Date of	Name of	Summary of Complaint	Date of	Decision	Reason for dismissal Section 150DZ	Reason for decision Section 150DY	Decision Maker
Complaint	Councillor ¹		Decision				
11/12/20	Paul Gleeson	It is alleged that on 21 April 2019, Councillor Paul Gleeson engaged in misconduct as defined in section 150L(1)(b)(i) of the <i>Local Government Act</i> 2009 (the Act), in that the conduct constituted a breach of trust placed in him as a councillor, either knowingly or recklessly, in that his conduct was inconsistent with the responsibilities of councillors as specified in section 12(3)(b) of the Act.	17/05/22	Misconduct		The Tribunal has determined, on the balance of probabilities, that the allegation that on 21 April 2019, Councillor Paul Gleeson engaged in misconduct is sustained and orders that, within 60 days of the day that Councillor Paul Gleeson is issued with this decision and reasons, pursuant to section 150AR(1)(b)(iv) of the Act, he must pay to the Local Government the amount of 15 penalty units (\$2,067.75). For further details and the full Decision Notice <u>click here</u> .	Councillor Conduct Tribunal
						Note: Council received advice from the Department of State Development, Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning that Council is not responsible for issuing the reprimand contained in the Tribunal orders as Paul Gleeson is no longer a serving Councillor.	
11/12/20	Paul Gleeson	It is alleged that on 21 July 2019, Councillor Paul Gleeson engaged in misconduct as defined in section 150L(1)(b)(i) of <i>the Local Government Act</i> 2009 (the Act), in that the conduct constituted a breach of trust placed in him as a councillor, either knowingly or recklessly, in that his conduct was inconsistent with the responsibilities of councillors as specified in section 12(3)(b) of the Act.	17/5/22	Misconduct		The Tribunal has determined, on the balance of probabilities, that the allegation that on 21 July 2019, Councillor Paul Gleeson engaged in misconduct is sustained orders that, within 60 days of the day that Councillor Paul Gleeson is issued with this decision and reasons, pursuant to section 150AR(1)(b)(iv) of the Act, he must pay to the Local Government the amount of 20 penalty units (\$2,757.00). For further details and the full Decision Notice <u>click here</u> .	Councillor Conduct Tribunal
						Note: Council received advice from the Department of State Development, Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning that Council is not responsible for issuing the reprimand contained in the Tribunal orders as Paul Gleeson is no longer a serving Councillor.	

¹ Only to be included if the local government or conduct tribunal decided that the Councillor engaged in inappropriate conduct, or where the Councillor agrees to their name being included in the register (section 150DY(3) Local Government Act 2009

11/12/20	Paul Gleeson	It is alleged that between 19 December 2019 and 20 March 2020, Councillor Paul Gleeson engaged in misconduct as defined in section 150L(1)(c)(i) of the <i>Local Government Act 2009</i> , in that the conduct contravened an order of the conduct tribunal.	17/5/22	Misconduct	The Tribunal has determined, o probabilities, that the allegation December 2019 and 20 March 2 Gleeson engaged in misconduct that, within 60 days of the day is issued with this decision and section 150AR(1)(b)(iv) of the A Government the amount of 5 p For further details and the full I Note: Council received advice fr Development, Infrastructure, Lo Planning that Council is not resp
					reprimand contained in the Trib is no longer a serving Councillor
11/12/20	Paul Gleeson	It is alleged that between 30 November 2019 and 29 March 2020, Councillor Paul Gleeson engaged in misconduct as defined in section 150L(1)(c)(i) of the <i>Local Government Act 2009</i> , by contravening an order of the conduct tribunal.	17/5/22	Not Sustained	The Tribunal has determined, o probabilities, that the allegation November 2019 and 29 March engaged in misconduct as defin the <i>Local Government Act 2009</i> of the conduct tribunal is not su For further details and the full f
11/12/20	Pau Gleeson	It is alleged that between 30 November 2019 and 29 March 2020, Councillor Paul Gleeson engaged in misconduct as defined in section 150L(1)(c)(i) of the <i>Local Government Act 2009</i> , in that the conduct contravenes an order of the conduct tribunal.	17/5/22	Not Sustained	The Tribunal has determined, o probabilities, that the allegation November 2019 and 29 March engaged in misconduct as defin the <i>Local Government Act 2009</i> contravenes an order of the con sustained For further details and the full f
03/06/20	Lance Hewlett	It is alleged that between 21 March 2017 and 14 May 2017, Councillor Lance Hewlett engaged in misconduct as defined in section 176(3)(b)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 (the Act), in that his conduct involved a breach of the trust placed in him as a councillor, in that it was inconsistent with the local government principle 4(2)(e) of the Act being 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees' in that Councillor Lance Hewlett failed to comply with section 171B(2) of the Act.	12/05/22	Misconduct	The Tribunal has determined, o probabilities, that between 21 I 2017, Councillor Lance Hewlett defined in section 176(3)(b)(ii) of Act 2009 (the Act), in that his co the trust placed in him as a cou The Tribunal orders that, withir Councillor Lance Hewlett is issu reasons, pursuant to section 19 must make an admission that h during a General Meeting of Co meeting is open to members of For further details and the full I

on the balance of	Councillor
on that between 19	Conduct
2020, Councillor Paul	Tribunal
ct is sustained and orders	
that Councillor Paul Gleeson	
reasons, pursuant to	
Act, he must pay to the Local	
penalty units (\$689.25).	
Decision Notice <u>click here</u> .	
from the Department of State	
ocal Government and	
sponsible for issuing the	
bunal orders as Paul Gleeson	
or.	
on the balance of	Councillor
on that between 30	Conduct
2020, Councillor Gleeson,	Tribunal
ned in section 150L(1)(c)(i) of	
9, by contravening an order	
sustained.	
Decision Notice <u>click here</u>	
on the balance of	Councillor
on that between 30	Conduct
2020, Councillor Gleeson,	Tribunal
ned in section 150L(1)(c)(i) of	
<i>9</i> , in that the conduct	
onduct tribunal is not	
Decision Notice <u>click here</u>	
on the balance of	Councillor
March 2017 and 14 May	Conduct
, t engaged in misconduct as	Tribunal
of the Local Government	
conduct involved a breach of	
uncillor.	
n 60 days of the day that	
ued with this decision and	
L50AR(1)(b)(i) of the Act, he	
he engaged in misconduct	
ouncil at a time when the	
of the public.	
Decision Notice <u>click here</u>	

03/06/20	Lance	It is alleged that between 19 May 2017	12/05/22	Misconduct	The Tribunal has determined, on the balance of	Councillor
	Hewlett	and 24 April 2020, Councillor Lance			probabilities, that between 19 May 2017 and 13 February	Conduct
		Hewlett engaged in misconduct as			2018, Councillor Lance Hewlett engaged in misconduct as	Tribunal
		defined in section 176(3)(b)(ii) of the			defined in section 176(3)(b)(ii) of the Local Government	
		Local Government Act 2009 (the Act), in			Act 2009 (the Act), in that his conduct involved a breach of	
		that his conduct involved a breach of the			the trust placed in him as a councillor, in that it was	
		trust placed in him as a councillor, in that			inconsistent with the local government principle 4(2)(e) of	
		it was inconsistent with the local			the Act being 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors	
		government principle 4(2)(e) of the Act			and local government employees' in that Councillor	
		being 'ethical and legal behaviour of			Hewlett failed to comply with section 171B(2) of the Act.	
		councillors and local government employees' in that Councillor Lance			The Tribunal orders that, within 60 days of the day that	
		Hewlett failed to comply with section 171B(2) of the Act.			Councillor Lance Hewlett is issued with this decision and	
		171B(2) 01 the Act.			reasons:	
					a. pursuant to section 150AR(1)(b)(i) of the Act, Councillor	
					Lance Hewlett must make an admission that he	
					engaged in misconduct during a General Meeting of	
					Council at a time when the meeting is open to	
					members of the public. The admission must make	
					specific reference to the fact that Councillor Lance	
					Hewlett was elected as Deputy Mayor at that time;	
					b. pursuant to section 150AR(1)(b)(iii) of the Act,	
					Councillor Lance Hewlett must attend training or	
					counselling at his own expense on how to address his	
					misconduct, with specific reference to the proper	
					identification, assessment and declaration of matters	
					required in a Register of Interests.	
					For further details and the full Decision Notice click here	
03/06/20	Lance	It is alleged that on 10 May 2017,	12/05/22	Misconduct	The Tribunal has determined, on the balance of	Councillor
	Hewlett	Councillor Lance Hewlett engaged in			probabilities, that on 10 May 2017, Councillor Lance	Conduct
		misconduct as defined by section			Hewlett engaged in misconduct as defined by section	Tribunal
		176(3)(b)(ii) of the Local Government Act			176(3)(b)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 (the Act), in	
		2009 (the Act), in that his conduct			that his conduct involved a breach of trust placed in the	
		involved a breach of trust placed in the			councillor, in that it was inconsistent with the local	
					government principles in section 4(2)(a) 'transparent and	
		councillor, in that it was inconsistent with			government principles in section 4(2)(a) transparent and	
		councillor, in that it was inconsistent with the local government principles in section			effective processes, and decision making in the public	
		the local government principles in section			effective processes, and decision making in the public	
		the local government principles in section 4(2)(a) 'transparent and effective			effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of	
		the local government principles in section 4(2)(a) 'transparent and effective processes, and decision making in the			effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that	
		the local government principles in section 4(2)(a) 'transparent and effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors			effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that Councillor Lance Hewlett did not deal with a real or	
		the local government principles in section 4(2)(a) 'transparent and effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e)			effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that Councillor Lance Hewlett did not deal with a real or perceived conflict of interest in a transparent and	
		the local government principles in section 4(2)(a) 'transparent and effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that Councillor Lance Hewlett did not deal			effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that Councillor Lance Hewlett did not deal with a real or perceived conflict of interest in a transparent and accountable way as required by section 173(4) of the Act.	
		the local government principles in section 4(2)(a) 'transparent and effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that Councillor Lance Hewlett did not deal with a real or perceived conflict of			effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that Councillor Lance Hewlett did not deal with a real or perceived conflict of interest in a transparent and accountable way as required by section 173(4) of the Act. The Tribunal orders that, within 60 days of the day that	
		the local government principles in section 4(2)(a) 'transparent and effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that Councillor Lance Hewlett did not deal with a real or perceived conflict of interest in a transparent and accountable			effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that Councillor Lance Hewlett did not deal with a real or perceived conflict of interest in a transparent and accountable way as required by section 173(4) of the Act. The Tribunal orders that, within 60 days of the day that Councillor Lance Hewlett is issued with this decision and	
		the local government principles in section 4(2)(a) 'transparent and effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that Councillor Lance Hewlett did not deal with a real or perceived conflict of			effective processes, and decision making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that Councillor Lance Hewlett did not deal with a real or perceived conflict of interest in a transparent and accountable way as required by section 173(4) of the Act. The Tribunal orders that, within 60 days of the day that	

					Council at a time when the meeting is open to members of the public.	
02/06/20	1	It is allocated that an 4 December 2010	42/05/22	D dia a su shu st	For further details and the full Decision Notice <u>click here</u>	Courseillen
03/06/20	Lance	It is alleged that on 4 December 2019,	12/05/22	Misconduct	The Tribunal has determined, on the balance of	Councillor
03/00/20	Hewlett	Councillor Lance Hewlett engaged in misconduct as defined in section 150L(1)(b)(i) of the Local Government Act 2009 (the Act), in that his conduct involved a breach of the trust placed in him as a councillor, either knowingly or recklessly, in that it was inconsistent with the local government principles 4(2)(a), 'transparent and effective processes and decision-making in the public interest' and or 4(2)(e), 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that Councillor Lance Hewlett did not inform the meeting about his personal interests in the matter as required by section 175E(2) of the Act.			 Interminating that on 4 December 2019, Councillor Lance probabilities, that on 4 December 2019, Councillor Lance Hewlett engaged in misconduct as defined in section 150L(1)(b)(i) of the Local Government Act 2009 (the Act), in that his conduct involved a breach of the trust placed in him as a councillor, either knowingly or recklessly, in that it was inconsistent with the local government principles 4(2)(a), 'transparent and effective processes and decision- making in the public interest' and or 4(2)(e), 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees', in that Councillor Lance Hewlett did not inform the meeting about his personal interests in the matter as required by section 175E(2) of the Act. The Tribunal orders that, within 60 days of the day that Councillor Lance Hewlett is issued with this decision and reasons: a. pursuant to section 150AR(1)(b)(i) of the Act, Councillor Lance Hewlett must make an admission that he engaged in misconduct during a General Meeting of Council at a time when the meeting is open to 	Conduct Tribunal
					 specific reference to the fact that Councillor Lance Hewlett was elected as Deputy Mayor at that time; b. pursuant to section 150AR(1)(b)(iii) of the Act, Councillor Lance Hewlett must attend training or counselling at his own expense on how to address his misconduct, with specific reference to the proper identification, assessment and declaration of matters required in a Register of Interests. 	
13/4/22		It was alleged a councillor had engaged in	4/5/22	No Further	The OIA decided to take no further action pursuant to	Office of the
13/4/22		inappropriate conduct by acting in an aggressive intimidating and disrespectful manner towards other councillors and had displayed a constant pattern of unfair	4/3/22	Action	section 150Y(b)(iii) of the Local Government Act 2009 [the Act] on the basis that taking further action would be an unjustifiable use of resources.	Independent Assessor
		and biased behaviour towards other councillors in workshops and meetings of council.			The OIA has viewed relevant meeting recordings and did not consider the councillor's conduct to reach the threshold of inappropriate conduct or misconduct.	
		It was further alleged the councillor and			In relation to the use of mobile phones during meetings, council's standing orders do not expressly prevent this	
		other unnamed councillors are constantly on their mobile phone during meetings			from occurring. The OIA recommended that council may wish to consider amendment to the standing orders to address the issue.	

		texting each other or viewing social media.				
13/4/21		It was alleged a Councillor engaged in misconduct when they failed to declare a declarable conflict of interest in a matter before council, during a General Council Meeting in November 2020. The matter was related to funding for a carpark to be developed at a park in Redland Bay. It was alleged the Councillor had a relationship with members of an organisation who operated a community facility in a park where council was proposing to develop a carpark.		No Further Action		The OIA decided to take not section 150Y(b)(iii) of the Local Act) on the basis that taking f unjustifiable use of resources. The relationship between two may have raised a declarable of the matter under consideration the individuals and was more considered the wording of sect which requires a councillor to they have a declarable conflict order for the obligations conta section 150EQ to be enlivened a to prove that the councillor had
20/03/22		It is alleged a councillor engaged in inappropriate conduct or misconduct in a council meeting and in dealing with a member of the public. It was also alleged that a councillor had not declared electoral donations.	14/04/22	Dismissed	The OIA dismissed this matter pursuant to section 150X(a)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 as the complaint did not raise a reasonable suspicion that the councillor had engaged in inappropriate conduct or misconduct either in a council meeting or in their dealings with the member of the public. The allegation about an undeclared electoral donation was not direct information and was a third hand account. As such it did not raise a reasonable suspicion.	
23/3/22		A Councillor self-referred a breach of the code of conduct at an ordinary Council meeting by arguing and not complying with the direction of the Chair.	8/4/22	No Further Action		The OIA decided to take no f section 150Y(b)(iii) of the Local Act] on the basis that the of reasonable suspicion of in misconduct within the meaning While the conduct may have brea it occurred during a council ordi Unsuitable meeting conduct is the to deal with according to section occurs, and is not within the OIA
25/9/19	Withheld	It is alleged that a Councillor failed to declare a personal interest in a matter at the General Meeting of Council held on 11 September 2019 as required by section 175E(2) of the Local Government Act 2009 (repealed integrity offence provision).	23/3/22	Not Sustained		The Tribunal has determine probabilities, that the allegation by section 150L(1)(b)(i) of the L has not been sustained and the have engaged in misconduct. The Tribunal considered all circu for the alleged conduct and all re and formed the view that the Co or recklessly' act in a way that local government principles.

further action pursuant to al Government Act 2009 (the further action would be an	Office of the Independent Assessor
o individuals and a councillor conflict of interest however n was not directly relevant to ore remote. The OIA also ction 150EQ(1)(b) of the Act o have "become aware" that ct of interest in a matter, in tained in the other parts of and in this case the inability d that subjective knowledge.	
	Office of the Independent Assessor
further action pursuant to al Government Act 2009 [the conduct does not raise a inappropriate conduct or g of the Act. reached the code of conduct, dinary meeting. the responsibility of the Chair	Office of the Independent Assessor
ion 1501 of the Act with as it IA's jurisdiction.	Courseiller
ned, on the balance of on of misconduct as defined Local Government Act 2009 e Councillor was not found to	Councillor Conduct Tribunal
cumstances and explanations relevant provisions of the Act Councillor did not 'knowingly at was inconsistent with the	

			1			
						The alleged conduct was foun failure to declare a conflict meeting. The Tribunal considered direct result of the deletion Register of Interests by a Cound within the provisions of sectio were not "within the contr Councillor), nor had the omiss been brought to the attenti Councillor prior to or when the meeting. Accordingly and pursuant to the section 4(1)(b)(ii) of the Act to Councillor was found to be not consequently not held to be if Government principles, section 4(2)(e) as alleged. Consequently the Tribunal four breach the trust placed in the "knowingly or recklessly" (s1500
						For further details and the full D
1/2/21		It is alleged that a councillor failed to declare a declarable conflict of interest as required by section 150EQ of the Local Government Act 2009.	18/3/22	Dismissed	The OIA dismissed this matter pursuant to section 150X(c)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 ('the Act') on the basis that taking further action would be an unjustifiable use of resources. In making that decision, the OIA considered that the wording of section 150EQ(1)(b) of the Act creates significant evidentiary difficulties, such that prosecution of this matter before the Councillor Conduct Tribunal would not have reasonable prospects of success.	
23/2/22		It was alleged that a councillor had breached the Code of Conduct for Councillors in Queensland when responding to, and referring, a resident's enquiry to the Council's legal section.	8/3/22	Dismissed	The OIA dismissed this matter pursuant to section 150X(a)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 as the conduct does not raise a reasonable suspicion of inappropriate conduct of misconduct Where a constituent has an ongoing legal claim or action against council it is appropriate for Councillors, council staff and or the Council Customer Service Centre to refer enquiries made by that constituent to the Councils legal services team to deal with.	
10/12/19	Tracey Huges	It is alleged that on 7 December 2019, Councillor Tracey Huges, engaged in misconduct as defined in section 150L(1)(b)(ii) of the Local Government Act		Misconduct		The Tribunal has determine probabilities, that on 7 Decemb Huges, engaged in miscondu 150L(1)(b)(ii) of the Local Gover

nd to have arisen from the of interest at the Council red the conduct occurred as a of part of the Councillor's ncil Officer. Those actions fell on 4(1)(b)(ii) of the Act and trol of the person" (the sion of parts of the register tion or knowledge of the they attended the Council the alleged conduct of the t within their control and was inconsistent with the Local ion 4(2)(a) and/or section	
ound the Councillor did not them as a Councillor either DL(1)(b)(i)).	
Decision Notice <u>click here</u>	
	Office of the Independent Assessor
	Office of the Independent Assessor
ned, on the balance of nber 2019, Councillor Tracey luct as defined in section ernment Act 2009 (the Act).	Councillor Conduct Tribunal

	2009 (the Act), in that her conduct involved a misuse of information or material acquired in, or in connection with, the performance of the councillor's functions, whether the misuse was of the benefit of the councillor or for the benefit, or to the detriment, of another person.				 Pursuant to section 150AR(1) or made the following orders: Within 60 days of the date that Orders are given to her by the R (a) Councillor Huges attend Councillor's conduct (at he training must include procouncillor Code of Conduct Records appropriately (purst the Act); and Councillor Code of Conduct Records appropriately (purst the Act); and Councillor Co
23/1/22	It was alleged that at a Council Workshop, a Councillor influenced others in relation to a development application in which the Councillor had a declarable conflict of interest. The interest being that the Councillor had previously made a submission against the development application.	24/1/22	No further action		Following an investigation the further action pursuant to sect Government Act 2009 (the Act further action would be an unj The OIA were unable to identif independent recollection of the the relevant Workshop, which w Further, the Councillor self-refe and stated that they were no submitter at the time of the wo aware, they declared an interest Meeting. While the OIA is of the view that taken reasonable steps to be Workshop, the means in which t available to Councillors was via Council. The Councillor was also against the application and the refused by Council. Taking all of account a decision was made to respect of the matter.
4/1/22	It was alleged that a councillor misled the community by stating that a local group had been defunded by the state government.		Dismissed	The OIA dismissed this matter pursuant to section 150X(c)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009, on the basis that further dealing with the complaint would be an unjustifiable use of resources. Funding had been allocated to another group following a procurement process.	

of the Act, the Tribunal has	
at a copy of this Decision and Registrar: I training to address the her expense), where such roper consideration of the loct and Dealing with Council rsuant to s150AR(1)(b)(iii) of Conduct Tribunal GPO Box	
e the local government \$300 her misconduct (pursuant to).	
Decision Notice <u>click here</u>	Office of the
ne OIA decided to take no ction 150Y(b)(iii) of the Local act) on the basis that taking njustifiable use of resources. tify other individuals with an e Councillor's participation at was not recorded.	Office of the Independent Assessor
ferred the matter to the OIA not aware that they were a orkshop. When they become est at the subsequent General	
hat the Councillor could have become aware prior to the the submitters list was made ia a relatively new system to o one of 111 other submitters he application was ultimately of these circumstances into to take no further action in	
	Office of the Independent Assessor
	1

4/42/24		0/12/21	Distingui		1
1/12/21	It is alleged a councillor breached the	8/12/21	Dismissed	The OIA dismissed this matter pursuant to section $4500(c)$ (10) of the level Covernment Act 2000	
	Code of Conduct for Councillors in			150X(a)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009	
	Queensland when the councillor			(the Act) as the conduct does not raise a reasonable	
	forwarded in an email the concerns of			suspicion of inappropriate conduct or misconduct.	
	a resident to a council officer.	0 / 1 / 10 /			
14/10/21	It was alleged a Councillor had acted	3/11/21	Dismissed	The OIA dismissed this matter pursuant to section	
	inappropriately and breached the Code of			150X(a)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 (the Act) as	
	Conduct for Councillors in Queensland as			the conduct based on the information provided did not	
	has not been neutral in engaging on			raise a reasonable suspicion of inappropriate conduct or	
	people's personal pages on social media.			misconduct as defined by the Act.	
	The complainant further alleged a				
	Councillor threatened to take legal action				
	against them for raising issues about the				
	councillor's social media posts.				
30/6/21	It was alleged that a councillor used	27/7/21	Dismissed	The OIA dismissed this matter pursuant to section	
	council owned assets and resources in the			150X(a)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 (the Act),	
	preparation of a political promotional			on the basis that the conduct does not raise a reasonable	
	video. That the councillor's video			suspicion of inappropriate conduct or misconduct. There	
	displayed a photograph which included			was insufficient information in the complaint in relation	
	other councillors, which was taken using			to the community festival to raise a reasonable suspicion	
	council staff and was on council's media			of councillor conduct.	
	promotions. That the councillor failed to				
	ask permission from other councillors to				
	use the photograph.				
	It was alleged that the councillor is heavily				
	involved in a community festival, which				
	was mentioned in the video, and the				
	festival receive funding from council.				
3/7/21	It was alleged a councillor used their	14/7/21	Dismissed	The OIA dismissed this matter pursuant to section	
	position on council to endorse a political			150X(a)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 (the Act) as	
	party nomination bid on a video, which			the conduct does not raise a reasonable suspicion of	
	implied that Council had supported the			inappropriate conduct or misconduct. The Councillor did	
	nomination			not purport to be talking on behalf of Council and it could	
				not reasonably be taken that the councillor was	
				representing the views of Council.	

Office of the Independent Assessor
Office of the Independent Assessor
Office of the Independent Assessor
Office of the Independent Assessor