957228.13		E. tereticornis	14	520101.44	6956964.09
	12	519855.95	6956863.36			14	520084.08	6956981.29
	12	519146.94	6957310.22			14	519318.96	6957357.47
	12	520102.65	6956861.52			14	519399.96	6957239.37
	12	520240.28	6956834.60			14	520091.07	6957146.88
	12	519816.51	6956964.22			14	520012.53	0956951.72
	12	520005.13	6956956.72			14	520100.21	6956938.40
	12	520104.97	6956950.68			14	520120.11	6956908.79
	12	519800.16	6957417.85			14	549781.01	6956939.58
	12	520085.04	6957021.17			14	519818.25	6956942.07
	12	520334.55	6957040.36			(4	519827.32	6957421.35
	12	520096.43	6956860.53	-			520151.50	6956836.41
	12	519796.61	6957364.68	-		14	520115.69	6956861.83
	12	519383.72	6957334.22	_		1	519835.54	6956883.22
	12	519421.09	6957298.38	_	40	14	520154.53	6956876.28
	12	520322.27	6957019.44	_		14	520126.15	6956916.31
	12	520053.93	6956964.06		\circ	14	520093.76	6957158.72
	12	520130.86	6956894.59	<		14	520016.85	6957118.86
	12	520033.83	6956932.86			14	519304.50	6957328.58
	13	519425.12	6957283.09	(\mathcal{C})		14	520137.33	6956869.99
	13	519878.26	6956921.04		\bigcirc	15	519577.88	6957202.98
	13	519819.72	6957423.57		Þ	15	520104.71	6956850.11
	13	519405.70	6957304 61			15	520103.05	6956864.51
	13	520040.28	695 69 46.58			15	520115.60	6956866.59
	13	519344.82	6957282.88			15	519408.40	6957327.75
	13	519517.12	6957128.64			15	520381.86	6957342.57
	13	519628.99	6956986.24			15	520082.80	6957279.26
	13	51957 B .23	6957202.21	-		15	519993.58	6956593.64
	13	519821.39	6957420.91	-		15	519267.30	6957355.34
	13	519966.48	6956634.45	-		15	519350.17	6957292.73
	13	520312.19	6957018.46			15	519913.52	6956859.28
		520100.62	6956945.71			15	519822.77	6956925.89
		520242.27	6956839.80	-		15	520156.00	6956870.29
	13	520037.01	6957183.85			15	520009.94	6956934.78
		520150.99	6056628.02			15	520145.11	6056061.27
	12	519905.78	6057220.21			15	520072.09	6056961.37
	12	519474.40	6057220.51	-		15	519905.20 E10660.6E	6056006.04
		519517.20	6056072 76	-		15	519009.05	6056921 29
	12	519667.67	6957/5/ 20	-		15	510365 /5	6957227 12
	1/	5100/.0/	6056202 10	1		15	520152 79	6056026.06
	1/1	510702 02	6957280 17	1		15	520132.70	6956658 00
	14	510806 70	6056975 77	-		15	510252 61	6057202 51
	14	213990.18	03008/5./2	J		15	519352.64	095/292.51

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	15	520103.00	6956949.91		E. tereticornis	17	520009.58	6956955.82
	15	520031.30	6957137.56			17	520175.48	6957185.84
	15	520034.34	6956942.49	-		17	520047.38	6957184.28
	16	520125.90	6957247.40	-		17	519999.99	6957133.84
	16	520247.30	6956836.69			17	519271.42	6957334.17
	16	519709.67	6956920.87			17	519874.02	6956863.55
	16	519979.94	6957191.70			17	520031,01	6956958.00
	16	520013.07	6957161.63			17	519978.16	6957192.92
	16	519915.75	6957382.99			17	570156.30	6956874.28
	16	519234.41	6957289.92			17	52 03 15.02	6956998.74
	16	520308.92	6957310.12				519996.53	6957134.29
	16	519385.56	6957248.70				520085.87	6957106.79
	16	519829.10	6957425.11				519933.66	6957400.13
	16	519973.39	6957173.10				520111.24	6956860.40
	16	519509.11	6957435.37			17	519203.77	6957337.16
	16	520148.13	6956891.02			17	519878.51	6956893.01
	16	520157.88	6956874.72	-	0.	17	519927.29	6956822.93
	16	520124.24	6956898.37			17	520106.26	6956955.33
	16	519862.92	6956960.49			17	520042.76	6956958.32
	16	519371.90	6957291.81	6		17	520103.89	6956952.57
	16	520310.70	6957311.89		<u>O</u> r	17	520062.65	6956976.45
	16	520005.16	6957036.91		Þ	18	519160.39	6957321.83
	16	519874.64	6956878 73	\triangleright		18	520109.75	6956850.10
	16	519423.56	6957419.33	\diamond		18	520158.41	6956835.84
	16	519936.00	6956839.33	-		18	519908.60	6956870.25
	16	520014.98	6957122.19			18	519684.67	6957035.11
	16	519306.69	6957342.31			18	520249.24	6957348.10
	16	519931.66	6956837.76			18	520447.20	6957313.66
	16	520147.44	6956890.02			18	519827.25	6957014.39
	16	520039.59	6956952.45			18	519954.17	6956595.70
	16	520862.38	6957176.50	-		18	519584.52	6957030.62
	16/2	520/05.42	6957162.69			18	520004.11	6957118.11
	17	520308.18	6957042.06	-		18	519907.61	6957419.33
	17	519704.80	6956897.72	-		18	520006.45	6957037.47
~	NO	520320.59	6957020.67	-		18	519872.02	6956907.86
	<u>v</u>	519948.73	6957377.62			18	519893.55	6957397.43
	17	519399.80	6957388.91			18	519395.92	6957246.80
	17	519359.63	6957340.79			18	519425.72	6957290.18
\bigcirc	2 17	519348.86	6957276.23			18	520304.59	6957018.81
	17	519387.63	6957307.96			18	519935.07	6956810.84
	17	520042.28	6956964.74			18	519820.39	6956924.34
	17	520029.53	6956961.55			18	519940.17	6956847.72
	17	520147.34	6956888.25			18	520374.44	6957155.05

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	18	520291.25	6957016.62		E. tereticornis	19	520324.88	6957047.46
	18	519959.19	6957066.90			19	520307.68	6957038.63
	18	520345.95	6957303.86			19	519892.12	6956879.92
	18	520400.36	6957299.55			19	519391.47	6957487.73
	18	519648.51	6956966.93			19	519354.99	6957280.54
	18	519586.78	6957456.85			19	520108.56	6956852.54
	18	519906.00	6956853.87			19	518931,69	6957405.89
	18	520117.32	6956949.78			19	519792.59	6956954.96
	18	519750.00	6956941.07			19	579699.15	6957380.35
	18	520035.32	6957117.84			19	519284.78	6957350.43
	18	520010.25	6957126.30				519469.37	6957288.33
	18	520389.46	6957273.44			19	519777.25	6956935.05
	18	519933.85	6957397.36			19	519813.08	6956919.81
	18	519571.85	6957204.10		\sim	13	520151.27	6956878.50
	18	520319.09	6957008.04			19	519770.77	6957387.10
	18	519383.05	6957413.20			19	520325.58	6957051.67
	18	519431.56	6957485.34		\circ	19	519365.46	6957345.32
	18	520345.37	6957308.62			19	519354.80	6957410.25
	18	519864.93	6956921.72			19	519783.13	6956970.37
	18	520313.33	6957046.82	6		19	519824.09	6956949.70
	18	519926.16	6957404.90	Ň	\bigcirc	19	519502.54	6957464.85
	18	519752.25	6956928.55		\triangleright	20	520395.01	6957228.12
	18	520136.34	6956985 52			20	519339.35	6957256.64
	18	519933.69	6956813.39	\diamond		20	519370.55	6957502.05
	18	519931.11	6956864.82			20	519399.31	6957449.17
	18	519817.	6956908.95			20	519703.90	6956894.18
	18	519318.94	6957345.51			20	519370.07	6957507.92
	18	519646.63	6956961.51			20	520096.96	6957242.79
	18	519761.86	6957370.83			20	520101.76	6956920.78
	19	520103.55	6956863.29			20	519821.40	6956935.97
	19	519853.97	6956922.07			20	520316.31	6956997.74
	62	519964.70	6957423.64			20	519821.97	6956924.56
	19	519910.12	6957381.78			20	519977.27	6957189.38
	19	519358.59	6957309.22			20	519512.49	6957447.66
~	19	520153.12	6957038.67			20	519868.25	6956900.56
	19	519341.86	6957349.02			20	519384.63	6957412.86
	19	520160.27	6956886.13			20	519370.68	6957398.26
	19	519926.23	6956843.75	_		20	519368.34	6957416.99
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	₽ ₁₉	519898.29	6957394.87			20	520065.34	6957295.57
	19	519763.14	6957372.38	-		20	520425.48	6957321.00
	19	519158.41	6957320.17	-		20	519309.74	6957334.00
	19	519889.83	6956866.41			20	519301.59	6957426.17
	19	519697.78	6956895.96			20	520048.08	6957188.71

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	20	519991.41	6957140.84		E. tereticornis	21	520258.69	6957211.95
	20	520404.08	6957223.79			21	519472.81	6957462.90
	20	520416.35	6957232.96	-		21	519298.81	6957419.09
	20	520237.68	6957350.67	-		21	520092.24	69 57197.50
	20	519714.52	6956922.41	-		21	519247.98	695 814.49
	20	519375.05	6957351.29			21	519931.57	6957394.70
	20	520415.58	6957307.50			21	520330.194	6957045.67
	20	519733.22	6956885.49			21	520389.40	6957046.55
	20	519722.60	6956913.42			21	5/0296.61	6957209.96
	20	520425.97	6957257.09			21	519375.18	6957494.84
	20	520101.66	6956860.97				520045.96	6957341.24
	20	519775.62	6956966.28			22	519246.00	6957307.63
	20	520148.04	6957136.81			21	519986.08	6957020.55
	20	519971.56	6956597.66	-			519906.33	6957418.67
	20	519515.53	6957373.22			21	519577.31	6957465.06
	20	519316.52	6957500.25	-		21	519794.19	6956967.03
	20	519997.23	6957135.95	-	o. (()) `	21	519516.41	6957426.94
	20	519975.38	6957185.50	0		21	519316.72	6957312.06
	20	519784.19	6956952.87			21	519307.48	6957340.43
	20	520412.21	6957297.44	(\mathbf{G})		21	519807.85	6956923.03
	20	520109.55	6957274.46		Qr .	22	519862.80	6956889.93
	21	520021.83	6957140 23		>	22	519676.31	6956930.11
	21	519370.74	6957494.96	\rightarrow		22	519902.58	6957417.57
	21	520070.56	6957287.36	\mathbf{P}		22	519372.56	6957460.62
	21	520303.94	6957043.40	-		22	520144.37	6957125.19
	21	519416.00	6957267.28	-		22	519774.71	6956949.56
	21	520043.34	6957191.15	-		22	520118.11	6956890.29
	21	519528.70	6957331.77	-		22	520117.59	6956936.93
	21	519456.74	6957479.32			22	520224.75	6957117.41
	21	519773.05	6956967.51			22	519423.12	6957457.55
	21	520150.78	6957180.45			22	519859.31	6956924.39
	21/2	519509.30	6957306.66			22	519389.58	6957358.02
	21	519824.98	6956953.02	-		22	520334.87	6957052.65
	21	520315.69	6957042.05	-		22	519426.20	6957465.63
~	XX	519412.08	6957223.07	-		22	520066.92	6957292.13
	21	519393.94	6957305.96	-		22	519352.63	6957474.06
	21	519438.45	6957284.73	-		22	520393.52	6957225.69
	21	519711.43	6956906.46	-		22	519807.39	6956942.75
	21	520049.21	6957213.63	-		22	519814.04	6956967.00
	21	519439.38	6957496.07			22	519828.31	6956936.51
	21	520386.20	6957338.79			22	519726.39	6957427.16
	21	519434.12	6957293.49			22	519931.88	6956852.83
	21	519357.97	6957354.75	J		22	519834.28	6956902.83

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	22	519449.04	6957297.01		E. tereticornis	23	519975.65	6957410.36
	22	520229.98	6957354.56			23	519392.56	6957307.84
	22	520213.08	6957349.27			23	519220.72	6957375.02
	22	519447.17	6957299.78			23	520324.00	6957052.12
	22	519362.86	6957508.82			23	520104.34	95727.94
	22	520100.67	6956854.54			23	520061.36	6957338.88
	22	520415.79	6957255.00			23	518950.94	6957216.00
	22	519380.79	6957483.98			23	519726.84	6956972.34
	22	519897.02	6956856.87			23	549858.23	6956894.94
	22	519837.23	6956955.33			23	52 03 40.32	6957064.94
	22	519326.60	6957440.76			₽₿ J	519663.00	6956949.08
	22	520408.45	6957235.19			23	519424.03	6957218.84
	22	519552.88	6957133.46			23	519762.63	6956935.62
	23	520324.05	6957024.09			23	520002.75	6957133.18
	23	520107.97	6956853.31			23	519276.68	6957349.12
	23	520337.93	6957052.98			23	520002.23	6957113.90
	23	519900.79	6957414.14		0. (())	23	520012.32	6957126.85
	23	520004.83	6957135.50			23	519606.72	6957451.39
	23	520133.60	6957122.11			23	519508.55	6957329.15
	23	519793.00	6956965.37	(23	519831.94	6956978.71
	23	519334.83	6957463.23	\sim	\bigcirc r	23	519590.92	6957202.29
	23	519837.36	6956973.61		Þ	24	520100.25	6956963.65
	23	520027.93	6957129 60	\searrow		24	520398.42	6957264.89
	23	519810.26	6956947.40	\triangleright		24	519899.30	6956862.52
	23	520015.90	6957139.82			24	520393.59	6957202.21
	23	519933.48	6956834.77	-		24	520068.72	6957185.24
	23	519997.90	6957126.65	-		24	519582.55	6957465.60
	23	519742.51	6957442.41	-		24	519545.42	6957410.61
	23	519970.79	6957396.30			24	520157.99	6956880.37
	23	519378.27	6957321.93	-		24	519605.22	6957187.98
	23	519397.44	6957453.16			24	519373.02	6957380.31
	23.7	520435.38	6957334.72			24	519898.42	6956868.50
	23	519696.90	6956899.06			24	520149.43	6956837.41
	23	520404.52	6957305.76			24	519667.50	6956917.06
~	23	519691.89	6957410.60			24	520003.02	6957115.67
20	23	519930.97	6956838.98			24	520239.99	6956833.27
	23	519954.00	6957213.23			24	519995.94	6957197.21
	23	519935.71	6956840.41	-		24	519285.18	6957481.80
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	✓ 23	519775.73	6956972.82			24	519496.69	6957323.85
	23	520031.39	6957188.62			24	520004.85	6957207.16
	23	519799.40	6956948.63			24	520056.22	6957154.80
	23	519755.71	6956931.09			24	519576.82	6957466.39
	23	519834.83	6957241.34	J		24	519279.85	6957293.18

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	24	520366.39	6957122.39		E. tereticornis	25	519882.46	6956950.60
	24	519314.70	6957346.84			25	519391.26	6957292.00
	24	520380.85	6957148.72	-		25	519288.52	6957344.78
	24	519926.34	6957394.16	-		25	519449.67	695 7381.19
	24	519374.47	6957357.16			25	519531.36	6957451.18
	24	519799.24	6957217.91			25	51944.84	6957490.97
	24	520063.68	6957185.69			25	519933 19	6956869.44
	24	519824.91	6956968.97			25	519386.55	6957314.16
	24	519987.35	6957133.87	-		25	520209.42	6957101.05
	25	519855.45	6956924.17			25	519917.89	6956874.34
	25	519931.97	6957212.05			1 67	519373.10	6957489.31
	25	519426.64	6957492.77			25	519903.27	6956873.81
	25	519442.34	6957491.53			725	519855.88	6956946.11
	25	519381.48	6957355.38			23	519748.33	6956885.47
	25	519344.48	6957382.35			25	519490.93	6957426.10
	25	520034.45	6956767.92			25	519309.06	6957275.63
	25	520092.61	6957180.66	-	0. (())	25	519244.45	6957332.66
	25	519635.22	6956928.96			25	520042.09	6957209.10
	25	520004.13	6957126.42			25	519908.57	6956851.31
	25	519755.35	6956949.92	(25	520237.72	6956838.04
	25	519780.64	6956955.53		\bigcirc	25	520028.41	6957117.18
	25	519512.06	6957177.72		\triangleright	25	520115.95	6957140.52
	25	519938.39	695715111	\searrow		25	520108.89	6956933.40
	25	520119.54	6957161.34	\diamond		26	519950.52	6957380.83
	25	519773.90	6957389.50	-		26	519907.55	6956892.74
	25	519855.86	6956867.79			26	520246.71	6956840.01
	25	519349.70	6957307.91	-		26	519348.45	6957329.40
	25	520155.78	6957039.88			26	519244.55	6957330.67
	25	519435.61	6957482.01			26	519868.86	6956910.08
	25	519442.67	6957390.62			26	519937.25	6956874.31
	25	520207.03	6957091.41	-		26	519798.84	6956969.68
	25.7	519 27.51	6957326.35	-		26	519750.99	6956941.29
	25	519973.38	6957171.33	-		26	520054.50	6956949.44
	25	520373.59	6957178.42	-		26	519836.25	6957017.14
~	255	520020.22	6957125.84	-		26	519303.02	6957328.47
	23	519343.66	6957362.64	-		26	519781.67	6956981.67
	25	519774.02	6956956.10	-		26	519511.57	6957425.62
	25	519310.62	6957329.68	-		26	519938.71	6957408.54
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	25	519820.40	6956990.25	-		26	519444.13	6957377.76
	25	519894.58	6957179.43	-		26	519374.35	6957345.97
	25	520072.74	6957291.68			26	520413.50	6957298.98
	25	519352.57	6957375.58			26	519430.01	6957439.82
	25	519429.40	6957491.66			26	519181.61	6957316.37

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	26	519461.95	6957217.68		E. tereticornis	27	519854.98	6956938.35
	26	519729.66	6957431.36			27	519904.10	6956899.73
	26	519848.87	6956943.13			27	519247.19	6957313.94
	26	520230.01	6956832.18			27	519891.46	6956901.30
	26	520142.40	6956889.81			27	519880.56	6956879.27
	26	519825.90	6956968.97			27	51990	6956875.14
	26	519286.85	6957345.78			27	519863.92	6956906.99
	26	519852.92	6956942.68			27	519812.88	6956918.72
	26	519674.31	6956918.37			27	520018.23	6957114.43
	26	519866.16	6956889.38			27	520117.55	6957150.27
	26	519896.79	6956897.41	-			520117.35	6957151.71
	26	519849.19	6956957.19	-	1	27	519688.49	6957446.60
	26	520404.06	6957207.72	-		27-7	519761.42	6956976.72
	26	519444.90	6957486.87		\sim	27	519303.17	6957488.75
	26	519862.00	6956881.63	-		27	519761.46	6956940.61
	26	519780.53	6956947.56	-		27	519908.45	6957384.78
	26	519445.74	6957208.84		\circ	27	519842.21	6957164.68
	26	519809.27	6956945.96	<		27	519387.33	6957306.52
	26	519745.76	6956943.51			27	519872.80	6956901.77
	26	520391.46	6957172.96	(27	520416.36	6957236.95
	26	520146.06	6957133.38	,	\bigcirc	27	519407.90	6957326.09
	26	519816.89	6956957.91		\triangleright	27	519422.78	6957428.64
	26	519752.77	695694\$28			27	519350.39	6957372.60
	26	520361.45	6957121.84	\diamond		27	519919.41	6956835.90
	26	519584.62	6957465.10			27	519931.86	6957142.04
	26	520368.51	6957327.75			27	519930.13	6957172.95
	26	519911.76	6957418.22			27	519846.75	6956917.65
	26	519840.23	6957160.14	-		27	519267.79	6957411.49
	27	519864.43	6956918.95			27	519692.45	6957447.82
	27	519928.02	6957396.03			27	519817.39	6956961.34
	27	520010.10	6957217.46			27	520200.01	6957326.25
	27/2	519850.14	6956939.47			28	519878.00	6956880.72
	27	519892.93	6956893.43			28	519439.18	6957490.42
	27	520403.96	6957208.72			28	519921.19	6956655.12
	200	519522.55	695/43/.90			28	519374.40	6957503.37
	27	520061.61	6956769.21			28	519385.90	6957341.52
	27	519448.73	6957473.57			28	519709.04	6956897.72
	27	519250.76	6957322.13	-		28	519428.26	6957398.50
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	27	519762.29	6956967.19	-		28	519913.85	6956883.10
	27	519545.17	6957376.83	-		28	520036.53	6957135.11
	27	519901.79	6957421.78	-		28	519257.47	6957384.04
	27	520023.40	6957137.02	-		28	520089.29	6957141.78
	27	520374.13	6957259.73	J		28	519936.01	6957145.14

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	28	519805.31	6956882.16		E. tereticornis	29	519447.29	6957377.65
	28	519964.13	6957187.85			29	519776.53	6956976.70
	28	520127.75	6956750.27			29	519348.66	6957336.16
	28	519677.49	6956927.01			29	519996.73	6956769.98
	28	519559.00	6957130.02			29	519306.82	6957485.65
	28	519436.60	6957484.11			29	519601.83	6957049.86
	28	519876.83	6956891.57			29	519302,00	6957374.55
	28	520179.97	6957032.64			29	519360.49	6957383.66
	28	519719.11	6956955.08			29	549845.56	6956914.89
	28	519900.66	6956906.93			29	520028.89	6957112.20
	28	519728.66	6957428.26	-			520405.07	6957280.06
	28	520029.53	6957141.66	-		29	519537.66	6957376.51
	28	520027.53	6957127.15	-		29	519833.35	6957000.53
	28	520045.73	6957203.44	_		23	519282.67	6957328.62
	28	519299.03	6957366.03	_	40	29	519988.15	6957142.50
	28	519298.08	6957332.69	_		29	519653.86	6957039.03
	28	519498.47	6957323.96		\circ	29	519834.16	6956947.03
	28	519524.82	6957433.68	<		29	519814.15	6956971.76
	28	519508.06	6957267.45			29	519582.35	6957032.94
	28	519586.10	6957465.71	(29	519301.61	6957315.41
	28	520130.06	6956890.05		\bigcirc	29	519512.93	6957414.65
	28	520155.04	6956887.24		Þ	29	520408.27	6957300.77
	28	519725.15	6956902.23			29	520425.69	6957324.33
	28	520423.70	6957253.66			29	520125.52	6957255.93
	28	519698.84	6956939.73			29	519880.18	6956945.18
	28	520254. 1 8	6956845.98			29	520339.60	6957049.32
	28	519661.32	6956945.42			29	519862.35	6956914.19
	28	519848.81	6956929.62	-		29	520069.96	6957342.31
	28	520005.93	6957142.81	-		29	519311.67	6957366.23
	28	519625.47	6956947.92	-		29	519785.60	6956971.25
	28	519587.79	6957469.14			29	519838.85	6956979.81
	- 28/2	519917.14	6956838.78			29	519304.26	695/42/.28
	28	520027.91	6957113.42	-		29	519911.33	695/39/./2
	28	520374.11	6957251.97			30	519871.27	69568/4./5
	20	520082.48	6057404.82			30	519740.03	6057464.00
	20	519908.29	6057404.82			30	519407.33	6957464.00
	20	519754.95	6056045.07			30	519910.43	6057202.04
	29	519871.88	60E7212 EE			30	520438.59	6057302.04
	29	510/12 02	6957262 20	-		30	510325 00	6957221 11
	23	510502 22	6057109 54	1		30	51007/ 02	6056777 66
	29	510522.22	6957202 12	-		30	510277 50	6956072 20
	29	510206 06	6057256 10	1		20	513027.30 E10704 E4	605609757
	29	213790'90	095/356.19	J		30	519/84.54	090982.55

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	30	519898.67	6956899.96	-	E. tereticornis	31	519466.90	6957470.99
	30	519380.68	6957476.00	-		31	519789.63	6956957.29
	30	519416.15	6957421.12			31	519844.24	6956955.21
	30	519890.39	6956907.17			31	520131.52	6957119.67
	30	520225.55	6957363.87			31	519779.06	6956952.65
	30	519747.05	6956950.93			31	519264.33	6957287.11
	30	519817.93	6956990.58			31	520423.894	6957310.92
	30	519293.98	6957483.45			31	5200B1.16	6957110.53
	30	519341.06	6957401.96			31	529908.25	6956898.06
	30	519942.52	6956836.86			31	519901.82	6956892.53
	30	519305.16	6957311.41	-			519417.92	6957412.14
	30	519988.75	6957148.48	-	1	31	519457.80	6957279.49
	30	519500.38	6957345.88			31	519275.65	6957448.59
	30	519505.05	6957425.30	-		31	519611.36	6956958.13
	30	519912.80	6957392.96	-		31	519464.65	6957360.67
	30	519412.45	6957264.28	-		31	519529.10	6957397.35
	30	519715.40	6956921.30	-	o. (()) `	31	520131.56	6957144.93
	30	519376.07	6957495.73	0		31	519805.74	6957022.40
	30	519416.73	6957473.95			31	519822.62	6957017.38
	30	519300.28	6957350.08	$(\cap$		31	519808.96	6956942.08
	30	520241.24	6956817.98		\mathcal{O}^r	31	519815.92	6956967.55
	30	520020.55	6957143 67		>	31	520371.88	6957216.09
	30	519987.27	6957142.27	\triangleright		32	519436.43	6957439.92
	30	519816.57	6956941.30			32	519376.55	6957487.20
	30	519541.65	6957335.68			32	520397.08	6957286.38
	30	519827.46	6956960.66	-		32	519646.99	6956939.91
	30	520233.44	6956818.99			32	519525.22	6957378.19
	31	520324.74	6957020.22	-		32	519781.13	6956954.64
	31	520330.99	6957036.93	-		32	520382.56	6957164.56
	31	519382.89	6957245.27	-		32	519849.98	6956899.26
	31	519397.98	6957486.39			32	519835.58	6956972.50
	81/2	520021.55	6957335.08			32	520177.03	6957163.57
	31	519667.83	6956943.97	-		32	519939.09	6956856.25
	31	520030.57	6957111.86	-		32	520062.05	6957339.55
~((342	520112.47	6957124.69			32	520399.39	6957308.76
	31	519931.30	6956859.25	-		32	520028.21	6957239.36
	31	519307.62	6957364.24	-		32	519916.54	6956893.28
	31	519619.35	6956948.70			32	520025.95	6957246.79
$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$	31	519358.30	6957440.15			32	520000.88	6957258.46
	31	519395.01	6957477.64			32	519900.62	6956885.55
	31	519403.59	6957095.92			32	519999.55	6957353.05
	31	519692.48	6957410.27			32	519785.97	6956950.98
	31	520093.87	6957163.82]		32	519285.45	6957464.75

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	32	519582.45	6957464.94		E. tereticornis	33	520094.16	6957283.12
	32	519932.03	6957129.30			33	519850.80	6956918.53
	32	519915.24	6956827.27			33	520133.05	6957150.13
	32	519621.40	6956935.63			33	519777.42	6956981.57
	32	519598.87	6957048.32			33	519616.03	6957034.55
	32	519248.33	6957347.28			33	519970.32	6957171.89
	32	520020.81	6957120.08	-		33	519983 48	6957186.15
	32	519899.33	6957303.81			33	519683.07	6956896.54
	32	519381.12	6957500.59	-		33	520055.76	6957351.86
	32	519978.21	6957220.61	-		33	52 03 73.89	6957237.79
	32	519850.71	6957411.01			- R	519865.80	6956912.31
	32	519392.46	6957244.26			33	519370.15	6957499.84
	32	519858.72	6956925.94	-		-33	519854.26	6956922.40
	32	519389.69	6957490.83	-		33	520048.75	6957176.85
	32	519441.20	6957393.83			33	519829.12	6956947.26
	32	519378.09	6957210.83			33	520042.05	6957187.83
	32	520122.14	6957122.90	-	0.	33	520002.77	6956778.27
	32	519976.26	6957177.75			33	519317.11	6957498.59
	32	519788.85	6956963.16			33	520038.30	6957185.51
	32	519794.91	6956984.75	(33	519951.83	6956856.56
	32	519380.46	6957209.39		<u>O</u> r	33	519982.99	6957187.04
	32	519783.25	6957165.65		Þ	33	519897.75	6957241.56
	32	520184.25	6957109.95	\searrow		33	519816.09	6956953.37
	32	519848.49	6956896.60	\triangleright		33	519897.84	6957238.46
	32	520411.83	6957383.43			33	520325.45	6957034.73
	32	519747.60	6956928.24	-		33	520011.61	6957112.45
	32	519889.58	6956954.91			33	519945.61	6956854.13
	32	520410.85	6957309.73	-		33	519935.83	6957403.89
	32	519352.27	6957374.36			33	519370.46	6957505.71
	32	519685.12	6956946.38			33	520049.00	6957147.28
	32	519659.49	6956977.77			33	519588.87	6957033.71
	82/1	520082.35	6957185.88			33	519533.58	6957166.72
	32	519948.72	6957184.99			33	520300.03	6957189.07
	32	520001.69	6956784.59			33	519887.06	6957409.84
~	32	519762.26	6956949.36	-		34	520059.60	6957347.09
	32	519937.45	6956819.59			34	520066.32	6956684.68
	32	519484.54	6957322.87			34	519943.44	6957401.33
	32	519592.90	6957020.63			34	519362.77	6957454.32
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	₩ ₃₂	519786.39	6956966.05	-		34	519864.78	6956889.05
	32	519593.10	6957021.41	-		34	520087.23	6957213.68
	32	519608.91	6957028.25			34	519481.46	6957248.88
	32	519745.63	6956922.36	-		34	520184.63	6957161.34
	33	519845.94	6957390.08]		34	519776.04	6956983.23

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	34	519940.22	6957182.24		E. tereticornis	35	519646.47	6956921.85
	34	520052.42	6957188.03			35	519779.99	6956977.35
	34	519835.41	6957416.24	-		35	519349.10	6957365.18
	34	519567.00	6957131.89			35	520119.62	695 7150.60
	34	520097.80	6956851.45			35	520032.61	6957391.66
	34	519438.96	6957478.90			35	519590.81	6957134.73
	34	519896.24	6956862.19			35	520013 78	6957112.89
	34	520080.41	6957211.80			35	519696.22	6956905.93
	34	519386.50	6957346.73	-		35	549608.04	6957039.44
	34	520058.23	6957355.95			35	519545.98	6957146.76
	34	519405.73	6957449.82			1 67	519895.73	6957400.85
	34	519853.72	6957252.93			35	519602.95	6957135.16
	34	520091.37	6957208.68			36-	519420.54	6957445.70
	34	519663.03	6957456.95			35	519940.33	6957373.09
	34	519921.67	6957131.98			36	520379.28	6957270.46
	34	520005.44	6957145.36			36	519215.05	6957285.86
	34	519825.03	6956981.27	-	0. (())	36	519716.76	6956906.79
	34	520105.26	6956762.82			36	519505.32	6957471.60
	34	519899.10	6956922.11			36	520014.87	6957111.56
	34	519833.47	6956952.01	(36	519609.42	6957039.66
	34	519898.96	6957383.34		\bigcirc	36	520052.97	6957159.90
	34	520057.83	6957354.51		\triangleright	36	519927.35	6956864.35
	34	519827.47	695696\$ 20	\triangleright		36	519377.80	6957215.15
	34	520049.03	6957349.76	\diamond		36	519919.64	6957404.25
	35	520381.89	6957239.22			36	520424.32	6957273.49
	35	519894.90	6956944.82	-		36	519923.45	6957133.30
	35	519431.27	6957486.67			36	519914.90	6957162.23
	35	519535.45	6957229.41	-		36	519976.45	6957176.64
	35	519890.84	6956942.73	-		36	519850.52	6956927.17
	35	519614.72	6956956.80	-		36	519640.14	6956916.88
	35	520368.53	6957223.40			36	519946.34	6956819.79
	\$5.7	519996.39	6957412.53			36	519869.93	6957386.17
	35	519795.11	6957416.64			36	519895.33	6957396.09
	35	519666.87	6956955.38			36	519852.89	6956930.05
~	35	519919.95	6956868.46			36	519707.94	6956887.97
	33	519807.98	6957189.98			36	519924.38	6956859.93
	35	519650.90	6956975.24			36	519873.80	6956908.75
	35	519506.35	6957434.05	-		36	519646.39	6956934.04
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	2 35	520329.19	6957202.20	-		36	519917.17	6957403.26
	35	519809.52	6956981.52			36	519868.38	6956918.95
	35	520012.89	6957112.56	-		36	519852.53	6957011.13
	35	519471.73	6957340.17			36	519500.22	6957181.06
	35	519649.80	6956970.36	J		36	519817.93	6956988.15

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	37	519948.02	6956818.79	_	E. tereticornis	38	519797.35	6957027.28
	37	519891.22	6957294.86	_		38	519498.93	6957242.10
	37	519931.42	6956873.32			38	519802.29	6956966.57
	37	520172.96	6957154.94			38	520107.19	6956860.29
	37	519830.47	6956988.90			38	519862.00	6956940.00
	37	519915.13	6957181.50			38	519380.49	6957292.68
	37	519479.85	6957351.79	-		38	518385 51	6957469.90
	37	519929.48	6957139.94	-		38	519824.34	6956984.48
	37	519617.26	6956940.95			38	549257.44	6957427.57
	37	519542.81	6957321.78			38	51 9 543.40	6957380.49
	37	519858.63	6957420.85			- 88	520006.39	6957124.97
	37	520424.28	6957307.82	-		38	519582.57	6957047.79
	37	519426.55	6957498.09	-		-38-	519165.23	6957324.26
	37	519504.99	6957263.91	_		38	520397.79	6957239.30
	37	519627.57	6957394.64	_	40	38	520142.65	6957219.12
	37	520302.03	6957264.17	_		38	519579.60	6957046.24
	37	519373.59	6957489.31		\circ	38	519917.23	6957134.64
	37	519976.43	6957402.83	<		38	519304.05	6957481.44
	37	520013.46	6957217.23			38	519514.99	6957217.15
	37	519549.07	6957158.83	(38	519378.00	6957216.70
	37	519603.28	6957031.25		\bigcirc	38	520118.98	6957122.35
	37	520204.54	6957136.28		>	38	519711.00	6957440.70
	37	520036.93	6957135 00			38	520080.86	6957181.56
	37	519526.71	6957197.97			38	519926.42	6957200.65
	37	519469.83	6957391.68	-		38	519915.25	6956828.71
	37	519664.02	6957462.26			38	519455.97	6957433.02
	37	519831.63	6956970.07			38	519826.05	6957003.42
	37	519804.61	6956974.33	-		38	519702.39	6957428.19
	37	519631.30	6956947.35	-		39	520327.32	6957026.30
	3/	519279.93	695/341.91			39	519661.53	6956952.62
	38	520310.28	6056250.02	-		39	519423.47	6057056.20
	20	510975 70	6056017.04	-		20	520555.27	6057114.64
	30	519659 63	6956939 55			39	520139.17	6957180.94
	2 38	520265.45	6957359 93	-		39	519906 50	6956916 23
\sim	300	519670.69	6957432.90			39	519617 56	6956942.06
	38	519990 88	6957119 13			39	520000.00	6957202.63
0	38	520134 40	6957127 31			39	519526 53	6957393 25
	238	520003.08	6957153.22			39	519534.04	6957456.27
	38	520138.46	6957135.28	1		39	519522.20	6957401.45
	38	519847.28	6956937.04	1		39	519786.22	6957168.53
	38	519839.80	6957017.91	1		39	519895.08	6956939.06
	38	520029.62	6956772.36			39	519844.47	6956975.15
	-			1	L	-		

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	39	519799.56	6956991.39		E. tereticornis	41	519871.54	6957161.30
	39	519524.79	6957166.84			41	519915.38	6957152.81
	39	519932.29	6957168.40	-		41	519534.77	6957360.23
	39	519522.24	6957301.87	-		41	519762.58	6956961.87
	39	519878.17	6957287.24			41	519761.50	6956969.08
	39	519859.44	6956944.11			41	519420.63	6957254.63
	39	519435.92	6957489.98	-		41	519961 58	6957263.06
	39	519505.50	6957397.16	-		41	519850.10	6956951.76
	39	519686.69	6957433.20			41	549588.38	6957408.00
	39	520150.81	6957140.02			41	51 9 923.84	6956950.76
	39	519282.99	6957343.68				519620.46	6956964.21
	39	520376.99	6957143.86			<u>_41</u>	520314.64	6957004.95
	39	519491.53	6957309.12			41	519761.87	6956952.46
	40	519881.58	6957142.12	-	\frown	42	519791.94	6956981.88
	40	520332.08	6957038.92	-		42	519858.74	6957250.49
	40	519975.41	6957204.44	-		42	519912.02	6957393.18
	40	519277.70	6957434.96	-	\circ	42	520378.27	6957140.64
	40	519538.98	6957209.69	<		42	519952.22	6957392.12
	40	519669.50	6957428.58			42	519817.79	6957024.81
	40	519820.32	6957004.54	(\mathcal{C})		42	519991.93	6957395.93
	40	519830.51	6957015.49		\bigcirc	42	519947.36	6957384.27
	40	519788.29	6957042.26		\triangleright	42	519348.73	6957378.91
	40	519991.89	6956585 89			42	519879.10	6956949.61
	40	520064.14	6957168.52			42	520011.09	6957154.87
	40	519529.04	6957170.27			43	519351.01	6957509.28
	40	519647.80	6956947.55	-		43	519864.04	6956922.94
	40	519915.04	6956885.75			43	519941.99	6957362.56
	40	519738.17	6956893.35	-		43	519200.35	6957298.51
	40	520359.76	6957112.76			43	519810.46	6957013.75
	40	519613.76	6957036.55			43	520151.69	6957129.83
	40	520014.16	695/161.96	-		43	519285.17	6957475.60
	40/2	540044.00	6956583.75	-		43	519914.77	6957142.51
		520121.00	6956832.53			43	519487.15	6957284.21
	40	520121.99	6057200.27	-		43	519347.25	60E74EE 21
	41	520444.51	6057300.37	-		45	519571.70 E100E6 10	6057225 10
	41	519195.22	6056057.25	-		45	519950.19	6057/225.19
	41	510006 80	6056010 22			43	519658.58	6056052.95
		510822.61	6057011.84			43	51068/ 08	6057/12 83
	<u>41</u>	519949 99	6957178 2/			 	519965 18	6957165 60
	<u></u>	519616 2/	69570/11 21			43 42	519796 90	695699/ 39
	41	519515 78	6957219 02			43	519925 82	6956832.23
	 	519360 24	6957253 42			43	520031 58	6957125 27
L	71	515500.24	5557555.42	J	L	75	520051.50	5557 125.57

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	43	519992.92	6957220.81		E. tereticornis	46	520248.11	6956848.98
	43	520365.06	6957216.21			46	519553.72	6957290.08
	43	519583.04	6957035.05			46	519812.01	6956992.03
	43	519462.26	6957409.19			46	520136.13	6957222.35
	43	519826.00	6956971.08			46	519747.12	6956933.43
	43	519567.19	6957438.38			46	519185.97	6957320.46
	43	519800.22	6956972.12			46	519358.334	6957329.49
	43	520184.18	6957373.57			46	5201 0.7	6957144.97
	44	519932.35	6957140.93			46	5/19293.95	6957277.42
	44	519492.62	6957435.06			46	520148.71	6957183.56
	44	519835.12	6957420.45			46	519613.64	6957022.93
	44	519378.24	6957492.07			48	520022.04	6957336.07
	44	519940.51	6957360.69			46	519527.76	6957422.94
	44	519825.69	6956965.21			4 6	520034.14	6957118.39
	44	519811.73	6957190.86			46	519346.15	6957500.43
	44	519993.00	6957329.92			46	519474.63	6957365.75
	44	520096.19	6957134.35		o. (()) `	46	519510.36	6957227.35
	44	519931.35	6957134.51	0		46	519471.34	6957406.41
	44	519801.45	6956938.88			46	520372.36	6957151.29
	44	520255.01	6956841.11	$(\cap$		46	520033.27	6957133.24
	44	519810.43	6957416.94		\mathcal{O}^r	46	519977.16	6957365.39
	44	519524.08	6957284.04		>	46	519662.62	6956958.60
	45	520325.19	6957054.55	\rightarrow		46	519849.84	6956994.96
	45	519781.79	6957421.53			46	519783.64	6956979.45
	45	519991.17	6957238.20			46	519507.43	6957181.05
	45	519979 19	6957216.62			46	520148.12	6957185.88
	45	519859.53	6956939.01			46	519549.00	6957301.61
	45	520045.67	6957103.20			47	519997.60	6957121.55
	45	519600.34	6957045.77			47	519671.30	6956947.29
	45	519465.60	6957188.10			47	519587.01	6957047.78
	45	519640.59	6956955.31			47	519476.92	6957186.97
	45/2	519329.82	6957475.42			47	519652.94	6956958.40
	4Š	519484.46	6957458.01			47	520357.62	6957252.55
	45	519298.05	6957245.63			47	519555.86	6957330.84
~((45	520376.95	6957296.83			47	519906.89	6957157.04
	48	519779.80	6957166.76			47	519781.55	6956969.04
	45	519941.58	6956869.65			47	519263.39	6957438.31
	45	519524.42	6957188.11			48	519439.76	6957483.33
$\langle \rangle \rangle \rangle$	✓ 45	519954.79	6956793.20			48	519981.69	6957360.73
	45	520331.19	6957277.19			48	519312.66	6957246.16
	45	519641.40	6956967.16			48	519901.74	6956901.39
	45	519823.09	6957006.31			48	519319.21	6957512.32
	45	520303.62	6957269.15			48	520079.28	6956581.31
Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
-----------------	----------	-----------	------------	------------------	-------------------	----------	-----------	------------
E. tereticornis	48	519746.14	6956933.88		E. tereticornis	51	519785.48	6956955.08
	48	519807.83	6957032.14			51	519541.50	6957431.11
	48	519845.06	6957034.18			52	520014.02	6957139.03
	48	519697.33	6956921.66			52	520326.27	6957228.01
	48	519953.76	6957367.75			52	520306.62	957172.00
	48	520122.30	6957158.46			52	519995.06	6957197.54
	48	519899.00	6956919.56	-		52	520041,60	6956911.69
	48	519756.28	6956976.28			52	519931.66	6956598.95
	48	520104.36	6956640.53	-		52	549975.39	6957127.57
	48	519930.04	6957303.54			52	519503.11	6957450.44
	48	519489.33	6957415.24	-			519916.43	6957133.65
	49	519950.99	6957367.20	-			519799.01	6956954.84
	49	519403.49	6957097.47			52	519246.25	6957407.54
	49	519907.81	6956933.73	-		33	519501.74	6957270.23
	49	519285.85	6957339.80			53	520015.83	6957156.08
	49	519855.97	6956998.28	-		53	519688.54	6957412.82
	49	519787.56	6956958.95		\circ () \sim	53	520016.83	6957161.62
	49	519408.16	6957113.86			53	519312.64	6957419.40
	49	519780.36	6956965.06			53	520119.63	6957153.81
	49	519496.21	6957210.64	(53	520078.45	6957216.46
	50	519856.88	6957196.22		\bigcirc r	53	519890.94	6957184.64
	50	519843.41	6956988.66		>	53	519662.29	6956935.56
	50	520060.92	6956584 34	\triangleright		53	519863.81	6956959.83
	50	520368.60	6957146.97			53	520167.23	6957150.63
	50	520379.68	6957157.14			53	519828.90	6956995.33
	50	519906.50	6956900.94	-		53	519602.62	6957045.76
	50	519855.29	6957368.91			53	520385.03	6957164.56
	50	519524.76	6957458.61	-		53	519889.21	6957154.85
	50	519760.24	6956982.70	-		53	519850.36	6957014.02
	50	519965.08	6956805.81	-		53	519893.81	6956944.05
	50	519891.35	6957136.45			53	519297.35	6957242.42
	517	519/94.35	6956884.62			53	519889.80	6957154.96
	51	519484.01	6957237.58			53	519900.09	6956919.45
	51	519918.13	6957385.64			53	519288.72	6957472.38
~	51	520009.26	6957247.26			54	519897.49	6957390.33
20	52	519732.22	6957427.37			54	520038.47	6957107.75
	51	519693.38	6957415.47			54	519709.91	6957434.94
	51	519583.74	6957035.82	-		54	519564.39	6957349.44
\bigcirc	✓ 51	519599.08	6957054.85	-		54	520091.44	6956651.08
	51	519801.44	6957050.87			54	519860.32	6957369.45
	51	519349.51	6957497.10			54	519447.79	6957194.33
	51	519848.59	6957014.68			54	519917.71	6956944.68
	51	519842.57	6957016.13			54	520083.52	6956995.36

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	54	520024.45	6957176.34		E. tereticornis	59	520061.84	6957147.15
	54	519783.35	6957164.10			59	519884.63	6957253.55
	54	519893.51	6956943.50	-		59	519533.32	6957194.74
	54	519552.17	6957368.95			59	519793.44	6957417.41
	55	519895.16	6957410.16			59	519889.88	6957382.70
	55	520407.64	6957281.27			59	52013	6957113.91
	55	519940.99	6957172.93			60	520345 72	6957106.03
	55	519511.03	6957214.39			60	520136.45	6957112.35
	55	519867.25	6957377.64	-		60	579945.00	6957266.19
	55	519318.22	6957323.02			60	519699.83	6957431.19
	55	519348.57	6957470.74			607	520014.05	6957215.24
	55	519854.07	6957409.00			60	519993.74	6957359.49
	55	520061.16	6957217.15			6	519895.27	6957296.40
	55	519324.58	6957287.46			G	519595.82	6957055.41
	55	519532.59	6957289.12			60	519748.87	6956977.52
	56	519851.02	6956930.16			60	519666.18	6957451.29
	56	519819.81	6957170.36	-	\circ	60	519855.18	6957180.83
	56	519519.01	6957326.25			60	520341.87	6957108.36
	56	520439.06	6957288.52			61	520370.86	6957136.89
	56	520374.11	6957133.23	(61	519971.51	6957356.09
	56	519882.58	6956963.45		\bigcirc	61	519862.66	6956980.99
	56	519506.71	6957289.83		\triangleright	61	519299.44	6957443.01
	56	519708.02	6957425 19	\triangleright		61	520315.05	6957013.81
	56	519675.31	6957419.82	\diamond		61	519768.71	6956964.41
	56	519618.48	6957024.80			61	519757.99	6957117.29
	57	519874.	6956952.94	-		61	519993.05	6957358.05
	57	519758.19	6957121.05			61	519933.46	6956608.80
	57	519932.92	6956822.81	-		61	520138.64	6957181.69
	57	519582.99	6957432.15	-		61	520019.55	6956772.82
	57	519809.98	6957138.47	-		61	519926.55	6956975.34
	57	519852.30	6956930.94			62	519347.63	6957499.87
	57/	519887.42	6956902.30			62	519530.40	6957216.57
	57	519863.99	6956953.29			62	519833.99	6957024.34
	57	519750.81	6956954.58			62	520119.41	6957144.73
~	32	519973.05	6957270.13			62	519996.29	6957228.89
	58	520046.43	6957206.54			62	519577.70	6957458.30
	58	519970.05	6956699.46			62	520279.03	6957153.66
	58	519991.74	6957342.77	-		62	519806.65	6957035.02
$\langle \rangle \rangle$		520041.98	6957204.67	-		62	519819.66	6957022.59
	58	520161.89	6957149.97			62	519905.62	6957162.35
	58	519948.60	6957176.68			62	519497.34	6957237.00
	58	519851.44	6957254.16			62	519842.71	6957044.16
	58	519581.07	6957469.59	J		63	520094.59	6957184.09

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing		Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
E. tereticornis	63	519920.49	6957321.17		E. tereticornis	69	519980.93	6957379.67
	63	519907.13	6956937.16			69	519937.46	6957189.11
	63	519841.79	6956961.97	-		69	519898.23	6957357.76
	63	520151.29	6957191.86			69	519949.46	6956790.66
	63	519898.73	6957361.41			69	520173.94	6957149.29
	64	519525.14	6957143.80			69	519581.87	6957106.94
	64	520058.83	6957181.05			69	518382,85	6957286.03
	64	519610.03	6957047.19			69	519860.91	6957245.06
	64	519667.76	6957452.18	-		69	570311.01	6957196.91
	64	519955.07	6957387.02			69	519972.06	6956844.12
	64	519591.44	6957158.88			- (e)	519792.94	6956987.52
	65	519966.68	6957241.34	-		70	519841.63	6957414.01
	65	519841.92	6957411.58	-		70-	519977.17	6956829.27
	65	519895.09	6956945.82	-	\sim	70	519338.60	6957219.98
	65	519848.11	6957146.72	-		70	519497.73	6957291.83
	65	519530.77	6957206.49	-		70	519881.37	6956951.27
	66	519996.74	6957262.12		\circ	70	519631.34	6956974.71
	66	520085.49	6957235.61	<		70	519998.65	6957158.44
	66	519605.83	6957452.27			71	519257.67	6957254.66
	66	519929.46	6957124.77	(71	519924.39	6956927.16
	67	519589.33	6957386.39		\bigcirc	71	519937.76	6957188.22
	67	520124.81	6957123.78		\triangleright	71	519947.45	6957258.65
	67	519584.83	6957039 81	\searrow		71	519638.15	6957401.49
	67	519498.13	6957284.90	\diamond		71	520079.91	6957205.38
	67	519929.27	6957129.20			71	519798.53	6956963.70
	67	520015.99	695671.01	-		71	519865.21	6956848.39
	67	519581.10	6957427.39	-		71	519411.05	6957191.17
	67	520165.32	6957192.06	-		72	520013.68	6957291.67
	67	520163.05	6957194.61			72	519950.66	6956621.96
	67	519825.99	6957023.80			72	520188.17	6957096.10
	68	519928.66	6956816.17			72	519998.70	6957192.55
	68/2	519837.40	6956934.62			72	519258.57	6957261.75
	68	520016.68	6956712.34	-		72	520079.59	6956951.94
	68	520149.00	6957357.35			73	519668.60	6957417.61
	685	519981.01	695/125.01			/4	519758.08	695/114.85
	68	519601.35	6957058.28			74	519981.63	6957138.86
	68	519612.67	6957030.79			74	520084.18	6956677.67
		519943.82	6956603.80	-		74	519802.59	6957032.48
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	68	520030.34	6956913.26			74	520013.99	6956756.44
	69	519839.31	6957264.03			74	519896.93	6956923.11
	69	519990.56	6956623.22			75	519919.55	6957283.96
	69	519556.50	6957297.50	-		75	519867.93	6957369.89
	69	520401.01	6957273.86	J		75	519943.81	6957207.93

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing	Species
E. tereticornis	75	519992.02	6957154.35	E. tereticorn
	75	520064.61	6956667.85	
	75	519678.61	6956953.04	
	76	520012.05	6957262.43	
	76	519951.15	6956863.43	
	76	519938.96	6957318.15	
	76	519550.51	6957132.13	
	77	519970.63	6957360.19	
	77	519339.98	6957219.64	
	78	519945.87	6957255.00	
	79	520271.14	6957155.66	
	79	520004.14	6957377.97	
	79	519843.13	6957123.02	
	79	519992.75	6956634.29	
	79	519932.29	6956799.32	4
	80	519159.60	6957321.72	
	80	519529.97	6957137.04	
	80	519753.56	6956881.14	
	81	519991.91	6956600.29	2 V
	81	519913.57	6957254.06	()
	81	519942.59	6957365.66	
	81	519838.28	6957112.18	
	82	519853.25	6957271.87	\rightarrow
	82	519582.66	6957412.10	>
	84	519264.30	6957267.63	
	84	519906.50	6957259.94	
	84	519942.87	6957360.24	
	85	519824.22	6957015.17	
	85	520019.13	6957242.48	
	86	519245.00	695/411.42	
	86	519925.67	6956923.73	
	07	510072.18	6956741.76	
	8/ N 07	E10478.06	6057341.09	
	2 01	519478.90	6057262.19	
	0/	510000 //7	6056626.87	
	22	519847 27	6957177 20	
	90	519971 5/	6957373.26	
	\mathcal{P}_{90}	519455.29	6957191.66	
	90	519954.94	6957006.20	
	91	519833.40	6957153.28	
	91	520189.98	6957356.84	
	91	519975.57	6956636.54	
L		1	1	I Contraction of the second

Species	DBH (cm)	Easting	Northing
tereticornis	91	519517.64	6957266.99
	92	519761.07	6957131.02
	92	519879.20	6957134.71
	92	519860.31	6957365.13
	93	519953.56	6957007.76
	93	519875.14	6957249.36
	94	518599 19	6957002.12
	102	519974.24	6956789.84
	102	519610.12	6956985.16
	106	51 9 593.26	6957059.84
	108	519847.03	6957395.73
	108	519906.64	6957186.50
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	115	520244.42	6956826.95
	178	519980.57	6957036.73
	118	519973.87	6956684.50
	123	519933.32	6956949.08
()	175	519784.97	6957006.92
$\sim$			

# Appendix C

 Table 1. Canopy compositions at SAT sites located in RE 12.3.11 / 12.3.6: E. tereticornis +/- E. siderophloia, C. intermedia open forest on alluvia plana usually near coast /

 M. quinquenervia +/- E. tereticornis, L. suaveolens, C. intermedia open forest on coastal alluvial plains

	E.tereticornis	E.siderophloia	E. moluccana	C.intermedia	C. citriodoria	C. gummifera	Casuarina glouca	Aiiocasuarina littoralis	Acacia sp.
Birk_02	56.67% (n=17)	30% (n=9)		10% (n=3)			3.33% (n=1)		
Birk_05	16.67% (n=5)	16.67% (n=5)	23% (n=7)	6.67% (n=2)	13.33% (n=4)	3. <b>33</b> % (n=1)	13.33% (n=4)		6.67% (n=2)
Birk_09	20% (n=6)			43.34% (n=13)	3.33% (n=1)	$\bigcirc$ $\checkmark$		33.33% (n=10)	
Birk_10	66.67% (n=20)		30% (n=9)		3.83% n=1				
Birk_D				1737			100% (n=30)		

 Table 2. Canopy compositions at SAT sites located in mapped high value regrowth 12.3.11 / 12.3.6: E. tereticornis +/- E. siderophloia, C. intermedia open forest on alluvial plains usually near coast / M. quinquenervia +/- E. tereticornis, L. suoveolens, C. intermedia open forest on coastal alluvial plains

	E.tereticornis	E.siderophloia	E.racemosa	L. suaveolens	C.intermedia	C. citriodoria	Casuarina glauca	Allocasuarina littoralis	Pinus elliottii	M. quinquenervia
Birk_03	60% (n=18)	3.93% (n=1)			3.33% (n=1)			3.33% (n=1)	30% (n=9)	
Birk_07	43.63% (7=13)	30% (n=9)		16.67% (n=5)		3.33% (n=1)	6.67% (n=2)			
Birk_08	16.67% (h=5)		6.67% (n=2)	13.33% (n=4)		3.33% (n=1)		56.67% (n=17)		3.33% (n=1)

 Table 3. Canopy compositions at three SAT sites located in mapped high value regrowth 12.5.2 / 12.5.3. / 12.3.6: *C. intermedia, E. tereticornis* open forest on remnant Tertiary surfaces, usually near coast and on deep red soils *E. racemosa* woodland on remnant Tertiary surfaces / *M. quinquenervia* +/- *E. tereticornis*, *L. suaveolens*, *C. intermedia* open forest on coastal alluvial plains

	E.tereticornis	E.resinifera	E.racemosa	E. microcorys	L. suaveolens	C.intermedia	Allocasuorina littoralis	M. quinquenervia
Birk_A	16.67% (n=5)		30% (n=9)	6.67% (n=2)		30% (n=9)		16.67% (n=5)
Birk_B		6.67% (n=2)	13.33% (n=4)		3.33% (n=1)	10% (n=3)	46.67% (n=14)	20% (n=6)
Control of the second s	RION			MA				

# Appendix D

Koala bridge concept design. Note the minimum requirements for diameter of poles. The upright poles must be erected within 50 cm of the fence or will not be used by koalas. These structures, when modified with metal sheeting around one side to prevent koalas from climbing them from one direction only, form a one-way crossing structure whereby koalas can disperse from any fenced construction footprint (see **Section 5.1**), or escape a road corridor (see **Section 5.2**)



Family	Scientific Name	Common Name	NC Act Status^	EPBC Act Status*	Migratory Status	Migratory Category	Marine Status	Source	Atlas of Living Australia Records	Wildlife Online Records	Habitat Requirements	Likelihood of Occurrence
Land Plants												
Myrtaceae	Melaleuca irbyana		E					wo	5	1	Open escalypt forest in poorly drained, usually clay, sandstone or alluvial soils.	Unlikely - the species has been recorded provimate one Project Area to not normally contain the species.
Najadaceae	Najas tenuifolia	Water nymph	SL				-	wo	1	1	Grows in freshwater less than 3 m deep; widespread.	Unlikely - although there are a large number of <u>occurrence revenue of</u> around the Project Area, the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. freebuater ecoverisme) consents with the requirements of the species.
Amphibians												
Hylidae	Litoria olongburensis	Wallum sedge frog	v	Vulnerable	-	-		PMST	1	0	In had' fog confined to the costall sandplain auklim swamps. There if the cycle is adapted to the acid or H (2.8.5.5) of these vertainst. Frogs are highest in suburdance in relatively unditurbet willing swamps. Thereing habital is distanciated by the presence of emergent seques, with upplit species such as Bauma spp, and Schoenusspp. preferred by adult fogs for perching. Frogs can be found in breeding habitat al year. However, little is known about habitat use when breeding is not occurring and drive rases adjacent to primary habitat may also be utilised. Breeding occurs mainly in spring, summer and autumn after rain. Eggs are ald angly in water at the base of seques.	Univery interroject And consists primarily of modified grasslands and does not contain wallum swamp.
Birds												
Accipitridae	Pandion cristatus	Eastern osprey	SL	-	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed	PMST/WO	28	11	Occir in literal and casetal habitatis and terrestrict event of tregical and temperate Australia and officiona (Terrestrict, Terrestrict, Caseta) (Society,	Possible - nearby records; however there are no wetlands within the Project Area.
Apodidae	Hirundapus caudacutus	White-throated needletail	v	Vulnerable	Migratory	Migratory Terrestrial Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST/WO	145	1	In Australia, the White-throated Needletal is almost exclusively serial, from heights of less them 110 by more than 1000 m alcos the ground (Covertry 1989; Tarbuton 1952). Wation 1955), Because they are serial, it has been stated that conventional #SDIN exclusional control 1956, but there are research including com forest and randomics, and may also by between trees to show that the showon any submitted to the source of the state	Known - there are a large number of occurrence records recorded from the vicinity of the Project Area and there is suitable habitat (i.e. open forest) within the Project Area.
Ardeidae	Botaurus poiciloptilus	Australasian bittern	E	Endangered		-		PMST	1	0	Favours permanent freshwater wetlands with tall, dense, vogetatur, practours y anotypis (Typha spp.) and spikerushes (Eleocharis spp.). Hödes during the day amongst dense reads or nushes and feed many at notypen indige nay. Nybies, sports, increads and snais. Feeding cours in summer from cets transle by the bit's platforms reads in the fund with they remains. Breading cours in summer from Cotcher to January, nests are built in sociuded places in densely-vegetated wetlands our platform reads. They are a transmission at a cluster to the cets they are built in sociuded places in densely-vegetated wetlands our platform reads. There are shuger as cluster to a clutch.	Unlikely - although there are a large number of occurrence records from around the Project Area, the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. wetlands) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Cacatuidae	Calyptorhynchus Iathami lathami	South-eastern glossy black- cockatoo	v	Vulnerable	-			PMST	7	0	habitio open forest and seculations to exist and in draw low of Parage where stands of shock occur. Black Shock (Allocauserian Bioralia) and Forst Breaks (A hordward) are spaced for both and or polarized on a wide renge of shocks, including Topong Shock, Allocauserian diminutal, and A gmmathera. Belah is also barder and may be rotrical hordwards for some populations. In the Riverina, binds are associated with hills and nodely research and nodely and research and nodely research and res	Likely - the habitat surrounding the Project Area is highly suitable. The species is very nomadic; as such, the existence of lew occurrence records (), a < 10) do not necessarily indicate that the species would not use the Project Area surrounds of foreging purposes on a electronal basis.
Charadriidae	Charadrius bicinctus	Double-banded plover	SL		Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST/ WO	20	E	For data there each test in the test of the second	Possible - The species may occur in grassland, which is present within the Project Area. Although the habitat within the Project Area is sub-optimal: the areas immediately surrounding are highly suitable for the species.
Charadriidae	Charadrius Ieschenaultii	Greater sand plover	v	Vulnerable	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed	PMST		19	The set of	Unlikely - although there are a large number of occurrence records from around the Project Area, the Project Area does not contain habitat consistent with the requirements of the species.
Charadriidae	Charadrius mongolus	Lesser sand plover	E	Endangered	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed	PMST/ WO	13	24	Almost entirely coastal, favouring the beaches of sheltered bays, harbours and estuaries with large intertidal sandflats or mudflats; cocasionally occurs on sandy beaches, coral reefs and nock platforms. Highly arguarious, Requerity seen in flocks exceeding 100 individuals; also othen seen foraging and noosing with other wader species. Roots during high the on sandy beaches, splat and tody shores, frager individually or in stattered flocks on wet ground at low tode, usually away from the water's edge. Die Indudes insects, crustacenst, mollaucs and marine worms. Prey is usually detected visually with the birds making short, quick runs, with advort species. Roots for prey.	Unlikey - although there are a large number of occurrence records from around the Project Area, the Project Area does not contain habitat consistent with the requirements of the species.
Charadriidae	Charadrius veredus	Oriental plover	SL		Migratory	Mgratery Wetlands Species	Listed overlay marine area	Byst/wo	0	1	Immediately after antirities in non-branching ground in inerthem Australia. Oriental Powen spend a few seeks in coastal habitate such as a stainter mutitate and sambatike, on and of cody crose hocks hoch not orienter from of an inaccoastal grassinals. Before dispersing hatter intellifets [189]: Biomskey (1855; Consolid 1972; Marine et al. 1988; Serverty & Withtell 1975; Stori 1977, 1990, 1984b). Thereafter they usually inhabit fat, open, semi-atic or and grassinals, before dispersing hatter intellifets [189]; Biomskey (1865; Canacht 1967; Close 1982; Fielden 1980; Peder 1982; Stori 1970, or open areas: that have been recently burit (Boeket 1980; Chatta 2003; Canacht 1986; Stori 1977); Atter Marine and Information and there takes and the store in logibility coded grassinals (Stori 1977). Some remain in estamer and littical endros (1872; Canacht 1986; Stori 1977); Atter howards (The VIS Season, some may more into libythy voded grassinals); (Stori 1977). Some remain in estamer and littical endros (1872; Atter 1882; Stori 1977); Atter 1982; Atter 1982; Atter 1982; Atter 1982; Atter 1982; Atter 1983; Atter 1983; Atter 1984; Atter 1985; Canacht 1984; Atter 1984; Atter 1984; Atter 1984; Atter 1985; Canacht 1986; Stori 1977; Atter 1984; Peder 1982; Stori 1980; or open areas: that have been recently burit (Boeket 1980; Chatta 2003; Canacht 1986; Stori 1977; Atter 1984; Atter 1984; Atter 1982; Atter 1984; Atter 1984; Atter 1984; Atter 1982; Atter 1985; Atter 1984; Atter 1985; Peder 1982; Atter 1987; Atter 1984; Atter 1984; Atter 1982; Atter 1987; Atter 1987; Atter 1984; Atter 1982; Atter 1987; Atter 1984; Atter 1987; Atter 1982; Atter 1987; Atter 1984; Atter 1987; Atter 1982; Atter 1987; Atter 1984; Atter 1984; Atter 1982; Atter 1987; Atter 1987; Atter 1987; Atter 1987; Atter 1987; Atter	Unlikey - atthough there are a large number of occurrence records from around the Project Area, the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. estuarine mudilats and sandbanks) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Charadriidae	Pluvialis fulva	Pacific golden plover	SL	$\langle$	Nighetory	Meratory Wetlands Species	Listed	PMST/ WO	224	19	In non-breeding grounds in Australia this species usually inhabits coastal habitats, though it occasionally occurs around inland wetlands. Pacific Golden Plovers usually occur on beaches, mutilatis and sanditatis (cometimes in vegetation such as margroves, low satimarsh such as Sarcoomia, or bed of seagrass) in sheftered areas including harbors, settakes and lagons, and also in eveporation provide single. The species is also sometimes recorded on islands, sand and cord usys and exposed reds and noise. They are less often recorded in iteratial habitats, usually vetlands such as thesh, trackating habitats, ballborger, policit, sweinpos dive dictoprize, segorably horse with maching by margin and den with scheriged explands or not are merger grass. Other terrestrial habitats well away from water (Marchant & Higgins 1993). On its breeding grounds it occurs in tundra (Dementre & Gladkov 1951).	Unlikely - although there are a large number of occurrence records from around the Project Area, the Project Area does not contain habitat consistent with the requirements of the species.
Charadriidae	Pluvialis squatageta	Greypidver			Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST	3	0	In non-breeding grounds in Australia, Grey Povers occur almost entirety in coastal areas, where they usually inhabit sheltered embayments, estuaries and lagoons with muditis and sandfals, and occasionally on rocky coasts with wev-cut platform or reeffalts, or on reds within mudy lagoons. They also occur around retentistial vellands such as near-occastal lakes and swamps; or sall-lakes. The species is also very occasionally recorded future inland, where they occur around vetlands or sall-lakes (Marchant & Hogins 1983 and references therein). On their breeding grounds they inhabit tundra (Dementer & Gladkov 1951).	Unlikely - there are few occurrence records from around the Project Area, the Project Area does not contain habitat consistent with the requirements of the species.
Cuculidae	Cuculus optatus	Offental cuskoo	SL SL	Y	Migratory	Migratory Terrestrial Species		PMST/ WO	8	1	The species uses a range of vegetated habits such as moneon rainforcer, eet colorophy forest, open woodbundt and appenra quie are language soft press, open woodbundt and appenra quie are languages of forest, open woodbundt works on the truits and interches of trees, and money final killing in middleg in mistelese. It will forage from the ground, but requires shrules of trees from which it satilies and returns to consume prey items. Caterpillars are a favoured tood.	Possible - the Project Area occurs along a forest edge that contains open woodland and forest.
Laridae	Gelochelidon nilotica	Gull-billed tern	SL	-	-			wo	561	14	Found in freshwater swamps, brackish and salt lakes, beaches and estuarine muditats, floodwaters, sewage farms, irrigated croplands and grasslands. They are only rarely found over the ocean.	Likely - there are a large number of occurrence records recorded from the vicinity of the Project Area and there is suitable habitat (i.e. grassland) within the Project Area.

Laridae	Hydroprogne caspia	Caspian tern	SL	-	-	-		wo	335	19	Mostly found in sheftered coastal embayments (harbours, lagoons, inlets, bays, estuaries and river dettas) and those with sandy or muddy margins are preferred. They also occur on near-coastal or inland terrestrial wetlands that are either fresh or salite, especially lakes (including ophemeral lakes), waterboles, reservoirs, news and creaks. They also use artificial wetlands, including reservoirs, seeving oncidi and attracts, in offshoer areas the species prefers sheftered attautons, particularly near silands, and is rarely seen beyond refs (Higgins & Davis 1996). Large numbers may shefter along the coast, behind coastal sand-dunes or coastal lakes during rough weather, and have been recorded infand wet strome (Higgins & Davis 1996).	Unlikely - atthough there are a large number of occurrence records from around the Project Area, the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. wetlands) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Laridae	Sterna hirundo	Common tern	SL	-	-		-	wo	14	3	Marine, pelagic and coastal. In Australia, they are recorded in all marine zones, but are commonly observed in near-coastal waters, both on ocean beaches, platforms and headands and in sheftered waters, such as bays, harbors and estimative with muddy, standy or rocky shores. Occasionally they are recorded in coastal and near-coastal wettinds, shure it shellower hculding lagoons, hrves, lakes, evening and salkonts. Sometimes they occur in margineses or seastal and near-coastal wettinds, shure the shellowers or coastal entbayments (brands et al. 1992; Chatto 2006; Highins & Davies 1996; Hitchook 1965; Morris 41, 1990; Wood 1991).	Unlikely - although there are a large number of occurrence records from around the Project Area, the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. wetlands) consistent with the representation of the species.
Laridae	Sternula albifrons	Little tern	SL		-			wo	257	5	Almost asculately coastal, ordening abilities devinonments, however may occur averall isloneties from the sai is harbours, linktica ord invers (with occusional defibrore laindos coal day records), thesis is small, scattered coloralis is the values of an advected just above high list mark new etaluary mouth or adjacent to coastal lakes and islands. The next is a scrape in the sand, which may be lined with abel grit, seawed or small pebbles. Both parents includes up to more well-cancellaged eggs for up to 2 days, aggressively dedending the next against intrudes until the young fedge at 17 - 19 days. Often seen feeding in flocks, foraging for small fab, crastacens, insects, worms and moliuscs by plunging in the shallow water of channels and estuaries, and in the surf on basches, or skipping over the west estuaristics with a swall-on-like light.	Unlikely - although there are a large interer of occurrence process for personal the Project Area and the species may occur several kin from the coast, the <b>Project Area does</b> the <b>Normal State</b> (.e. harbours, rivers and intels) consident with the requirements of the spaces.
Laridae	Thalasseus bergli	Crested tern	SL					wo	65	17	The species instalts tropical and subtropical coastlines, foraging in the stallow waters of lagons. (Higgins and Davies 1936, del Hoyo et al. 1966), iconal neek (ab) (Hoyo et al. 1966), extranse (Uhan et al. 1966, del Hoyo et al. 1966), hays, handrours and inster (Higgins and Davies 1936), along sandry nocky, coad lel Hoyo et al. 1989) or muddy stores, on nocky outcrops in open sea, in mangrove awarraps (Langrand 1980) and alon for out bas on open water (ab) et al. 1969), it and how of al. 1989) or muddy stores, on nocky outcrops in open sea, in mangrove awarraps (Langrand 1986) and alon for out bas on open water (ab) et al. 1969), it shows and a preference for neeks, sandry or coastl sistes, coastl si	Utility of the area for more and the project Area does not confirm around the Project Area, the Project Area does not confirmability is instanced and other with the requirements of the species.
Meliphagidae	Anthochaera phrygia	Regent honeyeater	CR	Critically Endangered		-		PMST	3	0	Inhabits dry open forest and woodand, particularly Box-Irothark woodand, and riparian forests of River Sheoak. Regent Honeyeaters inhabit woodands that support a significantly high abundance and species incheres of brid species. These woodings have significantly large numbers of mature trees, high capacity and abundance of militables. Every leveras non-hereding focks are seen foraging in foreering coastal Swamp Mahogany and Spotted Gum forests, proceeding of the central coast and occasionally on the upper north coast. Birds are occasionally seen on the south coast.	Unterly, the Funct and does not contain habitat (i.e. woodlands) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Monarchidae	Monarcha melanopsis	Black-faced monarch	SL		Migratory	Migratory Terrestrial Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST/ WO	36	4	Mariny occurs in inificited coorganes, including semi-deciduous view lickets, complex notophyl view feest, hopical (manager finite) in the semi- matrixets, mesophyl (hrombar) Historichkohadau, sum terrelevation information and incomplex notices and information and intervent (intervent) (historica) in the semi- tial semi-transmission and al. 1627. Ford et al. 1620. Cell 1570: Cooper 1592, Laurance et al. 1596; Morris et al. 1691; Orte 1595; Storiet & Manager 159 Simih 1684. Storiety of the semi-transmission and and and and and and and and and an	Fillery - the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. ninforest) consistent with the requirements of the species. The speces may occur in surrounding eucallyst forest: however the Project Area consists primarily of modified grassland which is not suitable for the species.
Monarchidae	Mylagra cyanoleuca	Satin flycatcher	SL		Migratory	Migratory Terrestrial Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST	2	0	Mainly inhabit eucayot forests, often near wetlands or watercourses. They generally occur in moster, taijen trefs than the leaden Flycatcher Mylagia rebecula, often occurring in guilles (Bilkers et al. 1984; Emisson et al. 1997; Officer 1969). They also occur in eucayors fordands who pen understore and grass ground cover, and are generally absent for mainforces (Emisson et al. 1987; Officer 1969). In south-assent, Matther Myrours events on south events and events on of up to 1400 m above sea level, and in the ACT, they occur mainly between 800 m above sea level and the treeline (Emission et al. 1987; Taico & COG 1923).	Known - the Project Area contains an open understorey and grass ground cover adjacent to eucalypt woodland which are known to support the species.
Monarchidae	Symposiachrus trivirgatus	Spectacled monarch	SL		Migratory (as Monarcha trivirgatus)	Migratory Terrestrial Species	Listed - overfly marine area (as Monarcha	PMST/ WO	19	2	Dense vegetation, mainly in rainforest but also in moist forest or wet sciences which occasionary in other areas vegetation such as mangroves, drier forest and woodfands.	Unlikely - the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. dense vegetation) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Phaethontidae	Phaethon lepturus	White-tailed tropicbird	SL		-		-	wo	2	1	The white-tailed Tropiciting can be found over pelagic waters and the open of tropic-term buildings of the specially flying-faith, squid and some crustaceans (especially crash). Its diet varies locally, e.g. in this Sharthies, it confutions of faith. Most prey is caught by plang-faith, squid and be taken on the wing. Breeding course, the secondly in place of the secondly, the special sole sole contain, esting in trock previses or a sheltered scape on the ground on small-ermote blands. Nexts and the second the special sole sole contain, esting in trock previses or a sheltered scape on the ground on small-ermote blands. Nexts and the special production the second the special sole sole contain, esting in trock previses or a sheltered bit addits and previses watering estimatively (of at a 1920).	Unlikely - the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. pelagic waters or coastal areas) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Procellariidae	Calonectris leucomelas	Streaked shearwater	SL		Migratory	Migratory Marine Birds	Listed	PMST	0	0	Found in both pelagic and inshore waters on the moral participant during the summer breeding season. The greatest breeding concentration are on offshore stands of Japan, with a preference for the with register states.	Unlikely - the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. pelagic waters or inshore water, although inshore water is adjacent) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Rhipiduridae	Rhipidura rufifrons	Rufous fantail	SL	-	Migratory	Migratory Terrestrial Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST/ WO	220	1 C	Marriy inhabits wet soleroor werses, once a pulse downer by escalysts such as Tallow-wood (Eucarynta microcorys), Moustain Grey Cam (E. cypelitocarpa), Marris werse d'Peppore II, inhana), Mohan Martin (E. Megano), Apline Asti (E. desgutareas), Backbatt (E. puburs) or Ked Mohagov (E. mainteas), such men are record in memoria. Next (M. Chama), and the formation of the sole of t	Likely - the Project Area adjoins a guily dominated by eucalypta and the species is known to inhabit habitat edges
Rostratulidae	Rostratula australis	Australian painted snipe	E	Endangered	-		Listed - overfly marine area (as Rostratula	PMST	0	EX	News finges of swipps, dans and nearby marshy areas where there is a cover of grasses, Ignum, low sorub or open timber. Nests on the ground amongst tail vescent, such a prasses, tussocks or reds. The nest consists of a scrape in the ground, lined with grasses and leaves. Breeding is often in response to local control concerns constrained to be contender. Includation and care of young is all undertaken by the male only. Forages nocturnally on mud-flats and in stallow marker where the locarether.	Unlikely - the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. fringes of swamps or marshy areas) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Scolopacidae	Actitis hypoleucos	Common sandpiper	SL	-	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed	PMST	Vie Vie		these a wide range of coastal wetlands and some inland wetlands, with varying levels of salinity, and is mostly found around muddy margins or rocky shores and a normatidats. The Common Sandpiper has been recorded in estuaries and deltas of streams, as well as on banks farther upstream, around bases, pools, billaborgos, reservoirs, dams and dayasing, and occasionally pieses and iteration. The muddy margins utilities of the sales of the sale of the	Unlikely - the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. coastal or inland wetlands) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Scolopacidae	Arenaria interpres	Ruddy turnstone	SL		Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Spacies	listed	Parst wo	104	32	Manny found on coastal regions with exposed rock coast lines or coral reefs. It also lives near platforms and shelves, often with shallow tidal pools and rocky, shingle or grave beaches. It can, however, be found on sand, coral or shell beaches, shals, cays and dy ridges of sand or coral. It has occasinghes been sighted in estuaries, hothours, bays and coastal lagons, among low satimans hor on exposed beds of segargas, around sevage ports and on ruidfus. In north Australia It is hown to occur in a wide variety of habitats, and may prefer wide muditats. In southern Australia It is fluid anound notice weeks of the set	Unlikely - the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. exposed rock coastlines or coral reefs) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Scolopacidae	Calidris acuminata	Sharp-tailed sandpiper	SL 🔨		Mighton	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed	PMST/ WO	264	22	Prefers muddy edges of shallow fresh or brackish wetlands, with inundated or emergent sedges, grass, satimarsh or other low vegetation. This includes lagoons, swamps, lakes and pools near the coast, and dams, waterholes, soaks, bore drains and bore swamps, satiguns and hyperaline satilakes initiad. They also occur in shallowed bays, initiae, estuaries or seashores, and also awamps and explands and they may also hyperaticate and they also doct and sheltered bays, initiae, estuaries or seashores, and also awamps and oreaks lined with mangroves. They tend to occupy coastal modifies and they are pheneral terrestrial wetlands have dried out, mong back during they we season. They may be attracted to mail of algae and water weed either floating or washored up around terrestrial wetlands, and coastal areas with much backcast seawed. Sometimes they occur on rocky shores and rarely on exposed rests (Higgins & Davies 1996).	Unlikely - the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. freah or brackish wetlands) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Scolopacidae	Calidris alba	Sandering			Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed	PMST	2	0	Offen found in coastal areas on low beaches of firm sand, near reefs and inlets, along tidal mudflats and bare open coastal lagoons; individuals are rarely recorded in near-coastal wetlands. Generally occurs in small focts, however may associate feely with hother waders. Individuals run behind receding waves, darting after inserds, lanvea and other small inverteaties in the sand, then dart back up the beach as each wave breachs. Also feed to altats, sends, worms, cutataceans, spiders, jaiyfash and fash, foraging around notting heaps of keps, at the edges of shallow pools on sandspits and on nearby mudflats. Roosts on bare sand, behind chums of beach-cast kep or in coastal dures. Breeding occurs in the Northern Hemsphere.	Unlikely - the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. tidal muditats or bare open coastal lagoons) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Scolopacidae	Calidris canutus	Red kgoy		Endangeret	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST/ WO	217	18	Occurs in small numbers on intertidal mudfats, estuaries, bays, intets, lagoons, harbours and sandflats and sandy beaches of sheltered coasts. It is occasionally found on sandy ocean beaches or shallow pools on exposed wave-out rock platforms and is a rare viaitor to trenstrait saline wettainds and rehawater swamps. It usually forage near the water's degr. With feeding activity equilated by the lide as birds closely follow the tide-degr. The det consists of worms, birdwes, gastropods, crustaceans and echinoderms. The birds root to sandy beaches, spits, islets and mudfats close to feeding grounds, usually in open areas. It is rarely bound on infand lades or swamps.	Unlikely - the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. intertidal mudilats, estuaries, lagoons etc.) consistent with the requirements of the species.
Scolopacidae	Calidris ferruginea	Curley sondpiper	CR	Critically Endangered	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST/ WO	485	33	It generally occupies litoral and estuarine habitats, is mainly found in intertidal mutifiats of sheltered coasts. It also occurs in non-fidal exempts, lakes and lagoons on the coast and sometimes initiand. It trages in or at the edge of shallow water, occursing on exposed aigal mats or waterweed, or on banks of beach-cast eargrass or seweed. It roots on shifted, shall or sand beach-cast to be the coast or in waterweed, or on banks of beach-cast seawed, or on rootly shores. Curlew Sandpipers are omnivolous, leeding on worms, molitudes, cristitication, insection and some seeds. Birds breed at 2 years of age and the obset records third is 1 years on Most bries can part in where 3 and 5 years odd.	Unlikely - the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. estuarine areas or intertidal mudilats) consistent with the requirements of the species.

Scolopacidae	Calidris melanotos	Pectoral sandpiper	SL	-	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST	0	0	Prefers shallow fresh to saline wetlands. The species is found at coastal lagoons, estuaries, bays, swamps, lakes, inundated grasslands, saltmarshes, niver pools, creats, Boodplains and artificial wetlands. The species is usually found in coastal or near coastal habitat to cocasionally found further inland. It prefers wetlands that have open hinging mutdits and one, memperior finging estator saline wetlands) consistent with the requirements of the species in shallow water or soft mut at the edge of wetlands (Highe & Davies 1996).
Scolopacidae	Calidris ruficollis	Red-necked stint	SL		Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST/ WO	569	28	Mostly found in coastal areas, including in shellered inlets, bays, lagoons and estuaries with intertidial muditats, often near spits, islets and banks and, sometimes, on protected samdy or coraline aboves. Occasionality they have been recorded on exposed or coase backbes, and sometimes on story or crockly syntems, released or any advices, released or advices, they advices, released or advices, and advices, and sometimes on story or crockly syntems, released or advices, and advices, and advices, and advices, released or advices, and advices, advic
Scolopacidae	Calidris tenuirostris	Great knot	CR	Critically Endangered	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST/ WO	549	28	Occurs within sheltered, coastal habitats containing large, intertidal muditats or sandflats, including inlets, bays, harbours, estuaries and lagoons. Other recorded on sandy beaches with multitats rearry, sandy spits and lates and sometimes on exposed reds or rock platforms. Migrates to Australia from like Multitation and April, howere small including and April, howere small habitats and April h
Scolopacidae	Gallinago hardwickii	Latham's snipe	SL		Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST	15	0	Occurs in permanent and ophannel wetlands up to 2000 m above sea-bened (Chapman 1969; Natarding 1981). They usually inhibit open released with tow, dense vegetation (e.g. swares, locked grassiand or heather to bene) (Find et al. 1975). Westor 2005) (Find et al. 1975). Westor 2005) comm.) However, they can also occur in habitatis with alien or bracksh water, in modified or artificial habitats, and in habitats located close to humans or human activity (Fint) et al. 1977. Navering 1983).
Scolopacidae	Limicola falcinellus (syn. Calidris falcinellus)	Broad-billed sandpiper	SL	-	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST	96	0	Occurs in sheltered parts of the coast, through estuartine mutifields but also occasionally occur on astimarshes, shallow freshwater lagoons, saltworks and seven fame, and more than in more than the
Scolopacidae	Limosa Iapponica baueri	Nunivak Bar- tailed godwit	v	Vulnerable		-	-	PMST/ WO	0	46	Is to used mainly in coastal habitatis such as large intentional sandbate, banks, multities, estantise, jetes, here, her
Scolopacidae	Limosa limosa	Black-tailed godwit	SL	-	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST/ WO	48	4	Primarily a coastal species. Usually found in sheltered bays, estuaries and lagoons with large interticial mutifies areas executes. Further inherity a coastal species. Usually found in sheltered bays, estuaries and lagoons with large interticial mutifies areas executed. Unlikely - the Project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. coastal habitats) consistent with the requirements of the Forcages for mescies, constacteres, moliuses, such sets, sets, estimates and sets and severage freatment works. Forcages for mescies, constacteres, moliuses, such sets, sets, and sets and severage freatment works. Frequently recorded in mixed flocks with Bar-tailed Godwits.
Scolopacidae	Numenius madagascariensis	Eastern curlew	E	Critically Endangered	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed	PMST/ WO	610	36	a generative couples coupled lakes, links, links, logs and estuante habitats and New Yooth (in a rem), even percisi models and sources and
Scolopacidae	Numenius minutus	Little curlew	SL	-	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST	2	0	Congregates around pools, river beds and water-filled tidal generates, and advance water at lease of billaborgs. The species prefers pools with bare dy mud (including modularias in shallow water) and they do not year by any fload or thewing vegetated frégaria & Davies 1990; and year and yea
Scolopacidae	Numenius phaeopus	Whimbrel	SL	-	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed	PMST/ WO	755	53	Other found on the interfact mutitity of future to the interfact mutitity of future to the interfact mutitity of many to the specific of the interfact mutitity of the interfa
Scolopacidae	Philomachus pugnax (syn. Calidris pugnax)	Ruff (Reeve)	SL		Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST	0	•	Found on generally that protocols of same tentions with opposed mutitals at the degls. It is found in terretarial verticality, look approximation, protocols, and protocols, pro
Scolopacidae	Tringa brevipes	Grey-tailed tattler	SL		Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed (as Heteroscelu s brevipes)	PMST/ WO	563	6	Due found on X-enter the start or the start or the start or with interfaild mutuals. It can also be bound at interfaild ancody, cond or story refers a well as Anyma and idea that are special of which. It has been that around around arous of conditions or shall interfaild ancody, condit or story refers and mutual tables in embyoards and counterplaces and counterplaces and counterplaces and the story and counterplaces and the story and counterplaces are and start and counterplaces and counterplaces and tables and pools in sewage farms and sativorts. Infand mutuals are assessed of isolates jumps deed count. It is counterplaces are not while starting on rule mutuals and be degined or count (figures 1990). In the start of the species are not while starting on rule hasies and be degined or count (figures 1990).
Scolopacidae	Tringa glareola	Wood sandpiper	SL	-	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST	2		No well-sequencies duration, featurement wellands, such as essente, hillberge, lakies, pools and velativities. They are tyrically associated with invergent, aquatic distance or pass, and dominante by talker intringing vegations, such as censes atink of cubanks or release, a specially Malakica and Rele Red Gums Carlos, and regated corps. They are also found at a some statism durations, short herbage or wooded floodplanks, where Rodowners wellands, or dy statiets attimum. Typically they do rdu use coastal dists, but are occasionally recorded in story wetlands. This species uses artificial wetlands, colliding oper severage pools, reservices, langer markets, langer than durate data attimum. They call the the requirements of the severage pools, reservices, langer markets, langer data and and and the story wetlands. This species uses artificial wetlands,
Scolopacidae	Tringa incana	Wandering tattler	SL	-	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	listed (as lieteroscelu s liicanus)	Pustwo	v	1	Generating found on rody coates with needs and juditions, points, pains, pains, pains, and an advantage backets or bodis. It is accessionally at accessionally at accessional pains and accessiona painter pains and accessi
Scolopacidae	Tringa nebularia	Common greenshank	SL	-	heady	Migrator Wetlands Species	Listed overfly makeparea	PMST/WO	348	24	Found in a wide variety of inland vertiands and sheftered coastal habitats of varying sainity. It occurs in sheftered coastal habitats, typically with large muditas and salimatin, mangroves or seagrass. Habitats include embayments, hardroams, invert estimates, data and caption and salimatin, merg cores, habitats, and coastal habitats include embayments, hardroams, invert estimates, data and captionations. The species uses both permanent rank vertiands, including sensor, lakes, darms, invert estimates, billations, with rest. The species uses both permanent rank vertiands (and sensor), and the species in the species in the species. The species uses the species of the vertiands or coastal habitats) consistent with the edges of the vertiands used are generally of mud or day, occursingly 6 same and salimating in the project Area does not contain habitat (i.e. inland vertiands or coastal habitats) consistent with the salimatin, mangroves, thickeds for tarbes, and dasd or live treats. It was now be bar or with entergetion in finding short sedges of the vertiangs to Subast (i.e. inland vertiands or coastal habitats) consistent with the salimatin, mangroves, thickeds for tarbes, and dasd or live treats. New core recorded with Black-winged Stills (Himatitopus Immatitopus I) in pasture, but are permetty not Dard in day coastal of lights to Bard (i.e. inland vertiands) or coastal habitats) consistent with the salimatin in day general of (fights) is a Davier Stilly or the or with emergent or finging vertiands and the species.
Scolopacidae	Tringa stagnatilis	Marsh sandpiper			Migathy	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST/ WO	32	1	Lives in permanent or ophenenetia wellands of varying salinity, including saverys, lagoons, bilatorys, salitans, satharnishes, estuates, posko in innalated inclusional and interfacient multitatia and the origin and saverys and fooded inland lakes. In north Australia they prefer interiad multitatia (diagons & Dalves 1996), although surveys in Kadao Malloni posko in innalated interviews, wellandes, posko interviews and fooded inland lakes. In north Australia they prefer interiad multitatia (diagons & Dalves 1996), although surveys in Kadao Malloni posko in innalated interviews, wellandes, posko interviews and fooded inland lakes. In north Australia they prefer interview multitatis (diagons & Dalves 1996), although surveys in Kadao Malloni (france Essawa), KSNT, the food and the posko interviews and saver interviews in the saver interview multitation in the saver interview interview in the saver interview interview interviews and saver interviews interviews and saver interviews and saver interviews and saver interviews and saver interviews and coastal saltworts. They are found interviews and saver interviews and saver interviews and saver interviews and coastal saltworts. They are found interviews and saver interviews and coastal saltworts. They are found interviews and saver interviews and saver interviews and saver interviews and saver interviews and coastal saltworts. They are found interviews and saver interviews and coastal saltworts. They are found interviews and saver int
Scolopacidae	Xenus cinereus	Terek landpiper		Ŋ	Migratory	Migratory Wetlands Species	Listed - overfly marine area	PMST/ WO	453	26	In Australia, has been recorded on coastal mudhts, lagoons, creeks and estuaries. Favours mutbanks and santbanks located near mangroves, but may also be deserved on rocky goods and resks, and occasionally up to 10 mi hand anound knockits pools. Generally roots communally amongst mangroups or dead trees, dent with reside and set species. Stensor to one solary bit to with herein the one provide and the line of the det induces or dead trees, dent with reside and set species. Stensor to one solary bit to with herein go and provide and water days. Feeding a undertaken by moving napidy and emailcally over acid, wet mud, pecking or probing at the surface.
Strigidae	Ninox strenua	Powerturowi	v			-	-	wo	30	7	Inhabits a range of vegetation types, from woodland and open sclerophyll forest to tail open wet forest and rainforest. The Powerful Ow requires large tracts of forest or woodland habita but can cour in fragmented landscapes as wet. The species beeds and hunts in open or closes desceptifyl forest or woodlands and occasionally hunts in open habitas. It houses by days in dress special spec

Mammals												
Phascolarctidae	Phascolarctos cinereus	Roala (compined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian	E	Endangered	-		-	PMST/ WO	8216	3991	Inhabit eucaypt woodlands and forests. Feed on the foliage of more than 70 eucaypt species and 30 non-eucaypt species, but in any one area will select preferred browse species. Inactive for most of the day, Keeting and moving mostly at night. Spend most of their time in trees, but will descend and rulers will explicitly descend and rulers, but will be an only an one area will select be the series of an and and rulers and sub-ordinate males on the periphery. Females breed at two pairs of dag and produce one young er year.	Known - the species has been recorded with the Project Area on numerous occasions as part of investigations undertaken for the Project.
Pseudocheiridae	Petauroides volans	Central greater glider	E	-	-		-	wo	7	#N/A	The greater glider (sufferen and central) is an anotexil inocturinal mansupial, predominantly solitary and largely restricted to socially forests and woodlands of an explored the second seco	Likely - the Project Area contains habitat antiputes for non-presenting attributes), such as live and dead holiow- bearing trees for denning, field trees, land the presenting interacting attributes as defined by the Guide to Greater Glider Habitat in Queensiand (Equationed of brankment of Joince 2022).
Pteropodidae	Pteropus poliocephalus	Grey-headed flying-fox	с	Vulnerable	-		-	PMST	23	7	Occur in subtropical and temperaturant/nexts, tall advorphyl foreta and woodlands, heaths and swamps as well as urban gardens and califysted fluit crops. Council of the subtropical and temperaturant/nexts, tall advorphyl foreta and woodlands, heaths and swamps as well as urban gardens and califysted fluit crops. Individual camps may have them of thousands of similar and are used for maing, and for giving birth and raving young. Annual mating commonses in January you conception accurs. In April or May, a similar giving is to find to Cobler of November (Ste field to compile heat); and the giving birth and raving is help; some camps have been used for over a centruly. Can travel up to 50 km from the camp to forage; commuting distances are more den <20 km. Feed on the next and polien of native trees, in particular Euclident Malelaceus and Barward motives these and vinces. Also forage in convilouitied gardens and fuir crops.	Likely -There are no back acception in the second s
Tachyglossidae	Tachyglossus aculeatus	Short-beaked echidna	SL	-	-	-	-	wo	10	1	Found throughout Australia; lives in forests and woodlands, heath, grasslands and arid environments.	Charm The pecies is publicat generalist capable of persisting in a wide variety of natural areas, such as those whither adjustments the project Area.
*Nature Conservation *Environment Protect Marine species were Olive Ridley Turtle, I	on Act 1992: CR; Criticali tion and Biodiversity Con a not considered in this as Pacific Ridley Turtle (Leoli	y Endangered; E = I iservation Act 1999: isessments, includir dochelvs olivacea).	Endangered; \ CE = Critical Ig: Humpback Flatback Turt	/ = Vulnerable; S ly Endangered; E whale (Megapter le (Natator depre	E = Special Le = Endangered a novaeanglia ssus ). Leather	east Concern; C = d; V = Vulnerable e), Australian hu rback Turtle, Leat	Least Conce mpback dolphi hery Turtle, Lu	m n, (Sousa sahu ith (Dermoche)	ilensis ), Dugon vs coriacea ).	g (Dugong dugi	on) Great white shark (Carcharodon carcharolas), Loggerhead Turtie (Caretta caretta), Green Turtie (Chelonia mydas), Hawkada, Tubre (Eretmonynka marana)	
		S.				E f					A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	

General Habitat	Survey site 1	Survey site 2	Survey site 3
Habitat feature			
Coarse woody debris (>10cm diameter & >0.5 in length)	300cm	400cm	0cm
Hollow bearing trees	1	0	0
Decorticating bark	5%	5%	PC (
Course litter (> 2cm)	10%	40%	40%
Fine litter	10%	10% 🔨 🌔	
Stones/boulders	0%	0%	0%
Permanent water	absent	absent	absent
Ephermeral water	absent	absent	absent
Habitat disturbance			
Weed cover	10% 🔨	10%	10%
Clearing	0 9%	V 0%	95%
Bare ground	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (	0%	15%
Erosion		0%	0%
Grazing	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	0%	0%
P MADIN			

Species	DBH (cm)	Height (m)	Spread (m)	Habitat Features	Health Score	Notes	Latitude	Longitude
Corymbia intermedia	35	18	9	0	0	-	-27.5126	153.204
Corymbia intermedia	10	4	1.5	0	0	-	-27.5108	153.204
Corymbia intermedia	15	10	4	0	0	-	-27.5098	153.201
Eucalyptus moluccana	45	18	16	0	0	-	-27.5088	153.2
Eucalyptus siderophloia	40	16	12	0	0	-	-27,5087	153.201
Eucalyptus siderophloia	60	16	12	0	0	-	-27.5085	153.201
Eucalyptus siderophloia	60	18	18	0	0	-	27.5988	153.201
Eucalyptus siderophloia	20	9	4	0	0	- (	-27.509	153.2
Eucalyptus siderophloia	20	9	3	0	0	- 6	-27.809	153.2
Eucalyptus siderophloia	35	15	19	0	0	- ^ ((	-27.5083	153.201
Eucalyptus siderophloia	25	12	8	0	0		-27.5089	153.2
Eucalyptus siderophloia	20	6	4	0	0	$\sim$	-27.5104	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	25	9	3	0	0		-27.5104	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	15	6	4	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}^{\sim}$	-27.5103	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	15	6	3	0	2		-27.5102	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	15	7	2	0	$\langle \alpha \rangle L$		-27.5104	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	50	18	14	0	0	-	-27.5104	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	15	7	3	0	0	-	-27.5104	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	15	7	2	0		-	-27.5104	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	50	16	15	0	0	-	-27.5105	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	15	8	4	0 ((	A l	-	-27.5105	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	15	7	3	0	0	-	-27.5104	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	20	9	4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0	-	-27.5104	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	15	7	2	20	0	-	-27.5105	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	15	6	2		0	-	-27.5105	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	29	9	5	(0)	0	-	-27.5106	153.202
Eucalyptus siderophloia	20	9	4		0	-	-27.5106	153.202
Eucalyptus tereticornis	70	20	25	6	0	-	-27.5108	153.202
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	8	A	> 0	0	-	-27.5102	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	25	11	45	<b>&gt;</b> 0	0	-	-27.5099	153.202
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	3		0	0	-	-27.512	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	102	(5)	0	0	-	-27.5121	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	30	18		0	0	-	-27.5126	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	25	A	10	0	0	-	-27.5128	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	6	3	0	0	-	-27.512	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20 🔇	É	4	0	0	-	-27.512	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	6	3	0	0	-	-27.512	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	6	3	0	0	-	-27.512	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	6	2	0	0	-	-27.512	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	\$500	23	20	0	0	-	-27.5116	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	10	6	0	0	-	-27.512	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	25	8	4	0	0	-	-27.512	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis 🧼 🏹	8	5	1.5	0	0	-	-27.5115	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	60	18	12	0	0	-	-27.5113	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	> 30	8	5	0	0	-	-27.5114	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	30	8	5	0	0	-	-27.5115	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticotis	15	4	2	0	0	-	-27.5112	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticomis	10	4	1	0	0	-	-27.5111	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticori	80	15	18	0	0	-	-27.5113	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticorhis	15	5	2	0	0	-	-27.5112	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	18	5	3	0	0	-	-27.5109	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	18	5	3	0	0	-	-27.5109	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	18	5	4	0	0	-	-27.5111	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	5	2	0	0	-	-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	5	2	0	0	-	-27.5111	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	6	1.5	0	0	-	-27.5111	153.203

Species	DBH (cm)	Height (m)	Spread (m)	Habitat Features	Health Score	Notes	Latitude	Longitude
Eucalyptus tereticornis	18	5	3	0	0	-	-27.5109	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	5	2	0	0	-	-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	6	3	0	0	-	-27.5111	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	8	2	0	0	-	-27.5111	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	6	2	0	0	-	-27,5111	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	6	2	0	0	-	-27.51/1	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	5	2	0	0	-	27511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	6	3	0	0	- (	-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	5	2	0	0	- 6	-27.811	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	6	3	0	0	- \> ((	27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	5	3	0	0		-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	4	1	0	0	$\sim$	-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	5	2	0	0		-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	4	2	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}^{\sim}$	-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	6	4	0	2		-27.5109	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	6	5	0	$\langle \alpha \rangle L$		-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	5	4	0	0	-	-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	6	5	0		-	-27.5109	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	30	7	5	0		-	-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	18	6	3	0	0	-	-27.5113	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	7	3	0 (	N S	-	-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	5	1	0	0	-	-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	35	8	7	(M)	0	-	-27.5112	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	25	6	4	40	0	-	-27.5111	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	5	3		0	-	-27.5113	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	7	2	$(0)^{\gamma}$	0	-	-27.5113	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	60	18	16		0	-	-27.5087	153.201
Eucalyptus tereticornis	80	18	14	6	0	-	-27.5087	153.201
Eucalyptus tereticornis	50	18	12	> 0	0	-	-27.509	153.201
Eucalyptus tereticornis	25	12	de	<b>&gt;</b> 0	0	-	-27.5086	153.201
Eucalyptus tereticornis	25	9		0	0	-	-27.5088	153.2
Eucalyptus tereticornis	25	90	( <b>0</b> )	0	0	-	-27.5072	153.2
Eucalyptus tereticornis	30	18		0	0	-	-27.5078	153.2
Eucalyptus tereticornis	90	18	16	0	0	-	-27.5094	153.201
Eucalyptus tereticornis	90	120	18	0	0	-	-27.5094	153.201
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20 <	N2	3	0	0		-27.5089	153.2
Eucalyptus tereticornis	45	18	16	0	0	-	-27.5093	153.201
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	6	2	0	0	-	-27.5111	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	30	6	5	0	0	-	-27.5111	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	\$ 20	6	5	0	0	-	-27.5111	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	MQ~	5	2	0	0	-	-27.5111	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	5	2	0	0	-	-27.511	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	6	3	0	0	-	-27.511	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	25	6	4	0	0	-	-27.5111	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	> 15	6	3	0	0	-	-27.5111	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	6	4	0	0	-	-27.511	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticoonis	29	7	3	0	0	-	-27.5109	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticomis	10	5	2	0	0	-	-27.511	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticor	10	6	3	0	0	-	-27.511	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	5	4	0	0	-	-27.5109	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	6	4	0	0	-	-27.5109	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	7	5	0	0	-	-27.5109	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	7	6	0	0	-	-27.5109	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	90	18	29	0	0	-	-27.5109	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	129	18	26	0	0	-	-27.5108	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	6	5	0	0	-	-27.5109	153.203

Species	DBH (cm)	Height (m)	Spread (m)	Habitat Features	Health Score	Notes	Latitude	Longitude
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	8	2	0	0	-	-27.5109	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	25	7	6	0	0	-	-27.5107	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	7	5	0	0	-	-27.5107	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	18	6	4	0	0	-	-27.5108	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	6	4	0	0	-	-27,5108	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	18	7	5	0	0	-	-21.5107	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	3	6	0	0	-	27.5107	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	6	4	0	0	- (	-27.5107	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	18	4	7	0	0	- 6	-27-2107	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	10	3	0	0	_ \ ((	-47.5104	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	22	6	4	0	0		27.5107	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	8	5	0	0	$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$	-27.5105	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	10	6	2	0	0		-27.5105	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	40	15	15	0	0 ((	$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$	-27.5106	153.203
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	7	4	0	8	$\mathcal{O}_{-}$	-27.5101	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	30	7	19	0	(a) L	<u> </u>	-27.5102	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	8	5	2	0	0	-	-27.5101	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	18	7	4	0	0	-	-27.5102	153.204
Eucalyptus tereticornis	50	20	15	0		-	-27.5095	153.2
Eucalyptus tereticornis	65	20	16	0	0	-	-27.5095	153.2
Eucalyptus tereticornis	120	18	18	0	8	-	-27.5093	153.2
Eucalyptus tereticornis	15	10	3	0,		-	-27.5093	153.2
Eucalyptus tereticornis	200	12	10	N	0	-	-27.5102	153.202
Eucalyptus tereticornis	25	9	4	20	> 0	_	-27.5102	153.203
Eucalvptus tereticornis	10	4	2		0	_	-27.5096	153.2
Eucalyptus tereticornis	20	8	6	V (6)7	0	-	-27.5103	153.202
Eucalyptus tereticornis	90	18	24		0	_	-27.5105	153.202
Lophostemon suaveolens	20	12	3	0	0	_	-27.509	153.201
Lophostemon suaveolens	10	10	2	> ₀	0	-	-27.5088	153.201
Lophostemon suaveolens	25	6	24	> 0	0	_	-27.511	153.203
Lophostemon suaveolens	10	10		0	0	_	-27.509	153.201
Lophostemon suaveolens	15	80	(3)	0	0	_	-27.5085	153.201
Lophostemon suaveolens	20	8		0	0	_	-27.5078	153.201
Lophostemon suaveolens	40	12	8	0	0	_	-27.5087	153.201
Lophostemon suaveolens	20	10	5	0	0	_	-27.5087	153.201
Lophostemon suaveolens	20		4	0	0	_	-27.5084	153.2
Lophostemon suaveolens	15	a de la companya de l	3	0	0	_	-27.5087	153.2
Lophostemon suaveolens	20	9	5	0	0	_	-27.5078	153.2
Lophostemon suaveolens	20	7	2	0	0	_	-27.5078	153.2
Lophostemon suaveolens		) g	4	0	0		-27 5106	153 201
Lophostemon suaveolens	220	10	4	0	0		-27 5104	153 202
Lophostemon suaveolens	25	7	4	0	0	<u> </u>	-27,5099	153,201
Lophostemon suaveolens	15	6	3	0	0		-27 5106	153 201
Lophostemon suaveolens		19	3	0	0		-27 5115	153 203
Lophostemon suaveolens	20	6	2	0	0		-27 5104	153 204
Lophostemon suaveolens	15	8	2	0	0		-27 5104	153 202
Lophostemon suaveniens	30	12	5	0	0	_	-27.51	153 202
Lophostemon surveolege	15	8	3	0	0	-	-27 5101	153 205
Melaleuca quinquenervia	20	6	4	0	0	_	-27 5106	153 201
Melaleuca quinquerenvia	20	4		0	0	_	-27 5102	153 204
Melaleuca quinqueneria	10	ד A	2	0	0	_	-27 5102	153 204
	25	6	5	0	0	-	-27 5105	153 202
Melaleuca quinquenervia	25	8	J	0	0		_27.5103	153 202
molalouou quiliquelleivia	20	0	-	0	0	-	21.0114	100.200

# Matters of National Environmental Significance Significant Impact Assessment

**Birkdale Community Precinct** 





ENVIRONMENTAL

22 March 2023

Contact Information	Document Information	
Raptor Environmental	Prepared for	Redlands City Council c/- Jacobs
ABN 83 889 622 798	Project Name	Birkdale Community Precinct - Significant Impact Assessment
Level 3/315 Brunswick Street, Fortitude Valley, 4006 Qld Australia	Job Reference	2002_023
www.raptorenvironmental.com.au Phone +61 424 673 114	Version	4
Mary Timms	Effective Date	-22/3/23
Director and Principal Ecologist		
Reviewer		$\rightarrow$
David Francis		>
Director Francisii Ecology	$\circ$	

Version	Effective Date	Description of Revision	Prepared by	Reviewed by
1	07/10/2022	Draft for comment	M. Timms	D. Francis
2	28/11/2022	Amended with updated Development Footprint	M. Timms	D. Francis
3	01/12/2022	Amended as per comments	M. Timms	D. Francis
4	20/03/2023	Amended as per comments	M. Timms	D. Francis

Disclaimer: Copyright in the whole and every part of this document belongs to Raptor Environmental and may not be used, sold, transferred, copied or reproduced in whole or in part in any manner or form or in or on any media to any person other than by agreement with Raptor Environmental.

This document is produced by Raptor Environmental solely for the benefit and use by the client in accordance with the terms of the engagement. Raptor Environmental does not and shall not assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party and the out of any use or reliance by any third party on the content of this document.

Our report is based appinformation made available by the client. The validity and comprehensiveness of supplied information has not been independently verified and, for the purposes of this report, it is assumed that the information provided to Raptor Environmental is both complete and accurate. Whilst, to the best of our knowledge, the information contained in this report is accurate at the date of issue, changes may occur to the site conditions, the site context or the applicable planning framework this report should not be used after any such changes without consulting the provider of the report or a suitably qualified to solutions.

ptor

ENVIRONMENTAL

# **Table of Contents**

1		Endangered Species and Ecological Communities
	1.1 Capita	Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian al Territory ( <i>Phascolarctos cinereus</i> )
	1.2	Greater glider - southern and central (Petauroides volans)
	1.3 Coast	Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North and South East Queensland Bioregion
	1.4 Quee	Coastal Swamp Oak ( <i>Casuarina glauca</i> ) Forest of New South Wales and South East nsland ecological community
2		Vulnerable Species
	2.1	South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi)
	2.2	White-throated needletail (Hirundapus caudacutus)
	2.3	Grey-headed flying-fox (Pteropus poliocephalus)
3		Migratory Species
	3.1	Rufous fantail (Rhipidura rufifrons)
	3.2	Satin flycatcher ( <i>Myiagra cyanoleuca</i> )
4		References

 $\mathcal{P}$ a

ENVIRONMENTAL

iii

1

# **1** Endangered Species and Ecological Communities 1.1 Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital (Phascolarctos cinereus) Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Listing Status: Endangered Nature Conservation Act 1992 Listing Status: Endangered Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus) Significant Impact Criteria Impact Assessment Possible. The Significant Impact Guidelines specify that ("a population of a species' is defined under the EPBC Act as an occurrence of the species in a particular area. In relation to critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable threatened species, ocourrendes pclude but are not limited to: a geographically distinct regional population, or collection of local populations, or a population, or collection of local populations, that occurs within a particular bioregion." Lead to a long-term For the purposes of the Koala the aspect of the definition that relates to "geographically distinct" decrease in the size of a populations does not apply because of the relative continuity of the species across the range in which it is population listed. Given this determining what is a population must rely on the bioregion. Within the southeast Queensland boregion there are several genetically distinct local populations (Kjeldsen et al. 2019, Lee et al. 2009). The Rioject Area falls within the Koala Coast local population of the southeast Queensland bioregional population. There are no publicly available contemporary estimates of the size of the Koala coast population. As of 2010, the estimate of the Koala population in the Koala Coast was 1991 $\pm$ 488 whimals (Department of Environment and Resource Management, 2012). Despite protection measures in Queensland, an independent review published in 2015 determined that the decline in peri-urban Koala populations in the Koala Coast and Pine Rivers areas of South East Queensland showed no evidence of

ENVIRONMENTAL

Koala - combined populations	of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
	slowing, and rates of decline may even be increasing (Rhodes et al. 2015). As such, the size of the Koala Coast population is assumed to be lower than the estimate of 1991 animals previded in 2010.
	The Conservation Advice for Koala states that the highest population estimate for the southeast Queensland Bioregion Koala population is 15,821 animals (produced in 2012). Assuming a consistent rate of exponential decline, the population in the southeast Queensland bioregion is estimated to consist of 11,477 individuals (Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, 2022).
	For the purposes of the Project Area, multiple studies have been undertaken of koalas to inform the extent of the Project's Development Footprint. Specifically, prior studies of the koalas within the Project Area found: "With at least 10 koalas using the area in and around the Birkdale Precinct in 2021, and at least 21 koalas detected over the past four years, it is clear that Birkdale Precinct is an important habitat. With the level of connection, it also is an important corridor for koalas of the Redland Coast. High presence of koalas in the Precinct's also a sign of good habitat quality." and "With a total area of 62 ha, the density of koalas in this area is approx. 0.1 koala per ha" (University of the Sunshine Coast, 2021) and "A notional koala canving capacity for the site was calculated with reference to koala habitat type classifications. Mapped remnant vegetation on the site is currently estimated as likely ≤ 6 koalas, however it mapped regrowth vegetation reaches remnant status the total number that the study area could be enhanced by increasing the density of Preferred Koala Food Trees (PKFTs) within existing habitat: This report recommends that a program of PKFT planting focus on areas in which overall netive tree density is low, including areas that are currently dominated by introduced species such as Pinus elliottii and areas that have widely spaced, typically large PKFTs but otherwise exhibit current lack of recruitment." (Biolink, 2021).
	ironmental 2

Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)			
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment		CO
	The USC (2021) assessment in density of Koalas was calculated Koalas detected during the surv	cluded drone surveys, detection dog su d in this assessment based on the area reys.	a of land (i.e. 62 ha) by the number of
	The Biolink (2021) assessment classification hierarchy applied to determine Koala activity using the assessment determined the car Ecosystem and High-value Reg criteria.	included mapping of koala habitat base to mapped Regional Ecosystems and s he Spot Analysis Technique (Phillips et rying capacity of the Project Area by co rowth spatial layers using the abovement	ation a four-tiered koala habitat supported by field surveys to al. 2011). Subsequently, the Biolink ading the habitat within the Regional entioned classification hierarchy
	The listing advice for the Koala report by the Australian National assessment criteria and method EPBC Act is concerned. Below Project Area based on the Austr methodology described in the E habitat categories are shown in	(Department of Agriculture, Water and I University (Youngentee et al., 2021) t Is and discussed key characteristics of is a summary of the characterisation o ralian National University Report (Youn pological Assessment Report (Raptor E Figure 1.	the Environment, 2022) includes a hat reviews koala habitat koala habitat relevant insofar as the f koala habitat types within the gentob et al., 2021) and as per the Environmental, October 2022). Koala
	Habitat Category	Based on ANU Report (Y	oungentob et al., 2021)
		Locally important koala tree (LIKT)	Ancillary koala habitat tree
		community	scattered in the vegetation community
		LIKT scattered	Ancillary koala habitat trees dominate the vegetation community
<		Areas cleared, do not support LIKT or and ancillary	cillary trees <u>OR</u> support isolated LIKT or v trees
A Contraction	The table below includes a com post construction areas.	parison of Koala habitat types estimate	ed based on pre-construction and
RIDE	in the state		3

Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)					
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment			CU .	
	Habitat Category	Pre-construction (ha)	Post-construction (ha)	Reduction of habitat type (ha)	
	А	34.43	32.4	~1.8	
	В	4.8		~2.9	
	С	22.8	4.9	~18.5	
	<ul> <li>Based on the assessments undertaken by the USC and Biolins, it is estimated that the Project Area supports an average of 6 to 10 koalas at any one time (athough 21 genetically distinct Koala have beer identified in the area). Koala assessments or way of Drone (USC, 2021), detection dog (USC, 2021), (Bioloink, 2021), KRAM (Cardno, 2021) or incidental/active searches (Cardno 2021, Raptor Environme 2023) did not identify koalas or koala activity within areas mapped as Category C (defined above). Wh 0.1 koala/ha has been applied to the entire site by USC (2021), it is likely the density within Category C significantly less given that past survey entor has failed to detect the species in this area. However, it is probable that Koalas would utilise Category C areas for dispersal opportunities.</li> <li>USC (2021) estimated the density of koalas to 0.1/ha based on the total number detected divided by the total area of land (e. 6 koalas) 62ha = 0.1). Applying this density to the total clearing footprint of Categories A and smeans that the proposed development will impact the habitat of ~0.5 of a koala. If modest allowance is hade for impacts on Category C and the overall estimate is adjusted upward ther ~0.9 of a koala will be directly impacted by the proposal.</li> <li>Potential indirect impacts as a result of the Project include a reduction in the suitability of the surroundi koala habitat due to an increase in lighting and noise potential to limit movement opportunities during t construction phase, increased risk of injury and mortality, increase in weed invasion and/or spread and potential and increased intensity. Indirect impacts are unlikely to lead to a long-term decrease in the si a population. The <i>Conservation Advice for Phascolarctos cinereus (Koala) combined populations of</i></li> </ul>				
RIGHZ	antor		4		
ENV	/ I R O N M E N T A L				

Koala - combined populations	of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
	the Environment, 2022) states there are an estimated 11,477 individuals in southeast Queersland as of 2021. Taking into account direct impacts of the project (and assuming that, 21 Koalas would be impacted by the proposal), at most, 0.2% of the population will be impacted. Consequently, the Project may contribute to an overall long-term decrease in the population.
	Unlikely.
	The area of occupancy for koala is estimated at 19,428 km ² (DAVE, 2022) and is calculated using a 2x2 km grid cell method based on the IUCSN Red List Guidelines 2014 (IUCN, 2014). The Development Footprint is located within 1 grid square, with direct impacts limited to the existing highly modified central portion of the Project Area (i.e. direct impact to koala habitat, 2.3 ha of Category A, 4 ha of Category B and 18.7 ha of Category C koala habitat types).
Reduce the area of occupancy of the species	It is possible that the Development Footprint will result in a reduction in habitat; however, further consideration of on-ground offsets is required in the design to determine if there will be a reduction in the area of occupancy of the Koala.
	The Project provides opportunities to improve the condition of the remaining Category B areas and restore some portions of Category C areas with the long-term aim to enhance these to Category A condition. Increased monitoring of the Project Area will assist in improving the health of the Birkdale population by facilitating veterinary care of individuals reported with chlamydia as part of disease management programs (e.g. as discussed in USC 2021). Consequently, an overall reduction in the area of occupancy of the Koala is not anticipated.
Fragment an existing population into two or more populations	Unlikely. Within the context of the southeast Queensland bioregion population, or even the Koala Coast local population, the Project will not fragment an existing population. Further within the context of the Project Area, the Development Footprint incorporates strategic measures to retain Koala habitat patches. The Project design also incorporates mitigation measures between these areas (i.e. reduced speed zones,
	panter 5

Koala - combined populations	of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
	including a 30/km per hr speed limit for the ring road, and restrictions/limitations to night traffic), where possible.
	The Project is unlikely to fragment an existing population considering the southern portion of the Site adjoining the Tingalpa Creek corridor will be retained and enhanced by the Project. Additionally, dispersal opportunities will be maintained within the Development Footprint within the operational phase of the Project.
	Unlikely.
	Habitat critical to the survival of a species is the area that the species relies on to halt decline and promote the recovery of the species. The Significant Impact Guidelines define critical habitat as:
	"Habitat critical to the survival of a species or ecological community' refers to areas that are necessary:
Adversely affect habitat critical to the survival of a	<ul> <li>for activities such as foraging, preeding, roosting, or dispersal</li> <li>for the long-term maintenance of the species or ecological community (including the maintenance of species essential to the survival of the species or ecological community, such as pollinators)</li> <li>to maintain genetic diversity and long term evolutionary development, or</li> <li>for the reinfroduction of populations or recovery of the species or ecological community.</li> </ul>
	Such habitat may be, but is not limited to: habitat identified in a recovery plan for the species or ecological community as nabital critical for that species or ecological community; and/or habitat listed on the Register of Critical Habitat maintained by the minister under the EPBC Act."
<	The Recovery Plan for the Koala poses seven key questions to consider in the evaluation of Koala habitat (Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, 2022). These are:
	<ul> <li>whether the habitat is used during periods of stress (examples flood, drought or fire)</li> <li>A total of 70% of Koalas in the Birkdale Precinct are likely infected with chlamydia (USC, 2021). It is well known that stress (including habitat fragmentation) increases susceptibility to</li> </ul>
	apter 6
ENV ENV	Í I R O N M E N T A L

Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (*Phascolarctos cinereus*)

Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
	<ul> <li>infection by pathogens and reduces tolerance of infection; and the fir infection within the Birkdale population may indicate the prevalence of Further, the Development Footprint is adjacent to a flood hazard area Tingalpa Creek and is predominately mapped as a potential bushfire medium potential bushfire intensity area adjoining medium and high the (SPP, 2022). The Development Footprint is likely to be velised as a result of flood and bushfire events. Dispetsal opportunitie Development Footprint will be maintained in the operational phase.</li> <li>It is possible that additional stress associated with the construction pl development could increase susception to infection. Increased more within the Project Area will assist in improving the health of the Birkda facilitating veterinary care of individuals reported with chlamydia as p management programs (e.g. as discussed in USC, 2021).</li> <li>whether the habitat is used to meet essential life cycle requirement breeding, social behaviour, (lispersal)</li> <li>Based on the assessments by the USC and Biolink it is estimated the supports between 6 and 10 koalas and as such habitat within the Progessential life cycle requirements for Koalas. Based on the above review within the Development Footprint, impacts on Koala habitat estimate % of the koala population) will be impacted by the proposal.</li> <li>the extent to which the habitat is used by important populations important populations of Koala have not yet been defined (Departme and the Environment, 2022). Despite this, it is likely that the southea population will be considered an important population and it is one of the Queensland. The Project Area is known to have supports an estim southeast Queensland bioregional population, and 1.1% of the Koala relevant to note that the Project Area likely supports a larger percent.</li> </ul>	in rate of chlamydia (e)ternal stressors. associated with hazard buffer and pushfire intensity areas efuge during periods of s through the hase of the litoring of the Koalas ale population by art of disease <b>s (e.g. foraging,</b> It the Project Area ject Area meets ew of Koala Habitat 0.9 of a koala (i.e. 0.2 ht of Agriculture, Water st Queensland he three largest in ual Koalas over a four- nated 0.2% of the Coast population. It is age of the Koala Coast
	pter 7	

Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
	<ul> <li>population and that the figure stated in this report refers to a population in 2010 in the absence of contemporary data for the population.</li> <li>whether the habitat is necessary to maintain genetic diversity development</li> <li>Ecological corridors within the Project Area will be retained and such genetic diversity and long-term evolutionary development of conducted by USC states that, "the connectivity to porridors and the Precinct a valuable link for koala movement and dispersal and Therefore, this area and its habitat should be conserved and programmer in the propriate, to increase carrying capacity." A considered important in terms of promoting gene flow and mainter the propriet of the provide the p</li></ul>	enhanced by the Project as will be maintained. The study other habitat patches makes of therefore gene flow. Intected for koalas, and As such, the habitat is aining genetic diversity.
	<ul> <li>e) whether the habitat is necessary for use as corridors to allow the between sites used to meet essential life cycle requirements</li> <li>The Development Footptint portion of the Project Area contains Category A and B koara habitat (i.e. a patchy corridor that enable intact habitat patrnes to the north and south); however, the Ting west provides a more valuable source of habitat and connectivity habitat within the Development Footprint provides ground that all opportunities between habitats. The study conducted by USC for Birkoale Precinct is used as a movement corridor, "with at least and around the Birkdale Precinct in 2021, and at least 21 koalast years. It is clear that Birkdale Precinct is an important habitat. We also is an important corridor for koalas of the Redland Coast." As is considered an important necessary habitat corridor for Koala.</li> <li>whether the habitat is necessary to ensure the long-term future ecological community through reintroduction or re-colonisation.</li> <li>The distribution of Koala populations is broad and the Koala hab Footprint is not considered necessary to ensure the long-term future.</li> </ul>	he species to move freely Category C and some es Koala to transit from alpa Creek corridor to the y for Koala. As such, the lows for Koala movement und strong evidence that the 10 koalas using the area in a detected over the past four it the level of connection, it s such, the Birkdale Precinct e of the species or m bitat within the Development ture of Koala populations.
	antor VIRONMENTAL	8

Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)	
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
	<ul> <li>Including the Koala Coast population. However, these areas may be necessary for ensuring the long-term future of the localised Birkdale Koala sub-population.</li> <li>any other way in which habitat may be critical to the survival of a listed threatened species or a listed threatened ecological community (EPBC Act).</li> </ul>
	The Project protects Koala habitat including Category A and patches of Category B which are known to support Koalas. Whilst the Development Footprint directly impacts Category C habitat and patches of Category B habitat, the Project includes enhancement of retained habitat, and retention of patches of Category B habitat within the design. As such, the Project is unlikely to adversely affect habitat critical to the survival of a species.
	Unlikely.
Disrupt the breeding cycle of a population	Koalas may not breed every year if conditions are not suitable and breeding may be unsuccessful due to poor health (e.g. Chlamydia) (Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, 2022). Additionally, Koala movement is known to increase during breeding season (usually September to February). The construction phase of the Project will be staged over several years. As such, construction activities cannot reasonably be undertaken outside on the Koala breeding season or all events that would provide stress to Koala (i.e. droughts, flooding) in the It is possible that noise associated with construction and operational activities could obscure Koala vocalisations (i.e. bellows) which are closely associated with their breeding behaviour (Jiang et al., 2022). As such, the Project will not conduct construction works between the hours of 6pm and 6apt. With improved koala health monitoring and management and construction and operational operational phase management plans incorporating light and noise management protocols the Project is unlikely to disrupt the breeding cycle of Koalas.
Modify, destroy, remove, isolate or decrease the availability or quality of	Unikely The Project will reduce the availability of Koala habitat to the extent that the Project will result in a direct impact to 0.9 of a Koala as detailed above. The Koala habitat within the broader Project Area will be protected and enhanced through restoration and the design will include Koala movement infrastructure,
	yter 9

Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
habitat to the extent that the species is likely to decline	reduced speed zones, limitations to night traffic (where possible). As such, Koala movement will be maintained within the Development Footprint in the operational phase. Improved Koala health monitoring and management programs will assist and support the long-term growth of the Koala Coast population. Therefore, impacts to koala habitat as a result of the Project are unlikely to result in the decline of the species.	
	Unlikely.	
Result in invasive species that are harmful to a critically endangered or endangered species becoming established in the endangered or critically endangered species' habitat	Invasive flora species that currently occur within the Project Area are not a primary threat to Koalas according to their profile on the Species Profile and Threats Database; however, weeds that inhibit Koala movement (such as <i>Lantana camara</i> ) may reduce frabitat quality (Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, 2022). The introduction of domestic dogs associated with the development component of the Project also poses a potential threat to Koala. It is understood that the existing Conservation Agreement between the Commonwealth and Council includes ongoing weed management of the Conservation Area (Department of the Environment and Energy 2019). As such Cotheil's word-management program for the Project Area has commenced as	
	evidenced during the field assessment. On-going invasive species management actions will be included in the Operational management plan for the Project. The Project is unlikely to result in invasive species becoming established in Koala habitat.	
Introduce disease that may cause the species to decline	Unlikely. Koalas may be impacted by two known diseases and pathogens: Chlamydia and myrtle rust (which impacts their favoured genus of habitat and fodder tree). Chlamydia is a bacterial infection that affects almost all Koalas in South east Queensland, including the Project Area (USC, 2021). Infertility from Chlamydia is a contributing factor to the current decline in Koala numbers. The Project is unlikely to introduce additional chlamydia to the local population (given that it is already known to occur within 70% of the resident population [USC, 2021]). A key action highlighted in the Redlands Coast Koala Conservation Action Plan is	
	10 IRONMENTAL	

Page 172 of 214

Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)	
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
	Koala health including proactively manage disease (e.g. Chlamydia) in locations where koala populations have identified high rate of incidents (through a capture and treatment program) (Regiand City Council, 2021). Examples of successful Koala health monitoring and management programs in South East Queensland include The Mill koala monitoring and vaccination programs. The Project will improve koala health monitoring and management and such the health of the Kealas within the Project Area is likely to improve long-term as a result of the Project.
	Myrtle rust also has the potential to decrease Koala habitat quality through the infection of Myrtaceae trees, and increased vehicular and pedestrian movement during the construction and operational phases of the Project. The Construction and Operational Management Plans are to include protocols for the minimisation and management of diseases and pathogens.
	The Project is unlikely to introduce disease that may sayse Koalas to decline and will support Koala health and population growth through improved monitoring and management of Chlamydia.
	Unlikely.
	The National Recovery Plan for the Koala contains several strategies and priority actions to facilitate the recovery of the species. The action relates to the following supporting and on-ground strategies:
	• <u>Supporting strategies</u>
Interfere with the recovery of	<ul> <li>Strategy 1 = ■ uild and share knowledge.</li> </ul>
the species.	The Roject supports building and sharing knowledge through improved Koala health monitoring. Monitoring reporting will build on the existing knowledge of Koala populations, health and responses to health management.
	Strategy 2: Engage and partner with the community in listed Koala conservation.
	Council has engaged and partnered with the community in Koala conservation by completing an extensive community consultation process for the Project with a focus on conservation outcomes for the Project Area. Council also undertakes extensive community engagement
KINK	apter 11
EN V	Y I R O N M E N T A L

Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
	under the <i>Redlands Coast Koala Conservation Plan</i> 2022 - 2027 Koala Watch Program to assist in early-stage disease intervention	⁷ and the community-led
	$\circ$ Strategy 3: Increase the area of protected habitat for the list	ed Moala.
	The Project includes the protection and enhancement of the Corkoala habitat). The Project proposes the restoration of Category potential to increase protected habitat for Koala (to be confirmed design).	Iservation Area (containing B and C habitat and has the I as part of the detailed
	<ul> <li>Strategy 4: Integrate listed Koala conservation into policy, s plans.</li> </ul>	tatutory and land use
	Redland City Council has adopted the Redlands Coast Koala Co and Redlands Coast Koala Conservation Action Plan 2022-2027 obligations, future-proofing, performance measures in the short, Action plan objectives (Redland City Council, 2021) (Redland Ci	onservation Plan 2022-2027 ' which outlines statutory medium and long-term and ty Council, 2021b).
	On-ground strategies:	
	<ul> <li>Strategy 5: Strategically restore listed Koala habitat.</li> </ul>	
	Recland City Council details the management action of protectin habitat. The actions include habitat evaluation, land acquisitions more koala habitat on Council land, improving koala movement Recland City Council, 2021b). Additionally, Council details long including "consistent and demonstrable evidence of net gain of h support increased koala density and abundance across the city 2021)." The Project will include the restoration of areas of Catego	ig and improving koala , healthy Council bushland, and safeguard koala habitat -term desired outcomes <i>nigh-quality habitat to</i> (Redlands City Council, ory B and C habitat.
RIUR	aptor	12
ENV	VIRONMENTAL	

Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)	
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
	<ul> <li>Strategy 6: Actively manage listed Koala metapopulations¹</li> </ul>
	Redland City Council's current actions include advancing management practice for koala conservation outcomes including:
	<ul> <li>"Provide policy advice to ensure koala habitat is provide on land conservation and management initiatives.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Provide policy advice to guide the consideration of koala habitat with regard to statutory planning strategies and applications</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Continue to develop a better understanding of koala population requirements to advance management responses</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Facilitate increased health and disease management outcomes for koalas.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Recognise, motivate and commemorate koala conservation efforts.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Develop and maintain productive, integrated partnerships to influence and achieve greater folding for koala conservation outcomes.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Maintain information network with community, universities, wildlife carers, environmental groups and other stakeholder to guide and assist with koala conservation outcomes" (Redland City Council, 2021).</li> </ul>
•	Redland City Council is actively managing the Koala Coast population, through the implementation of the <i>Redlands</i> Coast Cooservation Plan and Action Plan 2022-2027. The Project will incorporate improved health monitoring and management and as a result. The project is unlikely to interfere with the recovery of the species.
¹ Metapopulation is defined as "The set of bio important for maintaining abundance and dist	gical populations within a larger area, where movement or gene flow from one biological population to at least some other patches is possible and is ribution at regional scale, even if such movement is infrequent." (Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, 2022).

RUD Ranter ENVIRONMENTAL

Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)

#### Significant Impact Criteria Impact Assessment

Conclusion: The assessment against the significant impact criteria indicated that that the Project is unlikely to have a significant impact on Koala as the Project has been assessed as follows:

- An overall long-term decrease in the population is not anticipated as the Project will impact 0.2 % of the estimated southeast Queensland Koala population.
- An overall reduction in the area of occupancy of the Koala is not anticipated as the Project provides opportunities to improve the condition of remaining Category B areas and restore some portions of Category C areas with the long-term aim to enhance these to Category A condition. The Project will also adopt avoidance measures to minimize dearing to the furthest extent practicable.
- The Project is unlikely to fragment an existing population considering that the southern portion of the Site adjoining the Tingalpa Creek corridor will be retained and enhanced by the Project, and connectivity will be maintained across the Development Footprint in the operational phase.
- The Project is unlikely to adversely affect habitat critical to the survival of a species as the Project includes retention of patches of Category B habitat within the design and the Category A habitat within the Conservation Area.
- With improved koala health monitoring and management and construction and operational phase management plans incorporating light and noise management protocols the Project is unlikely to disrupt the breeding cycle of Koalas.
- The Koala habitat within the broader Project Area will be protected and enhanced through restoration and the design will include Koala movement infrastructure, reduced speed zones (limitations to night traffic (where possible). Impacts on koala habitat as a result of the Project are unlikely to result in the decline of the species.
- The Project Area is subject to an existing Weed Management Plan and continued invasive species management will be included in the Operational management plan for the Project. The Project is unlikely to result in invasive species becoming established in Koala habitat.
- The Project is unlikely to introduce disease that may cause Koalas to decline and will support Koala health through improved monitoring and management of Chlamydia
- The Project is unlikely to interfere with the recovery of the species as Redland City Council is actively managing the Koala Coast population, through the implementation of the *Redlands Coast Conservation Plan and Action Plan 2022-2027.*



Koala - combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory (Phascolarctos cinereus)

Significant Impact Criteria Impact Assessment

ENVIRONMENTAL

Redland City Council are finalising the negotiation of a Conservation Agreement with the Commonwealth which includes a request for a declaration that actions do not require approval under Part 9 of the EPBC Act. As such, Redland City Council propose to meet their Commonwealth obligations for the Project through the Conservation Agreement.



Figure 1. Koala habitat characterisation.

# 1.2 Greater glider - southern and central (Petauroides volans)

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Listing Status: Endangered

Nature Conservation Act 1992 Listing Status: Endangered (listed as Petauroides volans)

Greater glider - southern and central ( <i>Petauroides volans</i> )	
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
Lead to a long-term decrease in the size of a population	<ul> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>The Project Area and surrounds are not known to contain a Greater glider population (ALA, 2023). The nearest records of a live specimen are located within Greater Glider Reserve, approximately 3km to the south-east of the Project Area. Although the species has not been recorded proximate to the Project Area for some time, the Conservation Area contains natural attributes suitable for use by the species (but not necessarily all attributes), such as live and dead hollow bearing trees for denning, feed trees, large trees and habitat connectivity across the landscape tas defined by the Guide to Greater Glider Habitat in Queensland) (Eyre <i>et al.</i>, 2022). However, the Development Footprint itself lacks areas of contiguous canopy cover that would limit the capability of the Greater glider to move through the area. As such, the Project is considered unlikely to further lead to a long-term decrease in the size of a Greater glider population.</li> <li>Given that the Project Area is not within an area that supports a known population, (and that the species has not been recorded within) the Project Area); the Project is not considered likely to lead to a long-term decrease in the size of a an important population.</li> </ul>
Reduce the area of occupancy of the species	Unlikely. The area of occupancy of the Greater glider is calculated using a 2x2 km grid cell method based on the WCN Red List Guidelines 2019 (IUCN, 2019). Using these guidelines, the Project Area resides within 1 grid square, with potential minor indirect impacts to retained vegetation anticipated. The Development Footprint lacks areas of contiguous canopy cover. This absence limits the capability of the Greater glider to den, move through or forage in the area. While vegetation within the Conservation
	TIRONMENTAL 17

Page 179 of 214

Greater glider - southern and central ( <i>Petauroides volans</i> )		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
	Area provides habitat that the species may occupy, the Project avoids all areas of potential Greater glider habitat within the Conservation Area. As such, the Project is considered unlikely to cause a reduction in the area of occupancy for the species.	
Fragment an existing population into two or more populations	<ul> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>The Project Area has undergone extensive ecological survey (including targeted surveys for Greater glider in 2022), does not support a known population of Greater glider (Cardno, 2020 and Raptor Environmental, 2023). Additionally, the balance of the Project Area has previously been subject to a high degree of modification (such that the Development Footprint would already be considered 'fragmented' in terms of its usability by Greater glider). The Conservation Area is connected to a regional biodiversity corridor which will be protected and enhanced as part of the Project (Department of Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning, 2017).</li> <li>Given the existing level of fragmentation and the lack of recent records for Greater glider within and surrounding the Project Area; the Project is not considered likely to further fragment an existing population into two or more populations.</li> </ul>	
Adversely affect habitat critical to the survival of a species	<ul> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>The Conservation value (DAWE, 2022) defines 'habitat critical to the survival of the Greater glider' as containing the characteristics described below including:</li> <li>a. Large contiguous areas of eucalypt forest, which contain mature hollow-bearing trees and a diverse range of the species' preferred food species in a particular region; and</li> <li>The Conservation Area forms part of a habitat area characterised by a eucalypt forest that contains mature hollow-bearing trees. The Development Footprint lacks a contiguous canopy and is unlikely to support Greater glider habitat.</li> <li>b. smaller or fragmented habitat patches connected to larger patches of habitat, that can facilitate dispersal of the species and/or that enable recolonization; and</li> </ul>	
River 18		
Greater glider - southern and central ( <i>Petauroides volans</i> )		
---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
	<ul> <li>The Conservation Area is connected to larger areas of habitat associated with the Lower Tingalpa and Coolnwynpin Creek Catchment. The Conservation Area is connected to a regional biodiversity corridor which will be protected and enhanced as part of the Project (Department of Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning, 2017). The habitat within the Conservation Area supports the dispersal of Greater glifes. The Development Footprint lacks a contiguous canopy and is unlikely to support Greater glifer. The Development Footprint lacks a contiguous canopy and is unlikely to support Greater glifer habitat.</li> <li>cool microclimate forest/woodland areas (e.g. protected gulles, sheltered high elevation areas, coastal lowland areas, southern slopes); and         <ul> <li>The Conservation Area adjoins Tingalpa Greet and a tidal waterway is located within the southern extent of the Project Area. The thal waterway within the Conservation Area supports a protected marine habitat characterised by ponded areas.</li> <li>areas identified as refuges under there changes scenarios; and             <ul> <li>The tidal waterway corridor within the southern extent of the Conservation Area will be retained and enhanced and provides a climate refuge that contributes to the Project Area's resilience to drying conditions and will provide a cooler refuge during periods of bushfire and heatwaves (DCGFEW, 2022).</li> </ul> <li>short-term or long ferm post fire refuges (i.e. unburnt habitat within or adjacent to recently burnt landscapes) that allow the species to persist, recover and recolonise burnt areas.</li> <ul> <li>Refamily Council's Parks and Conservation Planned Burn Program includes hazard reduction burns within the Project Area (i.e. low intensity) (Redland City Council, 2022b).</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	
	the survival withe Greater glider.	
Disrupt the breeding cycle of a population	The Conservation Area contains potential Greater glider habitat including suitable breeding hollows that will be retained and enhanced for the Project. The Development Footprint does not support suitable Greater glider habitat due to the lack of a contiguous canopy. Potential indirect impacts include the potential for	
	nter 19	

Greater glider - southern and central ( <i>Petauroides volans</i> )		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	C
	construction activities to result in the introduction or spread of invasive. A Waste Man developed as part of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) and disposal and removal of waste during construction to minimise the risk of attracting in A Weed Management Plan will be developed as part of the CEMP and weed manage glider habitat will be managed as per Council's Invasive Species Management Plan. will control invasive plants and animals within the Conservation Area and this will inc invasive species identified within the Ecological Assessment Report (Raptor Environ Project is unlikely to disrupt the breeding cycle of Greater gliders.	Agement Plan will be will specify the wasive fauna species. ement within Greater Specifically, Council lude targeted control of mental, 2023) The
	Unlikely.	
Modify, destroy, remove, isolate or decrease the availability or quality of habitat to the extent that the species is likely to decline	The targeted field surveys did not record Greater cliders within the Project Area. How contiguous areas of habitat in the Conservation Area support potential habitat for Greater gliders have been recorded in Greater Greater Greater (ALA, 2023), approximately 31 the Project Area. The Project Area is connected to this local reserve via an Coolnwyr Conservation Area will be protected and enhanced as part of the Project and the Devidees not contain suitable habitat due to the lack of contiguous canopy cover. The Proceeds further decline of the species. Greater glider populations within the Redlands City Council Local Government Area undergone extensive decline. Multiple ecological investigations undertaken for the Project Area is has already experienced localised decline of Greater glider populations. As such, the considered likely to cause further decline of the species.	wever, the broad eater gliders. Greater km to the south-east of npin Creek. The velopment Footprint oject is unlikely to have already roject indicate that located in an area that e Project is not
Result in invasive species that are harmful to a critically endangered or endangered species becoming established in the	The Conservation Advice for the Greater glider states that two invasive species may species: the feral cat ( <i>Felis catus</i> ) and European fox ( <i>Vulpes vulpes</i> ). Greater glider found in stomach contents of both species; however Greater glider comprised a sma	pose threat to the remains have been Il amount of the
	anter 20	

Greater glider - southern and central ( <i>Petauroides volans</i> )		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
endangered or critically endangered species' habitat	contents, and it was unclear whether were consumed as live prey or as carrion (Threatened Species Scientific Committee, 2022).	
	Both the feral cat and European fox have previously been recorded within the Project Area; as such, the Project could not cause the establishment of the feral cat or European red fox within the Project Area.	
Introduce disease that may	Unlikely.	
cause the species to decline	Greater gliders are not directly impacted by any known diseases. Therefore, the Project is unlikely to introduce a disease that could cause the species to decine.	
Interfere with the recovery of the species.	<ul> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>There is not currently a published recovery plan for the species. The species' approved Conservation Advice includes a large number of priority recovery and management actions for the species (Threatened Species Scientific Committee, 2022). The recovery action categories relate to: <ol> <li>Conservation and management priorities – habitat loss, disturbance and modification; climate change; invasive species and ex-situ recovery actions.</li> <li>Stakeholder and community engagement.</li> <li>Survey and nontoring.</li> <li>Various information and research priorities.</li> </ol> </li> <li>The Project would not interfere with stakeholder or community engagement across the species' range, nor would it interfere with high-level monitoring and research priorities. However, the Project is of relevance to management priority 1 (Conservation and management priorities – habitat modification). Direct impacts to Greater glider are avoided through consolidation of the design within the highly modified Development Footprint and avoiding clearing of Greater glider habitat. Potential indirect impacts as a result of the Project on surrounding habitat within the Conservation Area are avoided and mitigated through routine controls and</li> </ul>	
	21 TIRONMENTAL	

Greater glider - southern and central ( <i>Petauroides volans</i> )		$\bigcirc$
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
	the Redland City Council Prescribed Burn Project. The Project is considered unlikery recovery of the species.	a interfere with the
Conclusion: The Project is unli	kely to have a significant impact on the Greater Glider.	J

# 1.3 Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland Bioregion

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Listing Status: Endergered

Nature Conservation Act 1992 Listing Status: Not applicable

Subtropical Eucalypt Floodpl	ain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland Bioregion
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
Reduce the extent of an ecological community	Unlikely. The Project will not result in a direct impact on the Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland Bioregion threatened ecological community (TEC). It is also relevant to note that the Conservation Area boundary has been modified to incorporate the ground-truthed mapped extent of the TEC to ensure no direct impacts occur as a result of the Project. Potential indirect impacts to the TEC include changes to the hydrological regime, (i.e. irrigation and stornwater), potential for increased edge effects (i.e. weed invasion) and altered fire regimes. Impacts associated with potential changes in hydrological regime are managed through the measures outlined in the stornwater Management Plan including bioretention basins, diversion and treatment swales and a water reuse system and expansive irrigation area. Weed invasion is currently managed through the mandatory outcomes of the Conservation Agreement (i.e. application of the Environmental Early Works and Social Management Plan (Cardeo 2021)), routing and council's invasion groups in the story outcomes of the Conservation Agreement (i.e. application of the Environmental Early Works and Social Management Plan (Cardeo 2021)), routing and council's invasion endergoing the measures outcomes of the Conservation Agreement (i.e. application of the Environmental Early Works and Social Management Plane (Cardeo 2021), routing and council's invasion endergoing the measures of the conservation Agreement (i.e. application of the Environmental Early Works and Social Management Plane (Cardeo 2021), routing and council's invasion endergoing the measures of the conservation Agreement (i.e. application of the Environmental Early Works and Social Management Plane (Cardeo 2021), routing and the story is invasion to the project invasion (i.e. application of the Environmental Early Works and Social Management Plane (Cardeo 2021), routing and the story is invasion (i.e. application of the project inv

ENVIRONMENTAL

ante

Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland Bioregion	
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
	program. Fire will be managed via Council's Parks and Conservation Planned Burn Program. The abovementioned measures will ensure the long-term maintenance of the ecological community. The extent of the indirect impacts is unlikely to reduce the extent of the TEC.
Fragment or increase fragmentation of an ecological community, for example by clearing vegetation for roads or transmission lines	Unlikely.
	The Project does not involve the removal of the Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest TEC or clearing within its extent.
Adversely affect habitat critical to the survival of an ecological community	<ul> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>The Conservation Advice states that habitat most critical to the survival of the TEC includes patches that are assessed as "best condition" (i.e. classes A and B) ( Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2022) The Ecological Assessment Report assessed the patches of TEC as A2 – Good Condition, as such the TEC within the Conservation Area is considered habitat critical to the survival of the species (Raptor Environmental, 2023)</li> <li>The Development Footprint does not directly impact the TEC. Potential indirect impacts including modified hydrology, edge effects (i.e. weed invasion) and altered fire regimes are managed through the measures indicated in the Stornwater Management Plan, the Environmental Early Works and Social Management Plan and Council's Parks and Conservation Planned Burn Program.</li> <li>The stormwater design integrates measures to avoid impacts to the TEC including the provision of bioretention basins and water-sensitive design elements in carparks to reduce the amount of stormwater function basins and water-sensitive design elements in carparks to reduce the survival of the TEC.</li> </ul>
Modify or destroy abiotic (non-living) factors (such as	Unlikely.
	anter 23

Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland Bioregion	
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
water, nutrients, or soil) necessary for an ecological community's survival, including reduction of groundwater levels, or substantial alteration of surface water drainage patterns	The proposed irrigation of the Development Footprint will marginally modify the hydrology of the areas supporting the TEC; however, the extent of the impact is likely to be minimal considering the TEC is a floodplain community.
Cause a substantial change in the species composition of an occurrence of an ecological community, including causing a decline or loss of functionally important species, for example through regular burning or flora or fauna harvesting	Unlikely. The Project will marginally modify the hydrological regime and may increase edge effects (including weed invasion) and the existing fire regime may be altered which has the potential to alter the species composition of the Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest TEC. Impacts associated with weed invasion are managed through the conditions of the Conservation Agreement, routine controls and Council's invasive species management program. Fire will be managed via Council's Parks and Conservation Planned Burn Program. Potential indirect impacts associated with a modified hydrological regime are managed through the mitigation measures outlined in the Stormwater Management Planc The Project does not propose practices such as regular burning practices or flora or fauna harvesting that could cause a substantial change in the species composition of the TEC.
Cause a substantial reduction in the quality or integrity of an occurrence of an ecological community, including, but not limited to:	Unlikely. As described above, the Project may lead to the introduction of invasive species during the construction and operational phases of the Project. Similar changes to the hydrology of the community may also result in singlar impacts.
	24 VIRONMENTAL

E N V I R O N M E N T A L

Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland Bioregion	
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
- assisting invasive species, that are harmful to the listed ecological community, to become established, or	The Project may also cause regular mobilization of fertilisers, herbicides or other pollutants that may kill or reduce the integrity of the adjacent Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest TEC. This would potentially occur during weed control efforts in other areas, or during landscaping works following the Project's construction phase.
<ul> <li>causing regular mobilisation of fertilisers, herbicides or other chemicals or pollutants into the ecological community which kill or inhibit the growth of species in the ecological community, or</li> </ul>	It is understood that the existing Conservation Agreement between the commonwealth and Council includes ongoing weed management of the Conservation Area (Department of the Environment and Energy, 2019). Council's weed-management program for the Project Area has commenced as evidenced during the field assessment. On-going invasive species management actions will be included in the Operational management plan for the Project, as such, it is unlikely that the Project will reduce the quality or integrity of the TEC.
Interfere with the recovery of an ecological community.	<ul> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>The Conservation Advice for the TEC states that the recommended recovery actions for the TEC are designed to provide guidance for:</li> <li>planning, management and restoration of the ecological community by landholders, NRM and community groups and other land managers.</li> <li>conditions of approval for relevant controlled actions under national environment law; and,</li> <li>prioritising activities in applications for Australian Government funding programs (Department of the Environment and Energy, 2018).</li> <li>The priority conservation actions focus on protecting and restoring the ecological community, communication and research. These include measures to:</li> <li>Protect the ecological community to prevent further losses;</li> <li>Restore the ecological community by the active abatement of threats, appropriate management, restoration and other conservation initiatives;</li> </ul>
	apter 25

Subtropical Eucalypt Floodplain Forest and Woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland Bioregion		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
	<ul> <li>Communicate, engage with and support people to increase understanding of the value and function of the ecological community and encourage their efforts in its protection and recovery; and</li> <li>Research and monitoring to improve our understanding of the ecological community and the best methods to aid its management and recovery.</li> <li>The Project will protect the two areas of TEC in the Conservation Area and will minimise indirect impacts through the inclusion of best-practice stormwater management design, weed and fire management. Further, the Construction and Operational Management Plans for the project will include ongoing management protocols.</li> </ul>	
Conclusion: The Project is unlike	ely to have a significant impact on the TEC.	
	to mannally	
	ironmental 26	

# 1.4 Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland ecological community S

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Listing Status: Endangered

Vature Conservation Act 1992 Listing Status: Not applicable		
Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland ecological community		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
Reduce the extent of an ecological community	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Project will not result in a direct impact on the Coastal Swamp Oak Threatened Ecological Community (TEC). The TEC is predominately inundated by the tidal regime of Tingalpa Creek, as such, whilst the outflow of freshwater may marginally increase during significant events, the TEC is unlikely to reduce in extent due to indirect impacts associated with changes to the hydrology downstream of the wetland. Further indirect impacts include the potential forweed invasion and altered fire regimes. Impacts associated with weed invasion are managed through the conditions of the Conservation Agreement, routine controls and Council's invasive species management program. Fire will be managed via Council's Parks and Conservation Planned Burn Program. Thus ensuring the long-term maintenance of the ecological community. The extent of the indirect impacts is unlikely to reduce the extent of the TEC. It is also relevant to note that the Conservation Area boundary has been modified to incorporate areas that present opportunities to restore degraded vegetation which could support the TEC in the future following restoration.	
Fragment or increase fragmentation of an ecological community, for example by clearing vegetation for roads or transmission lines	Unlikely. The Project does not involve the removal of the Coastal Swamp Oak Forest TEC or clearing within its extent	
	IRONMENTAL 27	

Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland ecological community	
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
Adversely affect habitat critical to the survival of an ecological community	Unlikely. The hydrology downstream of the wetland will be modified by the Project however, the extent of the impact cannot yet be determined in the absence of detailed Stormwater Plans and hydraulic modelling. The Project's design incorporates strategic measures to avoid impacts to the PEC and surrounding wetland, including the provision of bioretention basins, water tanks and water-sensitive design elements in carparks to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff entering the wetland area and TEC. The TEC is predominately inundated by the tidal regime of Tingalpa Creek as such the Project is unlikely to adversely affect habitat critical to the survival of the TEC.
Modify or destroy abiotic (non-living) factors (such as water, nutrients, or soil) necessary for an ecological community's survival, including reduction of groundwater levels, or substantial alteration of surface water drainage patterns	Unlikely. The hydrology downstream of the wetland will be modified by the Project; however, the extent of the impact is likely to be marginal considering the TEC is predominately inundated by the tidal regime of Tingalpa Creek.
Cause a substantial change in the species composition of an occurrence of an ecological community, including causing a decline or loss of functionally important species, for	Unlikely. Nationally, much of what remains of the Coastal Swamp Oak TEC consists of regrowth, and the integrity of the remaining patches (such as that proximate to the Project Area) is severely compromised, particularly by weed invasion and changes to hydrological processes (Department of the Environment and Energy, 2018). As such, the TEC is susceptible to weed invasion (noting that changes to hydrological regimes may also alter the species composition of the Coastal Swamp Oak TEC).
	ironmental 28

Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland ecological community		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
example through regular burning or flora or fauna	The Project does not propose practices such as regular burning practices or flora or found harvesting that could cause a substantial change in the species composition of the TEC.	
harvesting	Additionally, the Project proposes restoration on an additional patch of Coastal Swamp Oak that does not currently meet the condition thresholds to be considered the TEC. However, restoration of the patch's understorey with native species would enable the patch to constitute the TEC in the future.	
	Impacts associated with weed invasion are managed through the conditions of the Conservation Agreement, routine controls and Council's invasive species management program. Fire will be managed via Council's Parks and Conservation Planned Burn Program.	
Cause a substantial reduction in the quality or integrity of an occurrence of an ecological community, including, but not limited to:	<b>Unlikely.</b> As described above, the Project may lead to the introduction of invasive species during the construction and operational phases of the Project. Similar changes to the hydrology of the community may also result in	
<ul> <li>assisting invasive species, that are harmful to the listed ecological community, to become established, or</li> </ul>	similar impacts. The Project may also cause regular mobilization of fertilisers, herbicides or other pollutants that may kill or reduce the integrity of the adjacent Coastal Swamp Oak TEC. This would potentially occur during weed control efforts in other areas) or during landscaping works following the Project's construction phase.	
- causing regular mobilisation of fertilisers, herbicides or other chemicals or pollutants into the ecological community which kill or inhibit the growth of species in the ecological community, or	It is understood that the existing Conservation Agreement between the Commonwealth and Council includes ongoing weed management of the Conservation Area (Department of the Environment and Energy, 2019). Council's weed-management program for the Project Area has commenced as evidenced during the field assessment. On-going invasive species management actions will be included in the Operational management plan for the Project, as such, it is unlikely that the Project will reduce the quality or integrity of the TEC.	
	29 YIRONMENTAL	

Significant Impact Criteria Impact Assessment	
Interfere with the recovery of an ecological community, communication and research. These include measures to: <ul> <li>Protect remaining patches.</li> <li>Planning to minimise further obstration.</li> <li>Protect remaining patches.</li> <li>Protect remaining patches.</li> <li>Protect remaining patches.</li> <li>Protect remaining the measures to:</li> <li>Protect remaining patches.</li> <li>Protect remaining patches.</li> <li>Protect remaining the provide and spread of exotic species.</li> <li>Manage actions to and spread of exotic species.</li> <li>Manage remaining.</li> <li>Manage free freatmont provide and grazing.</li> <li>Underfase free freatmont.</li> <li>Manage free.</li> <li>Provide information.</li> <li>Coordinate efforts.</li> <li>Mapping.</li> <li>Modelling sea-level rise impacts.</li> </ul>	he
RURANTER 30	

Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland ecological community		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
	<ul> <li>Understanding fire.</li> <li>Understanding regrowth.</li> <li>Monitoring.</li> </ul>	
	through the inclusion of best-practice stormwater management design Further, the Construction and Operational Management Plans for the project will include ongoing invasive species management. Restoration of a patch of Casuarina glauca within the Conservation Area aims to increase the extent of TEC within the Project Area in the future.	
Conclusion: The Project is unlik	ely to have a significant impact on the TEC.	
Conclusion: The Project is unlikely to have a significant impact on the TEC.		
	ntor 31	

# **2** Vulnerable Species

### 2.1 South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi)

<ul> <li>2.1 South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi)</li> <li>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Listing Status: Vulnerable</li> <li>Nature Conservation Act 1992 Listing Status: Vulnerable</li> <li>South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi)</li> <li>Significant Impact Criteria Impact Assessment</li> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>A population of South-eastern glossy black cockatoo bash not been recorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding have been eccorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding have been eccorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding have been eccorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding have been eccorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding have been eccorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding have been eccorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding have been eccorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding have been eccorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding have been eccorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding have been eccorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding have been eccorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding have been eccorded or identified within the Project Area at surgers been eccorded or identified within the Project Area at surgers been eccorded or identified within the Project Area at surgers been eccorded or identified within the Project Area at surgers been eccorded or identified within the Project Area at surgers been eccorded or on the region. Birds often only feed on one o</li></ul>			
Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Listing Status: Vulnerable Nature Conservation Act 1992 Listing Status: Vulnerable South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi) Significant Impact Criteria Impact Assessment Unlikely. A population of South-eastern glossy black cockatoo has not been recorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding here been care suitable for intermittent foraging use by and Casuarina spp.) for feeding, with sheares used varying depending on the region. Birds often only feed on one or two species in one regior (Depthynchues). Black sheoak (A. Interas). There are also records of the interast (Riccas). There are also records of the foreids). Coapital encoak (C. cauisetifola). Coapital encoak (C. cauisetifola). Coapital encoak (C. cauisetifola). Coapital encoak (C. gluaca). The Project Area and surrounds are known to contain Black sheoak and Swamp sheoak. The Development the Forient Will require the removal of scattered juvenile Black sheoak (I (i.e. foraging resources); however, the majority of such trees in the Project Area will be retained. Specifically, RE 12.1.1 dominated by Swamp	2.1 South-eastern glossy black cockatoo ( <i>Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi</i> )		
Nature Conservation Act 1992 Listing Status: Vulnerable         South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi)         Significant Impact Criteria       Impact Assessment         Lead to a long-term decrease in the size of an important population of the species       Moliteral Contents         Black sheeak (A. littleails)       Insolution as provide the state of the species of the species of the species of the size of an important population of the species (A. littleails) and Stringsminal sheeak (A. littleails)         Image: Content of the species of the size of an important population of the species (C. equisettion)       Insolution as provide the fielding on the species of the size of an important population of the species (C. equisettion)         Black sheeak (A. littleails) and       Strings/part sheeak (A. littleails) and         Black sheeak (C. equisettion)       Strings/part sheeak (A. littleails) and         Black sheeak (C. equisettion)       Strings/part sheeak (C. equisettion)         Black sheeak (C. equisettion)       Strings/part sheeak (C. equisettion)         Black sheeak (C. glauca)       Note sheeak (C. equisettion)         Black sheeak (C. glauca)       Strings/part sheeak (C. glauca)         The Project Area and surrounds are known to contain Black sheeak and Swamp sheeak. The Development Formit will require the removal of scattered juvenile Black sheeak (I. e. foraging resources); however, the majority of such trees in the Project Area will be retained. Specifically, RE 12.1.1 dominated by Swamp	Environment Protection and Bi	odiversity Conservation Act 1999 Listing Status: Vulnerable	
South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi)         Significant Impact Criteria       Impact Assessment         Lead to a long-term decrease in the size of an important population of the species of the size of an important population of the species	Nature Conservation Act 1992 I	isting Status: Vulnerable	
Significant Impact Criteria         Impact Assessment           Lead to a long-term decrease in the size of an important population of the species in one region (C. equival), and the field of the species of the species in one region (C. equival), and <ul> <li>Forest sheek (A. Interality, and</li> <li>Forest sheek (A. Interality, and</li> <li>Forest sheek (C. equival), and</li> <li>String provide the field of the species (C. equival), and</li> <li>Forest sheek (C. equival), and</li> <li>String provide (C. equival), and</li> <li>String provide (C. equival), and</li> <li>Forest sheek (C. equival), and</li> <li>Forest sheek (C. equival), and</li> <li>String provide the field of the field of the species (C. equival), and</li> <li>String provide the field of the species (C. equival), and</li> <li>String provide the field of the species (C. equival), and</li> <li>String provide the removal of scattered juvenile Black sheeak and Swamp sheeak. The Development Footprint will require the removal of scattered juvenile Black sheeak (I. i.e. foraging resources); however, the majority of such trees in the Project Area and will be retained. Specifically, RE 12.1.1 dominated by Swamp</li></ul>	South-eastern glossy black co	ockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi)	
Lead to a long-term       A population of South-eastern glossy black cockatob has not been recorded or identified within the Project Area, although the areas within and surrounding the Project Area are suitable for intermittent foraging use by the species. South-eastern glossy black cockatobs rely on nine species of she-oaks ( <i>Allocasuarina spp.</i> and <i>Casuarina spp.</i> ) for feeding, with species used varying depending on the region. Birds often only feed on one or two species in one region (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, 2022a). In south-east Queensland and north-east New South Wales, they show a preference for:         Black sheoak ( <i>A. linteralis</i> ) and       • Forest shepak ( <i>A. binteralis</i> ) and         • Forest shepak ( <i>A. binteralis</i> ) and       • Stringy park sheoak ( <i>A. inophloia</i> )         • Coastar shepak ( <i>C. equisetifolia</i> ),       • River shepak ( <i>C. equisetifolia</i> ),         • River shepak ( <i>C. glauca</i> ).       • River shepak ( <i>C. glauca</i> ).         • Reference Area and surrounds are known to contain Black sheoak and Swamp sheoak. The Development Forebrint will require the removal of scattered juvenile Black sheoak ( <i>i.e. foraging resources</i> ); however, the majority of such trees in the Project Area will be retained. Specifically, RE 12.1.1 dominated by Swamp	Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
	Lead to a long-term decrease in the size of an important population of the species	<ul> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>A population of South-eastern glossy black cockatoo has not been record Area, although the areas within and surrounding the Project Area are suit by the species. South-eastern glossy black cockatoos rely on nine species and <i>Casuarina spp.</i>) for feeding, with species used varying depending on on one or two species in one region (Department of Climate Change, Eng 2022a). In south-east Queensland and North-east New South Wales, they</li> <li>Black sheoak (<i>A. littoralis</i>) and</li> <li>Forest sheoak (<i>A. littoralis</i>).</li> <li>There are also records of them feeding on:</li> <li>Stringy park sheoak (<i>C. equisetifolia</i>),</li> <li>Coastal sheoak (<i>C. glauca</i>).</li> <li>The Project Area and surrounds are known to contain Black sheoak and Fostprint will require the removal of scattered juvenile Black sheoak (<i>i.e.</i> majority of such trees in the Project Area will be retained. Specifically, RE</li> </ul>	ded or identified within the Project table for intermittent foraging use es of she-oaks ( <i>Allocasuarina spp</i> . a the region. Birds often only feed ergy, the Environment and Water, y show a preference for: Swamp sheoak. The Development foraging resources); however, the 12.1.1 dominated by Swamp
1 april 32	RICH	pter	32

South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi)		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
	sheoak and RE 12.5.3 (which supports Black sheoak) and breeding habitat within the Conservation Area will be retained for the project within the Conservation Area. The Development Footprint contains two hollows with characteristics consistent with those preferred by the South eastern glossy black-cockatoo. One of the significant hollow-bearing trees will be retained and one will be removed as it was assessed as structurally unsafe to retain within the Development Footprint. The Project is unlikely to lead to a long-term decrease in the size of an important population of South-eastern glossy black cockatoo.	1
	Unlikely.	
Reduce the area of occupancy of an important population	The Conservation Advice describes the area of occupancy 44,00km ² and estimates 34% was impacted by fires (Department of Climate Change, Energy and the Environment and Water, 2022). Important populations for the species have not yet been defined. The Development Footprint provides limited foragin opportunities and one hollow-bearing tree within the Development Footprint which will be impacted that could be suitable for use by the species for shaltening or breeding purposes. The Conservation Area contains suitable foraging and breeding hastat.	g
	The species has previously been recorded in Atlas of Living Australia, records collected from citizen- science databases are subject to some degree of uncertainty. Upon scrutiny of the record of a Glossy Blac Cockatoo within the Development, the record has been denatured to protect the taxon (coordinate uncertainty increased by 30,000m). Additionally, Atlas of Living Australia records for the species collected within the vicinity of the Project include five individuals along Tingalpa Creek, approximately 1.75 km northwest of the Development Footprint.	ж J
	The Development Footprint impacts limited foraging opportunities and will impact one potential nest tree. Foraging and breeding habitat for the South-eastern glossy black cockatoo will be retained in the Conservation Area, as such the Project is unlikely to reduce the area of occupancy of an important population.	
Fragment an existing important population into two or more populations	Unlikely. The Project Area is not known to support an important population that could be fragmented.	
RIGHZ	pter 33	
En v	IRONMENTAL	

South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi)		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
Adversely affect habitat critical to the survival of a species	Unlikely. In the Redlands City Council Local Government Area South-eastern glossy black cockatoo are recorded predominantly on North Stradbroke Island and the Southern Moreton Bay Islands and records are also scattered on the mainland (Atlas of Living Australia, 2022). The Development Footprint contains limited foraging habitat for the species (noting that foraging habitat is present in the broader Project Area). There is one hollow-bearing tree within the Development Footprint that could be suitable for use by the species for sheltering or breeding purposes. The Project includes the restoration of a patch of vegetation dominated by <i>Casuarina stauca</i> which will improve the condition of foraging opportunities and will impact one potential nest tree. Foraging and breeding habitat for the South-eastern glossy black cockatoo will be retained in the Conservation Area, As such, the Project is unlikely to adversely affect habitat critical to the survey of a species.	
Disrupt the breeding cycle of an important population	<ul> <li><b>Unlikely.</b></li> <li>The Project Area is not known to support an important population that utilises the area for breeding purposes or otherwise. A single hollow-bearing tree within the Development Footprint provides suitable breeding habitat for the South eastern glossy black cockatoo and will be impacted by the Project. The hollow-bearing trees indicates characteristics of the traits for potential nesting hollows detailed in the Conservation Advice (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, 2022) including.</li> <li>1) &gt;8 m above ground;</li> <li>(2) Located in branches &gt;30 cm in diameter;</li> <li>(3) Branch or stem no more than 450 from vertical; and</li> <li>(4) Minimum entrance diameter of &gt;15 cm.</li> <li>A single tree containing potential breeding habitat will be impacted as a result of the Project. This tree is unstable and considered a safety hazard and is therefore unable to be retained for the Project. Hollowe</li> </ul>	
	anter 34	

South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi)		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
	will be salvaged and installed within the Conservation Area. No net loss in hollows will occur as a result of the Project. Clearing of the potential habitat tree will avoid peak breeding season (i.e. Pebruary – August) (BirdLife, 2022). The Project is unlikely to disrupt the breeding cycle of an important population.	
Modify, destroy, remove, isolate or decrease the availability or quality of habitat to the extent that the species is likely to decline	Unlikely. Areas within and surrounding the Project Area are suitable intermittent toraging habitat for the South- eastern glossy black cockatoo. The Development Footprint location with require the removal of scattered juvenile Black sheoak; however, the majority of such trees in the Conservation Area will be retained. The Project includes the restoration of a patch of vegetation cominated by <i>Casuarina glauca</i> which will improve the condition of foraging resources for South-eastern glossy black cockatoo. The Project is unlikely to result in the modification, destruction, removal or isolation of guality habitat to the extent that the species would likely decline.	
Result in invasive species that are harmful to a vulnerable species becoming established in the vulnerable species' habitat	<ul> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>The South-eastern glossy black cockator is susceptible to impacts from both invasive flora and fauna. As it relates to weeds, the Conservation Advice for the South-eastern glossy black cockatoo states that:</li> <li><i>"Invasive weeds have the ability to change the floristic and structural characteristics of habitat, thereby changing resource availability. Furthermore, some weeds may increase the flammability of the habitat, amplifying wildfire risks"</i> (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2028a)</li> <li>It is understood that the existing Conservation Agreement between the Commonwealth and Council includes ongoing weed management of the Conservation Area (Department of the Environment and Energy, 2019). As such Council's weed-management program for the Project Area has commenced as evidenced during the field assessment. Continued weed management actions will be included in the Operational management plan for the Project. The Project is unlikely to result in invasive species becoming established in South-eastern glossy black cockatoo habitat.</li> </ul>	
	ironmental 35	

South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi)		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
	As it relates to invasive fauna, the Conservation Advice states,	
	"Based on studies of the Kangaroo Island subspecies C. I. halmaturings (Sametr et al. 1999; Mooney & Pedler 2005), nest predation by common brushtail possions maybe a threat. Predation by introduced predators such as feral cats (Felis catus) and European red fox (Vulpes vulpes) does not appear to be a major threat. Further research is required to fully understand the extent of the threat of predation on south-eastern glossy black cockatoos, including both native and introduced predators" (DCCEEW, 2022).	
	As such, the Project is not considered likely to result in the introduction of any invasive species which are known to harm the South-eastern glossy black cockatoo, although it may result in a localised increase in predatory native species, such as the Common brushtail possum (which are known to thrive in modified environments and habitat edges).	
	Unlikely.	
	Psittacine beak and feather disease (PBFD) is the only known disease that impacts parrots such as the South-eastern glossy black cockatoo. The Conservation Advice for the South-eastern glossy black cockatoo states that:	
Introduce disease that may cause the species to decline	"PBFD is a potentially tatal disease caused by psittacine circovirus, typically transferring between adults, nestlings and contaminated nest hollows. Although south-eastern glossy black cockatoos are susceptible to PBFD, the threat level is relatively low compared to other threats. With decreasing nesting hollows and intensified competition (see Competition for nest hollows), it is possible that the likelihood of disease transmission could be greater in the future" (Department of the Environment, 2015).	
- All	Presently) the distribution of PBFD is thought to be Australia-wide, including Tasmania. Consequently, the Project would not introduce the disease to the Project Area or its surrounds (Department of the Environment, 2015).	
KISK	inter 36	
E N V	IRONMENTAL	

South-eastern glossy black cockatoo (Calyptorphynchus lathami lathmi)		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
Interfere with the recovery of the species.	<ul> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>The species' approved Conservation Advice (Department of Climate Change Energy, the Environment and Water, 2022a) includes a large number of priority recovery and management actions for the species. The recovery action categories relate to: <ol> <li>Clearing of native vegetation/timber harvesting and habitat tragmentation (i.e. inappropriate fire regimes and competition for nest hollows).</li> <li>Stakeholder and community engagement.</li> <li>Monitoring and surveying known populations, preeding sites, feeding sites and habitat.</li> <li>Various further research topics.</li> </ol> </li> <li>The Project will not interfere with stakeholder or community engagement across the species range, nor would it interfere with high-level monitoring and research priorities.</li> <li>The Project requires the direct removal of scattered juvenile She-oak (<i>Allocasuarina littoralis</i>) which provides limited foraging opportunities for the South-eastern glossy black cockatoo. The Project Area retains and enhances foraging habitat within the Conservation Area.</li> </ul>	
Conclusion: The Project is unlikely to have a significant impact on the South-eastern glossy black cockatoo.		

### 2.2 White-throated needletail (*Hirundapus caudacutus*)

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Listing Status: Vulnerable – Marine, Migratory

Nature Conservation Act 1992 Listing Status: Vulnerable

an ENVIRONMENTAL

White-throated needletail ( <i>Hirundapus caudacutus</i> )		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
Lead to a long-term decrease in the size of an important population of the species	Unlikely. Important populations of White-throated needletail have not yet been identified; however, the Project will retain the majority of the existing vegetation along the northern, western and southern fringes of the Project Area that would provide habitat for the species. As such, it will not substantiatly modify, destroy or isolate an area of habitat for the White-throated needletail (noting that the Project Area has been subject to previous modification and therefore does not support what is considered important habitat' in accordance with the <i>Draft referral guideline for 14 birds listed as migratory species under the EPBC Act</i> [Commonwealth of Australia, 2015]). The species' habitat in Australia consists of non-breeding habitat only. The species is, "found across a range of habitats, more often over wooded areas, where it is almost exclusively aerial. Large tracts of native vegetation, particularly forest, may be a key habitat requirement for species. Found to roost in tree hollows in tall trees on ridge-tops, on bark or rook taces. Appears to have traditional roost sites." (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015). Such habitat is present along the northern, western and southern extents of the Project Area; however, the Development Footprint argely avoids areas that would be considered 'important habitat' for the species.	
Reduce the area of occupancy of an important population	Unlikely. Important populations of White-throated needletail have not yet been identified. As above, the Project is unlikely to reduce the area of occupancy for the species given that the Development Footprint avoids high- value configuous ecological habitats and is located within a historically modified area.	
Fragment an existing important population into two or more populations	Unlikely. As above The Project does not contain an important population that could be fragmented by the Project. Additionally, the Project Area does not further fragment the existing potential habitat for the species.	
Richard 38		

White-throated needletail ( <i>Hirundapus caudacutus</i> )		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
Adversely affect habitat critical to the survival of a species	Unlikely. White-throated needletail habitat " <i>is found across a range of habitats, more often over wooded areas (where it is almost exclusively aerial). Large tracts of native vegetation, particularly forest, may be a key habitat requirement for species. Found to roost in tree hollows in tall trees on ridge-tops, on bark or rock faces</i> " (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015). The Development Footprint does not contain densely wooded areas that would be considered critical habitat for the species (noting that more appropriate habitat is present in the broader Project Area). As such, the Project is considered unlikely to adversely affect habitat critical to the survival of the species.	
Disrupt the breeding cycle of an important population	Unlikely. While the White-throated needletail is found across a range of habitats, Australia does not contain known breeding habitat for the species, therefore the Project would not disrupt the breeding cycle for an important population (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015).	
Modify, destroy, remove, isolate or decrease the availability or quality of habitat to the extent that the species is likely to decline	Unlikely. In Australia, the White-throated Needletail is mostly aerial, from heights of less than 1 m up to more than 1000 m above the ground (Varburton 1993). The species roosts in trees amongst dense foliage in the canopy or in hollows While the species does not breed in Australia, the loss of roosting sites in Australia may be contributing to the decline of the species (Tarbuton, 2014). The Development Footprint is located within densely vegetated areas and is therefore unlikely to decrease the availability of roosting habitat for the species such that the species is likely to decline.	
Result in invasive species that are harmful to a vulnerable species	Unlikely There are no known invasive species that are harmful to the White-throated needletail (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015).	
	ironmental 39	

White-throated needletail (Hirundapus caudacutus)		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
becoming established in the vulnerable species' habitat		
Introduce disease that may cause the species to decline	Unlikely. There are no reported diseases that are harmful to the White-threated needletail that would potentially be introduced by the Project (Threatened Species Scientific Committee, 2019).	
Interfere with the recovery of the species.	<ul> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>There is no published recovery plan for the species. Conservation priorities listed in the species' Conservation Advice (Threatened Species Scientific Committee, 2019) include: <ul> <li>Working with governments in East Asia to minimise destruction of key breeding habitats.</li> <li>Identification and protection of important habitats in Australia.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The Project will not inhibit future work with governments in East Asia to minimise destruction of important habitats. As such, the Project will not interfere with the recovery priorities for the species.</li> </ul>	
Significant Impact Criteria becoming established in the vulnerable species' habitat Introduce disease that may cause the species to decline Interfere with the recovery of the species.	<ul> <li>Impact Assessment</li> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>There are no reported diseases that are harmful to the White-threated needletail that would potentially be introduced by the Project (Threatened Species Scientific Committee, 2019).</li> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>There is no published recovery plan for the species Conservation priorities listed in the species' Conservation Advice (Threatened Species Scientific Committee, 2019) include: <ul> <li>Working with governments in East Asia to minimise destruction of key breeding habitats.</li> <li>Identification and protection of important habitats in Australia.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The Project will not inhibit future work with governments in East Asia to minimise destruction of key breeding habitats, nor will it inhibit the identification and protection of important habitats in Australia. As such, the Project will not interfere with the recovery priorities for the species.</li> </ul>	

**Conclusion:** The Project is unlikely to have a significant impact on the White-throated Needletail.

a ENVIRONMENTAL

### 2.3 Grey-headed flying-fox (*Pteropus poliocephalus*)

Rante

ENVIRONMENT

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Listing Status: Vulnerable

Nature Conservation Act 1992 Listing Status: Least Concern

Grey-headed flying-fox ( <i>Pteropus poliocephalus</i> )		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
Lead to a long-term decrease in the size of an important population of the species	Unlikely. The Project Area does not contain a known roost site for the Grey-headed flying-fox. The closest recorded roost site that hosts Grey-headed flying-foxes is located 2.5 km southeast of the Project Area. The Listing Advice does not define an 'important population and the advice estimates a population size of 320,000- 400,000 individuals (TSSC, 2001). The impacts of the Project are not considered to impact upon the habitat surrounding this camp location given its considerable distance from the Project Area. The Project Area contains suitable foraging babitat including Eucalyptus and Melaleuca. The Conservation Area supports foraging resources that will be retained for the Project. The Development Footprint will directly impact foraging resources for the species, however, the foraging resources impacted are limited to scattered and predominately uverile trees (the exact impact is to be quantified following the completion of the tree retention plan. In addition, two patches of semi-mature and mature eucalypt trees will be retained as part of the design. The Project is unlikely to lead to a long-term decrease in the size of an important population of Grey-headed flying-fox.	
Reduce the area of occupancy of an important population	<b>Unlikely.</b> While the Project Area contains suitable foraging habitat for the species; the Project Area does not support an important population. The Project is unlikely to reduce the area of occupancy of an important population.	
A A		

Grey-headed flying-fox ( <i>Pteropus poliocephalus</i> )		
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
Fragment an existing important population into two or more populations	Unlikely. The Project Area does not support an important population that could be fragmented.	
Adversely affect habitat critical to the survival of a species	Unlikely. The Development Footprint supports vegetation that provides foraging opportunities for the Grey-headed flying fox including scattered winter and spring flowering foraging species. Winter and spring flowering vegetation communities are noted as critical habitat for the survival of the species (DoE, 2021). The Development Footprint is characterised by scattered retained individual trees and cleared areas. Therefore, the foraging habitat within the Development Footprint is unlikely to represent critical habitat for the Grey- headed flying fox.	
Disrupt the breeding cycle of an important population	Unlikely. Given the separation distance between the Project Area and the closest known breeding colony, no indirect impacts as a result of the Project are expected on the breeding colony. The Project Area does not support an important population that utilises the area for breeding purposes.	
Modify, destroy, remove, isolate or decrease the availability or quality of habitat to the extent that the species is likely to decline	Unlikely. The Project will require the removal of scattered vegetation supporting foraging habitat for the species. Given the wide availability of foraging habitat in the surrounds and the fact that the species is known to travel wide distances for foraging (i.e. up to 40km), the Project is considered unlikely to adversely impact the species' habitat to the extent that it is likely to decline (Commonwealth of Australia, 2021).	
Result in invasive species that are harmful to a	Untrikely.	
	ironmental 42	

Grey-headed flying-fox (Ptero	pus poliocephalus)
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
vulnerable species becoming established in the vulnerable species' habitat	Neither the Grey-headed Flying-fox Recovery Plan nor the species' Listing Advice contains any invasive species that are harmful to the species or its habitat (Commonwealth of Australia, 2021; Threatened Species Scientific Committee, 2001).
Introduce disease that may cause the species to decline	Unlikely.
	There is very little information available on the impact of disease on Australian flying-fox populations, including Grey-headed flying-foxes. The main area of impact of disease is associated with the public perception of bats as a source of zoonotic diseases (i.e. Lyssavirus) (Commonwealth of Australia, 2021). The incidence of Lyssavirus in Grey-headed flying fox populations is low (<1 %).
	There are no known diseases that negatively impact the health of the Grey-headed flying fox (that are not already present throughout the population) that could be introduced by the Project.
Interfere with the recovery of the species.	<ul> <li>Unlikely.</li> <li>The overall objectives of this Grey-headed flying fox recovery plan are: <ol> <li>to improve the Grey headed flying-fox's national population trend by reducing the impact of the threats outlined in this plan on Grey-headed Flying-foxes through habitat identification, protection, restoration and monitoring, and</li> <li>to assist communities and Grey-headed flying-foxes to coexist through better education, stakenolder engagement, research, policy and continued support to fruit growers (Commonwealth of Australia, 2021).</li> </ol> </li> <li>The Project will not interfere with recovery objective 1, which relates to habitat identification, protection restoration and monitoring. Further, the Project will not interfere with recovery objective 2, which relates to community education and stakeholder engagement, research and policy development.</li> </ul>

EN VIRONMENTAL



# **3 Migratory Species**

### 3.1 Rufous fantail (*Rhipidura rufifrons*)

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Listing Status: Marine, Migratory

Nature Conservation Act 1992 Listing Status: Special Least Concern

Rufous fantail (Rhipidura rufif	irons)
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
Substantially modify (including by fragmenting, altering fire regimes, altering nutrient cycles or altering hydrological cycles), destroy or isolate an area of important habitat for a migratory species	Unlikely. The Rufous fantail favours "moist, dense habitats including mangroves, rainforest, riparian forests and thickets, and wet eucalypt forests with a dense understorey. When on passage a wider range of habitats are used including dry eucalypt forests and woodlands and Brigalow shrublands" (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015). Such habitat is present along the western and southern extents of the Project Area; however, the Development Footprint largely avoids areas that would be considered 'important habitat' for the species. The Project will retain the majority of the existing vegetation along the northern, western and southern fringes of the Project Area. As such, it will not substantially modify, destroy or isolate an area of habitat for the Rufous fantail (noting that the Project Area has been subject to previous modification and therefore does not support what is considered 'important habitat' in accordance with the Draft referral guideline for 14 birds listed as migratory species under the EPBC Act [Commonwealth of Australia, 2015]).
Result in an invasive species that is harmful to the migratory species becoming established in an	Unlikely Invasive species which pose a known threat to the Rufous fantail include the Black Rat ( <i>Rattus rattus</i> ) and invasive vines of riparian habitat (i.e. rubber vine <i>Cryptostegia grandiflora</i> ). Such species (i.e. Lantana and
	ironmental 45

Rufous fantail (Rhipidura rufifi	rons)
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
area of important habitat for the migratory species, or	Black Rat) are already established within the Project Area and surrounds: as such, the Project will not result in their introduction.
Seriously disrupt the lifecycle (breeding, feeding, migration or resting behaviour) of an ecologically significant proportion of the population of a migratory species.	Unlikely. The Project Area does not contain an ecologically significant proportion (i.e. 4,800 individuals) of a population of the Rufous fantail in accordance with the guidance provided in the <i>Draft referral guideline for 14 birds listed as migratory species under the EPBC Act</i> (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015). The Project will not disrupt the lifecycle of an ecologically significant proposal of a population of Rufous fantail.
Conclusion: The Project is unlik	kely to have a significant impact on the Rufous fantally

### 3.2 Satin flycatcher (Myiagra cyanoleuca)

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Listing Status: Marine, Migratory

Nature Conservation Act 1992 Listing Status: Special Least Concern

Satin flycatcher (*Myiagra cyanoleuca*)

Significant Impact Criteria Impact Assessment

Substantially modify (including by fragmenting) altering fire regimes, altering

Unlikely.

ENVIRONMENTA

Satin flycatcher ( <i>Myiagra cyanoleuca</i> )	
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment
nutrient cycles or altering hydrological cycles), destroy or isolate an area of important habitat for a migratory species	The species' preferred habitat consists of "eucalypt forest and woodlands, at high elevations when breeding. They are particularly common in tall wet sclerophyll forest, often in guiles or along water courses. In woodlands they prefer open, grassy woodland types. During migration, habitat preferences expand, with the species recorded in most wooded habitats except rainforests. Wintering birds in northern Qld will use rainforest - gallery forests interfaces, and birds have been recorded wintering in mangroves and paperbark swamps." (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015). Such habitat is present along the western and southern extents of the Project Area; however, the Development Fortprint largely avoids areas that would be considered 'important habitat' for the species. The Project will retain the majority of the existing vegetation along the northern, western and southern fringes of the Project Area. As such, it will not substantially modify, destroy or isolate an area of habitat for the Satin flycatcher (noting that the Project Area has been subject to previous modification and therefore does not support what is considered 'important habitat' in accordance with the Draft referral guideline for 14 birds listed as migratory species under the EPBC Act [Commonwealth of Australia, 2015]).
Result in an invasive species that is harmful to the migratory species becoming established in an area of important habitat for the migratory species, or	Unlikely. Invasive species which pose a known threat to the Satin flycatcher include the Black Rat ( <i>Rattus rattus</i> ) and invasive vines of tiparian habitat (i.e. rubber vine <i>Cryptostegia grandiflora</i> ). Such species (i.e. Lantana and Black rat) are already established within the Project Area and surrounds; as such, the Project could not result in their introduction.
Seriously disrupt the lifecycle (breeding, feeding, migration or resting behaviour) of an ecologically significant proportion of the	Unlikely. The Project Area does not contain an ecologically significant proportion (i.e. 1,700 individuals) of a population of the Satin flycatcher in accordance with the guidance provided in the <i>Draft referral guideline for</i> 14 pirds listed as migratory species under the EPBC Act (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015).
	ntor 47

Satin flycatcher ( <i>Myiagra cyar</i>	oleuca)	
Significant Impact Criteria	Impact Assessment	
population of a migratory species.		
Conclusion: The Project is unlik	ely to have a significant impact on the Satin flycatcher.	
	to the many the	
	IRONMENTAL	48

## **4** References

Atlas of Living Australia (2023) Greater glider records for the Redland City Council Local Government Area.

Atlas of Living Australia (2022) South-eastern glossy black cockatoo records for the Redard City Council Local Government Area.

Biolink. (2021). Birkdale Community Precinct: Koala Habitat Assessment and Recommendations.

Biolink (2019) Redlands Coast Koala Population and Habitat Assessment Final Beport for Redlands), (online)

https://www.redland.qld.gov.au/download/downloads/id/3297/redlands coast koala populati on and habitat assessment.pdf, Biolink ecological consultants, New South Wales.

Cardno (2021) Environmental Early Works and Social Land Management Plan prepared by Cardno (dated 23 November 2021).

Cardno (2020). Ecological Assessment Report - Birkdale Land Survey.

Commonwealth of Australia (2015). Draft referral guideline for 14 birds listed as migratory species under the EPBC Act.

Commonwealth of Australia (2021). National Recovery Plan for the Grey-headed Flying-fox *Pteropus poliocephalus.* 

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (2022). Conservation Advice for Phascolarctos cinereus (Koala) combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory.

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (2022). National Recovery Plan for the Koala Phascolarctos cinereus (combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Tervitory) Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, Canberra.

Department of the Environment and Energy (2019) Conservation Agreement for the protection and conservation of biodiversity values on land at Lot 1 on RP14143, Lot 2 on RP14144 and Lot 2 pm SP146445, also known as 362-388 Old Cleveland Road, Birkdale, Queensland, Canberra.

Department of the Environment (2015). Psittacine Beak and Feather Disease and other identified Threats to Australian threatened Parrots.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2022). Approved Conservation Advice for the Subtropical eucalypt floodplain forest and woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland bioregions. Canberra: Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2022a). Conservation advice for *Calyptorhynchus lathami lathami* (south-eastern glossy black cockatoo), Canberra.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2022b). Conservation Advice for *Petauroides volans* (greater glider (southern and central)). Canberra: Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water.

Department of the Environment and Energy (2018). Conservation advice (incorporating ) listing advice) for the Coastal Swamp Oak (*Casuarina glauca*) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland ecological community. Canberra: Department of the Environment and Energy.

Department of Environment and Resource Management (2012). Koala Coast Koala Population Report 2010.

Department of Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning (2017) ShapingSEQ - South East Queensland Regional Plan 2017. Queensland Government, Brisbane.

Dique, D. S., Thompson, J., Preece, H. J., de Villiers, D. L., & Carrick, F. N. (2003). Dispersal patterns in a regional koala population in south-east Queensland. Wildlife Research, 30(3), 281-290.

Eyre TJ, Smith GC, Venz MF, Mathieson MT, Hogan LD, Starr, C, Winter, J and McDonald, K 2022, Guide to greater glider habitat in Queensland, report prepared for the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, Canberra, Department of Environment and Science, Queensland Government, Brisbane. Oc BY 4.0.

#### IUCN (2019) IUCN Red List

Kjeldsen SR, Raadsma HW, Leigh KA, Tobey JR, Phalen D, Krockenberger A, Ellis WA, Hynes E, Higgins DP & Zenger KR (2019). Genomic comparisons reveal biogeographic and Phascolarctos cinereus (Koala) Conservation Advice Threatened Species Scientific *Committee 36 anthropogenic (mpacts in) the koala* (Phascolarctos cinereus): a dietaryspecialist species distributed across heterogeneous environments. Heredity 122,525-544.

Lee E, Madden K. and Wilmott L Application of the koala spotlighting survey method in the Campbelltown area for estimates of koala densities and total population size. In The Abstracts, Australian Mammal Society Conference 2019.

https://australianmannals.org.au/conferences/conference-2019.

Norman, J. A., Rhillins, S./S., Blackmore, C. J., Goldingay, R., & Christidis, L. (2019). Integrating measures of long-distance dispersal into vertebrate conservation planning: scaling relationships and parentage-based dispersal analysis in the koala. Conservation Genetics, 20(5), 1163-1174.

Phillips Stephen & Callaghan, John. (2011). The Spot Assessment Technique: A tool for determining localised levels of habitat use by Koalas Phascolarctos cinereus. Australian Zoologist, 35. 10.7882/AZ.2011.029.

Raptor Environmental (2023) Ecological Assessment Report – Birkdale Community Precinct, Brisbane.

Raptor

ENVIRONMENTAL

Redlands City Council. N.d. Biodiversity Research Projects – Greater Glider.

Redland City Council (2021) Redlands Coast Koala Conservation Plan 2022-2027, (online) https://www.redland.qld.gov.au/download/downloads/id/4743/redlands_coast_koala_conserv ation_plan_2022-2027.pdf

Redland City Council (2021b) Redlands Coast Koala Conservation Action Plan 2022-2027, (online)

https://www.redland.qld.gov.au/download/downloads/id/4744/redlands_coast_koala_conserv ation_action_plan_2022-2027.pdf

Rhodes, JR, Beyer, HL, Preece, HJ & McAlpine, CA 2015, South East Queensland koala population modelling study, UniQuest, Brisbane.

SPP (2022) Bushfire Hazard Area Map. State Planning Policy.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2001). Commonwealth Listing Advice on *Pteropus poliocephalus* (Grey-headed Flying-fox).

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2019). Conservation Advice *Hirundapus caudacutus* White-throated Needletail. Canberra: Department of the Environment and Energy.

Tarburton, M.K. (1993). Radiotracking a White-throated Needletail to roost. Emu. 93:121--124.

Tarburton, M.K. (2014). Status of the White-throated Needletail *Hirundapus caudacutus* in Australia: Evidence for a marked decline. Australian field Ornithology 31, 122-140.

USC. (2021) Detection Dogs for Conservation Birkelale Community Precinct Project Koala survey report. University of the Sunshine Coast

Whisson, D. A., Zylinski, S., Ferrari, A., Yokochi, K., & Ashman, K. R. (2020). Patchy resources and multiple threats: How do koalas navigate an urban landscape?. Landscape and Urban Planning, 201, 103854.

Youngentob, K.N, Marsh, K.F., Skewes, J., A review of koala habitat assessment criteria and methods, report prepared for the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, Canberra, November. CC BY 4.0.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Setout Point Table (MGA94)			
Point	Eastings	Northings	
SP1	519787.736	6956878.543	
SP2	519789.622	6956877.554	
SP3	519790.5857	6956872.459	
SP4	519783.1479	6956872.911	
SP5	519761.5032	6956871.542	
SP6	519765.5111	6956876.734	
SP7	519773.5844	6956876.344	
SP8	519775.5672	6956877.388	
SP9	519784.2783	6956878.506	
-			
Vegetation C	ommunity Descripti	on	
Permanent Disturbance Area - Marine Plants			
Permanent D	sturbance Area - Mar		
Permanent Di Community 1	- Actively eroding bar	nk with scattered Grey	
Permanent D Community 1 Mangrove wit	- Actively eroding bar h Salt couch, Mangro	nk with scattered Grey ve fern and bare soil.	
Permanent D Community 1 Mangrove wit Permanent Di	- Actively eroding bar h Salt couch, Mangro sturbance Area - Clea	nk with scattered Grey ve fern and bare soil. ared	
Permanent D Community 1 Mangrove wit Permanent D Community 2	- Actively eroding bar h Salt couch, Mangro isturbance Area - Clea - Actively eroding cle	hk with scattered Grey ve fern and bare soil. ared ared area with bare soil.	
Permanent D Community 1 Mangrove wit Permanent D Community 2 Adjacent Veg	- Actively eroding bar h Salt couch, Mangro isturbance Area - Clea - Actively eroding cle etation - To be avoide	hk with scattered Grey ve fern and bare soil. ared ared area with bare soil.	
Permanent D Community 1 Mangrove wit Permanent D Community 2 Adjacent Veg Community 3	- Actively eroding bar h Salt couch, Mangro isturbance Area - Clea - Actively eroding cle etation - To be avoide - Grey mangrove and	nk with scattered Grey ve fern and bare soil. ared ared area with bare soil. ed I River mangrove	
Permanent Di Community 1 Mangrove wit Permanent Di Community 2 Adjacent Veg Community 3 overtopped w	- Actively eroding bar h Salt couch, Mangro isturbance Area - Clea - Actively eroding cle etation - To be avoide - Grey mangrove and ith Eucalypt Woodlan	hk with scattered Grey ve fern and bare soil. ared ared area with bare soil. d I River mangrove d to Open Forest	
Permanent D Community 1 Mangrove wit Permanent D Community 2 Adjacent Veg Community 3 overtopped w Community 4	- Actively eroding bar h Salt couch, Mangro isturbance Area - Clea - Actively eroding cle etation - To be avoide - Grey mangrove and ith Eucalypt Woodlan - Forest red gum and	hk with scattered Grey ve fern and bare soil. ared ared area with bare soil. ed I River mangrove d to Open Forest Northern grey ironbark	
Permanent Di Community 1 Mangrove wit Permanent Di Community 2 Adjacent Veg Community 3 overtopped w Community 4 over a native	- Actively eroding bar h Salt couch, Mangro isturbance Area - Clea - Actively eroding cle etation - To be avoide - Grey mangrove and ith Eucalypt Woodlan - Forest red gum and understorey	hk with scattered Grey ve fern and bare soil. ared ared area with bare soil. d I River mangrove d to Open Forest Northern grey ironbark	

### Legend

Conservation Area Boundary

Impact Area

Existing informal track - - -

• Setout Point

0.5 m Surface Contours (derived from survey data)

— Highest Astronomical Tide (C/-State of Qld)

Vegetation Community

Permanent Disturbance Area - Marine Plants (159m2)

### Community 1

Permanent Disturbance Area - Cleared (262.8m2)

Community 2 Adjacent Vegetation - To be avoided

Community 3

Community 4

Imagery: MetroMap 2022

Project Birkdale Community Precinct Proposed Kayak Launch Area

Client: Redlands City Council C/- Jacobs Title Marine Plant Disturbance Plan

Drawn M.T.

a Checked D.F. NVIRONM

284	ľ
В	(
А	2
REV	

•

2 SP146445

Vo_oSP8

Tingalpa Creek



#### Page 214 of 214