



Redland
CITY COUNCIL

MINUTES

GENERAL MEETING

Wednesday, 31 October 2012

The Council Chambers
35 Bloomfield Street
CLEVELAND QLD

Table of Contents

Item	Subject	Page No
1	DECLARATION OF OPENING	1
2	DEVOTIONAL SEGMENT.....	1
3	RECOGNITION OF ACHIEVEMENT.....	1
	3.1 KEELY ASKEW WINS PRIME MINISTER'S NAIDOC MEDAL	1
	3.2 CR ELLIOTT – 15 YEAR CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	1
4	RECORD OF ATTENDANCE AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE.....	2
5	RECEIPT AND CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES.....	2
	5.1 GENERAL MEETING MINUTES 19 SEPTEMBER 2012	2
6	MATTERS OUTSTANDING FROM PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES.....	2
7	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.....	4
8	PETITIONS AND PRESENTATIONS.....	5
	8.1 PETITION (DIVISION 5) REGARDING TREES PLANTED ON FORESHORE IN HASLINGDEN DRIVE, REDLAND BAY.....	5
	8.2 PETITION (DIVISION 10) REQUESTING A WALKWAY ACROSS THE TRAFFIC ISLAND IN BIRKDALE ROAD	5
9	MOTION TO ALTER THE ORDER OF BUSINESS	6
10	DECLARATION OF MATERIAL PERSONAL INTEREST OR CONFLICT OF INTEREST ON ANY ITEMS OF BUSINESS	6
11	CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE 9 OCTOBER 2012	7
	11.1 CITY SERVICES	7
	11.1.1 PETITION (DIVISION 5) REQUEST COUNCIL BITUMEN COONDOOROOPA DRIVE, MACLEAY ISLAND	7
	11.1.2 REQUEST TO CLOSE JUDITH STREET, RUSSELL ISLAND TO THROUGH VEHICULAR TRAFFIC	10
	11.1.3 REQUEST TO CLOSE GORDON ROAD, MACLEAY ISLAND TO THROUGH VEHICULAR TRAFFIC	13
	11.1.4 COUNCIL OF MAYORS: REDLAND CITY COUNCIL STATE & REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE PRIORITY PROJECT	16

11.2	CLOSED SESSION AT COMMITTEE	19
11.2.1	COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY - INFRASTRUCTURE AGREEMENT – 299-351 HEINEMANN ROAD, MOUNT COTTON	19
11.2.2	COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY – SCHOOL AGE CARE SERVICES.....	21
11.2.3	COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY – CUSTOMER CONTACT CENTRE IMPLEMENTATION	22
11.2.4	PROPOSAL TO ESTABLISH AN AGE-FRIENDLY REDLANDS COMMITTEE	23
12	ENVIRONMENT & PLANNING COMMITTEE – 10 OCTOBER 2012.....	24
12.1	CITY PLANNING & ENVIRONMENT.....	24
12.1.1	FINAL ADOPTION OF THE REDLAND CITY PEST MANAGEMENT PLAN 2012 - 2016.....	24
12.1.2	WATERWAY RECOVERY STRATEGY, ACTION PLAN AND REPORT	27
12.1.3	BUNKER ROAD STRUCTURE PLAN	35
12.2	CLOSED SESSION AT COMMITTEE	38
12.2.1	CLEVELAND CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT INCENTIVES DISCUSSION PAPER	38
13	CORPORATE SERVICES & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE – 16 OCTOBER 2012.....	39
13.1	GOVERNANCE.....	39
13.1.1	EMPLOYEE CODE OF CONDUCT (POL-0716)	39
13.1.2	SEPTEMBER 2012 MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORTS	42
13.2	GOVERNANCE.....	45
13.2.1	SPONSORSHIP APPLICATION - ABIGAIL'S ENTERTAINMENT 'SENIOR SUPERSTAR' EVENT	45
13.3	CITY SERVICES	48
13.3.1	PETITION (DIVISION 9) REQUEST TO REMOVE LEASING FEE PLACED ON SPORTING CLUBS BASED ON THE NUMBER OF POKER MACHINES.....	48
13.4	CLOSED SESSION AT COMMITTEE	55
13.4.1	FLEET REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	55

14	REDLAND WATER AND REDWASTE COMMITTEE – 16 OCTOBER 2012.....	56
14.1	COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY	56
14.1.1	PROVISION OF A PREFERRED SUPPLIER FOR THE DESIGN, SUPPLY AND INSTALLATION OF NEW INLET SCREENING UPGRADES AT CLEVELAND WWTP - CONTRACT OVER \$500,000 INCLUDING GST	56
14.1.2	EXPRESSION OF INTEREST FOR THE SOUTH-EAST THORNLANDS SEWER PROJECT	60
14.2	REDLAND WATER AND REDWASTE.....	63
14.2.1	REDLAND WATER BUSINESS UNIT REPORT - SEPTEMBER 2012	63
14.2.2	REDWASTE BUSINESS UNIT REPORT FOR JULY TO SEPTEMBER 2012	66
14.2.3	REDLAND WATER DRAFT WATER NETSERV PLAN PART A.....	68
15	DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT & COMMUNITY STANDARDS COMMITTEE – 17 OCTOBER 2012.....	71
15.1	COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY	71
15.1.1	WATERWAY RECOVERY STRATEGY, ACTION PLAN AND REPORT	71
15.1.2	FUTURE OF THE COMMUNITY IMMUNISATION PROGRAM	79
15.2	ENVIRONMENT PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT.....	83
15.2.1	CATEGORY 1 - MINOR COMPLYING CODE ASSESSMENTS AND ASSOCIATED ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS, INCLUDING CORRESPONDENCE ASSOCIATED WITH THE ROUTINE MANAGEMENT OF ALL DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS.....	83
15.2.2	CATEGORY 2 - COMPLYING CODE ASSESSMENT AND MINOR IMPACT ASSESSMENTS.....	87
15.2.3	CATEGORY 3 - MODERATELY COMPLEX CODE AND IMPACT ASSESSMENTS.....	90
15.2.4	APPEALS LIST CURRENT AS AT 8 OCTOBER, 2012	92
15.2.5	AMENDMENTS TO FEES AND CHARGES SCHEDULE 2012-2013	96

15.3	CLOSED SESSION.....	99
15.3.1	PROPOSED CLEVELAND CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT INCENTIVES PACKAGE	99
15.3.2	COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY APPEAL 5192 OF 2011 – CLEVELAND POWER PTY LTD AT 70-96 HILLVIEW ROAD, MT COTTON	102
16	DIRECT TO COUNCIL REPORTS.....	103
16.1	CORPORATE SERVICES.....	103
16.1.1	FIRST QUARTER BUDGET REVIEW 2012/13.....	103
16.2	GOVERNANCE	107
16.2.1	EXPENSES REIMBURSEMENT AND PROVISION OF FACILITIES FOR COUNCILLORS – POL-3076 & GL-3076-001 PARTS A & B.....	107
16.3	ENVIRONMENT PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT.....	110
16.3.1	FEE WAIVER DUNWICH POST OFFICE.....	110
17	CLOSED SESSION	112
17.1	ENVIRONMENT PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT.....	113
17.1.1	APPEAL 5192 OF 2011 – CLEVELAND POWER PTY LTD AT 70-96 HILLVIEW ROAD, MT COTTON	113
18	MEETING CLOSURE	114

1 DECLARATION OF OPENING

The Mayor declared the meeting open at 10.00am and acknowledged the Quandamooka people, who are the traditional custodians of the land on which Council meets.

The Mayor also paid Council's respect to their elders, past and present, and extended that respect to other indigenous Australians who are present.

2 DEVOTIONAL SEGMENT

Rev Peter Smale, Member of the Ministers' Fellowship, led Council in a brief devotional segment.

3 RECOGNITION OF ACHIEVEMENT

3.1 KEELY ASKEW WINS PRIME MINISTER'S NAIDOC MEDAL

After reading her poem to Council, the Mayor presented Keely Askew of St Anthony's School, Alexandra Hills with a Certificate for winning the prestigious Prime Minister's NAIDOC Medal for her entry in the poetry section of the competition.

Keely's poem was chosen by the judging panel because it had a lot of meaning and for such a young student the panel thought it was truly inspirational.

The poem will be displayed alongside other winning entries in Parliament House, Canberra.

Keely said she wrote the poem after learning about Aboriginal history and culture at school and that:

"Her poem was about the need for reconciliation which meant that we learn about cultural values and then we respect each and every person and treat them like we would like to be treated.

It was exciting to learn about Boomerang throwing, dancing and didgeridoo playing, gathering food, dot painting and we were also lucky to have visitors from the Ngutana-Lui Centre to teach us about Aboriginal culture."

Keely received her medal at a special school assembly attended by Dylan Williams, Executive Director and Clinton Braddick, Executive Coordinator of NAIDOC Week Initiatives. Keely was one of only 20 students, in both secondary and primary schools, presented with a medal across Queensland.

3.2 CR ELLIOTT – 15 YEAR CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Mayor presented Cr Elliott with a Certificate from LGAQ in appreciation of service to Local Government and the State of Queensland covering 15 years as a member of the Redland City Council. The Service given to the community by Local Government members is a fundamental concept of democracy and is a vital link in the chain of Government.

Cr Elliott thanked his community for allowing him the opportunity to serve on Council for 5 terms as Councillor for Division 7.

4 RECORD OF ATTENDANCE AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Cr K Williams	Mayor
Cr A Beard	Deputy Mayor & Councillor Division 8
Cr W Boglary	Councillor Division 1
Cr C Ogilvie	Councillor Division 2 – entered at 10.03am
Cr K Hardman	Councillor Division 3
Cr L Hewlett	Councillor Division 4
Cr M Edwards	Councillor Division 5
Cr J Talty	Councillor Division 6
Cr M Elliott	Councillor Division 7
Cr P Gleeson	Councillor Division 9
Cr P Bishop	Councillor Division 10

EXECUTIVE LEADERSHIP GROUP:

Mrs S Rankin	Interim Chief Executive Officer
Mr N Clarke	General Manager Governance
Mrs T Averay	General Manager Environment Planning & Development
Mr M Drydale	General Manager Corporate Services
Mrs L Rusan	General Manager City Services
Mr G Soutar	General Manager Redland Water and RedWaste

MINUTES:

Mrs J Parfitt	Team Leader Corporate Meetings & Registers
---------------	--

5 RECEIPT AND CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

5.1 GENERAL MEETING MINUTES 19 SEPTEMBER 2012

Moved by: Cr P Gleeson
Seconded by: Cr P Bishop

That the minutes of the General Meeting of Council held on 19 September 2012 be confirmed

[General Meeting Minutes 19 September 2012](#)

CARRIED

6 MATTERS OUTSTANDING FROM PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

The Interim Chief Executive Officer presented the following items for noting:

6.1. APPEAL TO RAISE FUNDS TO PURCHASE A PIANO FOR RPAC

At the General Meeting on 14 December 2011 Council resolved that a report be prepared and presented to Council on how to establish such an appeal.

A report addressing this matter will be presented at an ensuing Corporate Services & Governance Committee.

6.2. PETITION (DIVISION 4) REQUEST FOR COUNCIL TO PUT CONTRACT WITH SCAPE SHAPE ON HOLD IMMEDIATELY UNTIL FURTHER DISCUSSION TAKES PLACE REGARDING CURRENT POSITION OF STEPS AND RAMP AT ORANA ESPLANADE

At the General Meeting on 25 January 2012 Council resolved that the petition, which reads as follows, be received and referred to a Committee or officer for consideration and a report to the local government and that the current works be suspended and deferred pending the outcome of the report and decision of Council:

“Petition from residents requesting that Council put the contract with Scape Shape on hold immediately until further discussion takes place regarding correct position of steps and ramp. Correct position of steps at GPS co-ordination – 27.34.204 and 153.18.455.

A report addressing this matter will be presented an ensuing City Services Committee meeting.

6.3. PETITION (DIVISION 9) REQUEST TO REMOVE LEASING FEE PLACED ON SPORTING CLUBS BASED ON THE NUMBER OF POKER MACHINES

At the General Meeting on 28 March 2012 Council resolved that the petition, which reads as follows, be received and referred to Corporate Services to review/investigate existing policy and prepare a report to a future Corporate Services & Governance Committee; and that the principal petitioner be advised in writing accordingly:

“Petition from residents of Redland City requesting that Council remove the leasing fee placed on sporting clubs based on the number of poker machines in their club as a result of a Council decision on 28 July 2010. This tax on Junior Sport is unfair and onerous and based on a false premise that all poker machines are profitable. It is not Local Government’s responsibility to manage gambling and it is negatively impacting on sporting clubs ability to invest in our junior sportsmen and women.”

A report addressing this matter was presented to the Corporate Services & Governance Committee meeting on 16 October 2012.

6.4. PETITION (DIVISION 5) REQUESTING COUNCIL SEAL THE NORTHERN SECTION OF COONDOOROOPA DRIVE, MACLEAY ISLAND

At the General Meeting on 25 July 2012 Council resolved that the petition, which reads as follows:

1. Be received and referred to a Committee or officer for consideration and a report to the local government;
2. Will form part of a forthcoming workshop with Council to review the SMBI sealing program; and
3. That the Principal Petitioner be advised in writing accordingly.

“Petition from residents requesting that Council seal the northern section of Coondooropa Drive, Macleay Island.

There are 4 properties – 3 with established homes fronting this section on the northern side of the road and Pats Park on the southern side. The eastern end of the road is a cul-de-sac on the shore of Moreton Bay.

Other than the residents, the road is used by an increasing number of visitors to the park, workmen in trucks for lunch and boat owners launching tinnies at the three-quarter tide ramp.

The dust created from these vehicles is exacerbated by onshore winds from the south-east and north-east which funnel up this road.”

A report addressing this matter was presented to the City Services Committee on 9 October 2012

6.5. PETITION (DIVISION 3) REQUEST THAT COUNCIL UPGRADE WILLIAM STUART PARK IN THORNLANDS

At the General Meeting on 29 August 2012 Council resolved that the petition, which reads as follows, be received and referred to a Committee or officer for consideration and a report to the local government and that the principal petitioner be advised in writing accordingly.

“Petition from residents requesting that Council upgrade William Stuart Park in Thornlands by adding a family recreational area with some BBQ’s, more tables and chairs, better and younger play equipment for littler children, for example slides, merry-go-round, a better and safer see-saw and swings. Upgrade could also include a full-time surveillance camera.”

A report addressing this matter will be presented to an ensuing City Services Committee meeting.

7 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

MOTION TO ADJOURN MEETING

Moved by: Cr M Elliott
Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

That Council adjourn the meeting for a 15 minute public participation segment.

CARRIED

1. Mr N Pitt of Russell Island addressed Council in relation to ten Blackbutt Eucalypt trees on Council property adjoining the northern boundary of his block.

The following speakers addressed Council on Item 15.3.2 – *Appeal 5192 of 2011 – Cleveland Power Pty Ltd at 70-96 Hillview Road, Mount Cotton:*

2. Mr D Baxter, President of the Alexandra Hills and representing the Birkdale Progress Association;
3. Mrs T Bowler, resident and representing residents of Mt Cotton;
4. Mr G Hillier of Thornlands;

Moved by: Cr C Ogilvie
Seconded by: Cr W Boglary

That Council extend the public participation segment.

CARRIED

5. Mr D Bray of Cleveland Power Pty Ltd.

MOTION TO RESUME MEETING

Moved by: Cr A Beard

Seconded by: Cr J Talty

That the meeting proceedings resume.

CARRIED

8 PETITIONS AND PRESENTATIONS**8.1 PETITION (DIVISION 5) REGARDING TREES PLANTED ON FORESHORE IN HASLINGDEN DRIVE, REDLAND BAY****COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr M Edwards

Seconded by: Cr A Beard

That the petition, which reads as follows,

1. Be received and referred to a Committee or officer for consideration and a report to the local government; and
2. That the Principal Petitioner be advised in writing.

“Petition from residents regarding trees planted on foreshore in Haslingden Drive, Redland Bay.”

CARRIED

8.2 PETITION (DIVISION 10) REQUESTING A WALKWAY ACROSS THE TRAFFIC ISLAND IN BIRKDALE ROAD**COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr P Bishop

Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

That the petition, which reads as follows,

1. Not be received and that the petition should be submitted to the relevant agency or entity that has jurisdiction over the matter the petition relates to: i.e., State Controlled Road; and
2. That the principal petitioner be advised in writing that the petition needs to be lodged directly to the State – Department of Transport and Main Roads – for action.

“Petition from residents requesting a walkway across the traffic island in Birkdale Road, level with the road opposite Wellington Manor to allow residents with walkers, battery operated carts and/or other disabilities to be able to cross to the other side of the road in safety.”

CARRIED

9 MOTION TO ALTER THE ORDER OF BUSINESS**9.1 MOTION 1 - ITEM 15.3.2**

Moved by: Cr M Elliott
Seconded by: Cr W Boglary

That Item 15.3.2 (as listed on the Agenda) - *Appeal 5192 of 2011 – Cleveland Power Pty Ltd at 70-96 Hillview Road, Mount Cotton* – be discussed as the first item of business.

On being put to the vote the motion was LOST.

DIVISION:

FOR: Crs Bishop, Gleeson, Elliott, Ogilvie and Boglary

AGAINST: Crs Beard, Talty, Edwards, Hewlett, Hardman and Williams

9.2 MOTION 2 – ITEM 15.3.2 – 11.04am

Moved by: Cr P Bishop
Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

That this item be discussed in closed session as the last item of business as Item 17.1.1.

CARRIED

10 DECLARATION OF MATERIAL PERSONAL INTEREST OR CONFLICT OF INTEREST ON ANY ITEMS OF BUSINESS

Nil.

COUNCILLOR ABSENCES DURING MEETING

Cr Ogilvie entered the meeting at 10.03am.

Cr Elliott left the meeting at 11.13am and returned at 11.15am during closed session.

11 CITY SERVICES COMMITTEE 9 OCTOBER 2012

Moved by: Cr W Boglary
Seconded by: Cr L Hewlett

That the City Services Committee Minutes of 9 October 2012 be received and items resolved under delegated authority be noted.

[City Services Committee Minutes 9 October 2012](#)

CARRIED

ITEMS RESOLVED UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY

Item 11.2.1 – Infrastructure Agreement – 299-351 Heinemann Road, Mount Cotton

Item 11.2.2 – School Age Care Services

Item 11.2.3 – Customer Contact Centre Implementation

11.1 CITY SERVICES**11.1.1 PETITION (DIVISION 5) REQUEST COUNCIL BITUMEN COONDOOROOPA DRIVE, MACLEAY ISLAND**

Datworks Filename: RTT: Public Response – Complaints - Road Sealing

Attachment: [Map - Coondooroopa Drive](#)

Responsible Officer: Murray Erbs
Manager City Infrastructure

Author: Luke Gillis
Advisor Capital Works

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A petition was received by Council requesting that Council bitumen Coondooroopa Drive, Macleay Island. At the General Meeting of 28 March 2012, Council resolved that the petition be received and referred to the appropriate area for consideration and a report back to Council.

The July 2012 petition had a total of 10 signatures. Of these 10 signatures, 4 were from this unsealed section of Coondooroopa Drive, 4 from the sealed section of Coondooroopa Drive, with two remaining signatures from Wirralee Street and Timothy Street, Macleay Island.

PURPOSE

To prepare a response to a petition from residents requesting that Council seal Coondooroopa Drive, Macleay Island.

BACKGROUND

A number of residents in Coondooroopa Drive have requested that the remaining section of Coondooroopa Drive adjacent to Pats Park be formalised through road construction and sealing to reduce and manage dust and stormwater washouts.

Coondooroopa has been assessed and has been identified to have a low priority for future road construction and sealing. This project has yet to be presented and adopted by Council and consequently is not identified in any future capital budget. It has an estimated cost of \$100,000.

The criteria for determining priority for sealing of roads on SMBI are as follows:

- Road hierarchy
- Number of properties that use the road on a regular basis
- Volume of traffic in relation to other roads
- Major drainage problems creating the need for continuous high cost regular maintenance
- Condition of the existing gravel road [Including shape, potholing, dust issues, etc.]
- Whether it is used by commercial traffic, a bus route, or is an access to a popular destination

ISSUES

Council has been committed to sealing roads on the Southern Moreton Bay Islands (SMBI). This is dependent upon the available allocated budget towards this road construction program and the adopted criteria used for road construction.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

5. Wise planning and design

We will carefully manage population pressures and use land sustainably while advocating and taking steps to determine limits of growth and carrying capacity on a local and national basis, recognising environmental sensitivities and the distinctive character, heritage and atmosphere of local communities. A well-planned network of urban, rural and bushland areas and responsive infrastructure and transport systems will support strong, healthy communities.

5.12 Plan, provide and advocate for essential physical and social infrastructure that supports community well-being and manage Council's existing infrastructure assets to ensure current service standards are maintained or improved

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The criteria for determining priority of sealing of roads on SMBI is currently under review. This project will need to be prioritised against competing SMBI Capital road sealing projects.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

It is considered that the outcome of recommendations in this report will not require any amendment.

CONSULTATION

The Divisional Councillor, Cr Mark Edwards motioned to move this petition at the General Meeting of 25 July 2012.

OPTIONS**PREFERRED**

That Council resolve as follows:

1. That the sealing of Coondooropa Drive be dealt with in the review being undertaken on criteria to determine the priority order to seal roads on the SMBI; and
2. That the principal petitioner be advised in writing.

ALTERNATIVE

No alternative option recommended.

**OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr W Boglary

Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

That Council resolve as follows:

1. That the sealing of Coondooropa Drive be dealt with in the review being undertaken on criteria to determine the priority order to seal roads on the SMBI; and
2. That the principal petitioner be advised in writing.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

11.1.2 REQUEST TO CLOSE JUDITH STREET, RUSSELL ISLAND TO THROUGH VEHICULAR TRAFFIC

Dataworks Filename: RTT: Public Response - Complaints - Road Sealing

Attachment: [Site of Proposed Road Closure](#)

Responsible Officer: Murray Erbs
Manager City Infrastructure

Author: Luke Gillis
Advisor Capital Works

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The report recommends the closure to through traffic of Judith Street, Russell Island as a section of this road is flood prone and has been identified as being non trafficable.

PURPOSE

To close Judith Street to through traffic near the intersection with Ann Street.

BACKGROUND

During the proposal and design for the construction of Judith Street, Russell Island it was identified that substantial stormwater infrastructure would be required to formalise a flood prone section of Judith Street. As part of this project it was also identified that a land acquisition would be required to construct this road to reduce flooding to a privately owned property (Lot 33 on RP136180). The other lots directly affected by this identified flood prone region are either conservation lots or owned by Council.

The section of Judith Street from Kings Road to Webb Street (Lot 45 on RP136180) has been sealed and future access in view of this proposed road closure will be via Kings Road. 5 established houses exist on this section of Judith Street with 11 remaining vacant lots. The section of Judith Street, north of the proposed closure contains 16 blocks with 4 established houses. Access to these properties will be via Union Street.

ISSUES

A large number of dust complaints have been received by Council. The road is used as a short cut from King's Road to Cavendish Street, where the local shopping centre is situated. The pipe crossover and surrounds require on-going maintenance to allow vehicle movements along Judith Street.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

5. Wise planning and design

The plan details Council will carefully manage population pressures and use land sustainably while advocating and taking steps to determine limits of growth and carrying capacity on a local and national basis, recognising environmental sensitivities and the distinctive character, heritage and atmosphere of local

communities. A well-planned network of urban, rural and bushland areas and responsive infrastructure and transport systems will support strong, healthy communities.

5.12 Plan, provide and advocate for essential physical and social infrastructure that supports community well-being and manage Council's existing infrastructure assets to ensure current service standards are maintained or improved

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

A road construction job is programmed for Judith Street for 2012/2013 for \$108,000, which would cover the total costs for this closure.

Cost associated with this proposed road closure include the cost of signage (\$1500), physical barriers (\$2,000), landscaping (\$1,000) and public notification advertising (\$500).

The closed road will require a turning facility for service vehicles at an estimated cost of \$10,000. Existing road reserve will be utilised for this purpose.

Total estimated cost to close Judith Street is \$15,000.

In closing this road Council will not need to design & construct a fully trafficable road surface.

Of the remaining \$93,000 in Job Number 40278, it is proposed that \$23,000 of this is allocated to the closure of Gordon Road, Macleay Island with the remaining \$70,000 to return to capital reserves.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

It is considered that the outcome of recommendations in this report will not require any amendment.

CONSULTATION

The Divisional Councillor, Cr Mark Edwards has been consulted about the proposed road closure to traffic and is supportive of this proposal. Consultation and discussion has been undertaken with City Spaces in relation to the possible impact on the Kennedy's Farm project and there are no foreseen issues.

OPTIONS

PREFERRED

That Council resolve to:

1. Support the closure of this section of Judith Street to through traffic pursuant to Section 69 of the *Local Government Act 2009*; and
2. Advertise proposed closure to through traffic for public comment and be provided with a further report following the advertising of the intended closure and advise of any objections that may have been received.

ALTERNATIVE

No alternative option recommended.

**OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr W Boglary

Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

That Council resolve to:

- 1. Support the closure of this section of Judith Street to through traffic pursuant to Section 69 of the *Local Government Act 2009*; and**
- 2. Advertise proposed closure to through traffic for public comment and be provided with a further report following the advertising of the intended closure and advise of any objections that may have been received.**

CARRIED (en-bloc)

11.1.3 REQUEST TO CLOSE GORDON ROAD, MACLEAY ISLAND TO THROUGH VEHICULAR TRAFFIC

Dataworks Filename: RTT: Public Response – Complaints - Road Sealing

Attachment: [Map - Gordon Road, Macleay Island](#)

Responsible Officer: Murray Erbs
Manager City Infrastructure

Author: Luke Gillis
Advisor Capital Works

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The report recommends the closure to through traffic of Gordon Road near 34 Gordon Road, Macleay Island, which is an unsealed road and has been identified as having a high volume of traffic leading from a local sporting facility.

PURPOSE

To close Gordon Road, Macleay Island to through traffic at 34 Gordon Drive, Macleay Island.

BACKGROUND

Council has received a number of requests to seal the road, due to the traffic driving to the sporting facility (golf course), passing the residential properties. The sealing of Gordon Road, Macleay Island is not identified in the capital works program within the next 5 years.

As an interim measure and to manage nuisance complaints it is recommended that Gordon Road be closed to through traffic near 34 Gordon Road, which is land owned by Council.

Access to the sporting facility will be via Frances and Gregory Streets, both sealed roads. Subject to approval of the proposed road closure, a notice will be drafted and published pursuant to Section 69 of the *Local Government Act 2009*.

ISSUES

Numerous dust complaints have been received by the residents of Gordon Road, Macleay Island and consequently road sealing requests. The road is used by visitors to the Macleay Island golf course which exacerbates the ongoing dust complaints.

The Golf Course has an alternative sealed entrance therefore a future road closure would not unduly affect access to this recreational facility. Closing the road to through traffic will reduce traffic to local street trips only

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

5. Wise planning and design

The plan details Council will carefully manage population pressures and use land sustainably while advocating and taking steps to determine limits of growth and

carrying capacity on a local and national basis, recognising environmental sensitivities and the distinctive character, heritage and atmosphere of local communities.

A well-planned network of urban, rural and bush land areas and responsive infrastructure and transport systems will support strong, healthy communities.

5.12 Plan, provide and advocate for essential physical and social infrastructure that supports community well-being and manage Council's existing infrastructure assets to ensure current service standards are maintained or improved

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Costs associated with this proposed through traffic road closure, include the cost of signage (\$1500), physical barriers (\$2,000), landscaping (\$1,000) and public notification advertising (\$500).

Works on the existing car park will need to be addressed as well as a service vehicle turning facility costing approximately \$18,000. Total cost for closure, minor car park upgrades and a service vehicle facility is estimated to be \$23,000.

The funds for this proposed road closure are available in this year's capital program under job number 40278, Judith Street, Russell Island, which it is proposed be transferred to cover the cost of these works.

In closing this road Council will not in the future need to design and construct a fully trafficable road surface.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

There are no Planning Scheme implications.

CONSULTATION

The Divisional Councillor, Cr Mark Edwards has been consulted about the proposed road closure.

OPTIONS

PREFERRED

That Council resolve to:

1. Support the closure of this section of Gordon Road to through traffic pursuant to Section 69 of the *Local Government Act 2009*;
2. Advertise the proposed closure to through traffic for public comment and be provided with a further report following the advertising of the intended closure and advise of any objections that may have been received; and
3. Support the transfer of funds from job number 40278, Judith Street, Russell Island for the road closure to traffic.

ALTERNATIVE

No alternative option recommended.

**OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr W Boglary
Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

That Council resolve to:

1. Support the closure of this section of Gordon Road to through traffic pursuant to Section 69 of the *Local Government Act 2009*;
2. Advertise the proposed closure to through traffic for public comment and be provided with a further report following the advertising of the intended closure and advise of any objections that may have been received; and
3. Support the transfer of funds from job number 40278, Judith Street, Russell Island for the road closure to traffic.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

11.1.4 COUNCIL OF MAYORS: REDLAND CITY COUNCIL STATE & REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE PRIORITY PROJECT**Dataworks Filename:** RTT Planning – Capital Works Program**Attachment:** [Extract from Projects of State and Regional Significance 2011](#)**Responsible Officer:** Louise Rusan
General Manager City Services**Author:** Murray Erbs
Manager City Infrastructure

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Council of Mayors (SEQ) Infrastructure Committee of Friday 14 September 2012 agreed on a framework for the prioritising of infrastructure priorities and developed a priority list of National projects. In addition to the National list the Committee requested that Councils confirm their priority for State and Regional projects as soon as possible.

This report recommends that the Redland City Council nominated project remains the same as last year, being The Redland City – Gateway Motorway Corridor. This project which is identified in the South East Queensland Infrastructure Plan and Program (SEQIPP) continues to also have the support of the SEQ Councils and State Government as a State and Regional Significant project.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to seek Council confirmation of its preferred State & Regional Infrastructure Priority Project to be taken to the Council of Mayors (SEQ) on 12 October 2012.

BACKGROUND

The Council of Mayors (SEQ) Infrastructure Committee of Friday 14 September 2012 agreed on a framework for the prioritising of infrastructure priorities and developed a priority list of National projects. This list already includes the Eastern Busway to Capalaba as a priority.

In addition to the National list the Committee requested that Councils confirm their priority for a State and Regional project as soon as possible. To allow the Council of Mayor business papers to be finalised, advice was provided to the secretariat of the Council of Mayors on 26 September 2012 that the RCC project should remain the Redland City – Gateway Motorway Corridor project similar to last year, however that this would need to be confirmed by Council and that Council reserved the right for the Mayor to vary the nomination at the forthcoming Council of Mayors (SEQ).

The final list of priorities will be taken to the Council of Mayors (SEQ) on 12 October 2012 and it is proposed to include the current list of State and Regional Priority Projects which were developed last year as 'Second Tier' projects from the planning frameworks of the South East Queensland Regional Plan (SEQRP) and the South

East Queensland Infrastructure Plan and Program (SEQIPP) which are recognised as nation leading examples of integrated transport and land-use strategies in Australia today. The Eastern Bus-way was listed as a 'First Tier' project.

Last year the Council of Mayors (SEQ) identified twelve 'Second Tier' priority infrastructure projects of State and Regional significance.

The 'Second Tier' priority infrastructure projects of State and Regional significance are planned to deliver much needed infrastructure capacity and greater efficiency (productivity), as well as contribute to broader achievements of liveability and sustainability objectives embedded in the SEQRP/SEQIPP planning framework. [Attachment 1]

Refinement of the list last year allowed Brisbane City Council and Gold Coast City Council to nominate two projects, and the other Councils were able to nominate one project. The final Council of Mayors Second Tier Infrastructure Projects list was:

- Gold Coast Rapid Transit Project (Stage Two);
- Gold Coast transit / bikeway upgrades along key arterial roads;
- Redland City to Port of Brisbane – Tilley Road extension;
- Telegraph Road and Robinson Road rail level crossing eliminations;
- Redland City / Gateway Motorway Corridor;
- Warrego Highway / Brisbane Valley Highway intersection (Bayley Road extension);
- Mount Lindesay Highway upgrade;
- Lockyer Valley emergency helipad;
- Sunshine Coast (CoastConnect) rapid bus transit project;
- Beaudesert Town Centre bypass;
- Toowoomba outer circulating road; and
- A timber bridge priority replacement program.

The first priority for Redland City Council was the Redland City – Gateway Motorway Corridor. This project provides the benefit of improving the freight and transport corridor that joins Redland City Council to the Gateway Motorway – from the intersection of Mount Gravatt - Capalaba and Mount Cotton Roads through to the intersection of Duncan, Boundary and Redland Bay Roads.

The projects included in SEQRP/SEQIPP in this category and of direct interest to RCC and eligible for selection as infrastructure projects of State and Regional significance were last year preferenced as follows:

1. Redland City – Gateway Motorway Corridor
2. Cleveland Rail (Duplication)
3. Capalaba Transit Hub
4. Manly West – Thorneside Road Corridor (Tingalpa Creek Bridge)

The Redland City – Gateway Motorway Corridor has the support of the SEQ Councils and State Government as a State and Regional Significant project and it is recommended that it remain as the first priority project to ensure that current State Government funded and programmed works continue.

ISSUES

There are no major issues identified and Councils support is sought to formally endorse the nomination of this project.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

5. Wise planning and design

We will carefully manage population pressures and use land sustainably while advocating and taking steps to determine limits of growth and carrying capacity on a local and national basis, recognising environmental sensitivities and the distinctive character, heritage and atmosphere of local communities. A well-planned network of urban, rural and bushland areas and responsive infrastructure and transport systems will support strong, healthy communities.

5.8 Plan and advocate to connect the city's communities with improved public transport including a road, ferry, cycling and walking network that provides safe and efficient movement within the city and the region and supports physical activity; and promote efficient and environmentally responsible private transport

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The Redland City-Gateway Motorway Corridor is a State Government transport corridor and should be fully funded by a mix of Federal and State Government investment. There are no financial implications impacting Council as a result of this report.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

It is anticipated that the outcome of recommendations in this report will not require any amendments to the Redlands Planning Scheme.

OPTIONS

PREFERRED

That Council resolve to endorse the selection of the Redland City – Gateway Motorway Corridor as its nomination to the Council of Mayors (SEQ) as a State and Regional Significant project.

ALTERNATIVE

No alternative is proposed.

OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/ COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr W Boglary

Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

That Council resolve to endorse the selection of the Redland City – Gateway Motorway Corridor as its nomination to the Council of Mayors (SEQ) as a State and Regional Significant project.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

11.2 CLOSED SESSION AT COMMITTEE

The Committee meeting was closed to the public under section 72(1) of the *Local Government (Operations) Regulation 2010* to discuss the following items, and following deliberation on these matters, the Committee meeting was again opened to the public.

11.2.1 Delegated Item to Committee - Infrastructure Agreement 299-351 Heinemann Road Mount Cotton

11.2.2 Delegated Item to Committee - School Age Care

11.2.3 Delegated Item to Committee - Customer Contact Centre Implementation

11.2.4 Proposal to Establish an Age-Friendly Redlands Committee

11.2.1 COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY - INFRASTRUCTURE AGREEMENT – 299-351 HEINEMANN ROAD, MOUNT COTTON

Datworks Filename: RTT Planning - Infrastructure Agreements

Responsible Officer: Murray Erbs
Manager City Infrastructure

Author: Len Purdie
Principal Adviser Roads & Drainage

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A confidential report from Manager City Infrastructure was discussed in closed session.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION/ COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr J Talty
Seconded by: Cr K Williams

That Council resolve as follows:

1. To use Committee delegated authority for formal decision making in accordance with Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009* and Council's resolution of the Post Election Meeting 17 May 2012, Item 7;
 2. The use of delegated authority is justified for the purpose of facilitating a timely authorisation to allow negotiations to proceed that will provide a significant benefit to the community;
 3. Approve the construction of Heinemann Road as required by the 2006 Infrastructure Agreement and allocate the necessary budget at a forthcoming 2012/13 quarterly review;
 4. Delegate the Chief Executive Officer authority on behalf of Redland City Council, under s.257(1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 2009*, to enter an
-

Infrastructure Agreement with Yarrum Equities Pty Ltd to build Heinemann Road and authorise program claims; and

5. That the Officer's recommendation be adopted and that this report and its recommendations remain confidential pending the completion of the Infrastructure Agreement as per s.72(1) of the *Local Government (Operations) Regulation 2010* : (h) other business for which a public discussion would be likely to prejudice the interests of the local government or someone else, or enable a person to gain a financial advantage.

CARRIED (unanimously)

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr W Boglary

Seconded by: Cr L Hewlett

That the Committee Resolution be noted.

CARRIED

11.2.2 COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY – SCHOOL AGE CARE SERVICES**Dataworks Filename: CS Childcare-School Aged Care (SAC)****Responsible Officer: Louise Rusan
General Manager City Services****Author: Greg Jensen
Manager Community & Cultural Services**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A confidential report from General Manager City Services was discussed in closed session.

**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION/
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION****Moved by: Cr K Williams
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards****That Council resolve as follows:**

- 1. To use Committee delegated authority for formal decision making in accordance with Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009* and Council's resolution of the Post Election Meeting 17 May 2012, (Item 7);**
- 2. The use of delegated authority is justified for the purpose of financial benefits to all parties, service continuity and reducing community uncertainty;**
- 3. To approve Option A as detailed in this report; and**
- 4. That the report and attachments remain confidential.**

CARRIED**DIVISION****FOR: Crs Gleeson, Beard, Talty, Elliott, Edwards, Hewlett, Hardman, Williams & Boglary****AGAINST: Crs Bishop & Ogilvie****COUNCIL RESOLUTION****Moved by: Cr W Boglary
Seconded by: Cr L Hewlett****That the Committee Resolution be noted.****CARRIED**

11.2.3 COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY – CUSTOMER CONTACT CENTRE IMPLEMENTATION

Dataworks Filename: CSO Customer Services – CSO Projects

**Responsible Officer: Louise Rusan
General Manager City Services**

**Author: Kym Banks
Customer Service Manager**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A confidential report from General Manager City Services was discussed in closed session.

**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION/
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION**

**Moved by: Cr K Williams
Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson**

That Council resolve as follows:

- 1. To use Committee delegated authority for formal decision making in accordance with Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009* and Council's resolution of the Post Election Meeting 17 May 2012, (Item 7);**
- 2. The use of delegated authority is justified for the purpose of meeting budget timeframes;**
- 3. That Council initiate the implementation of Contact Centre by 1st July 2013 with Contact Centre set up to commence immediately; and**
- 4. That the report and attachments remain confidential.**

CARRIED (unanimously)

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

**Moved by: Cr W Boglary
Seconded by: Cr L Hewlett**

That the Committee Resolution be noted.

CARRIED

11.2.4 PROPOSAL TO ESTABLISH AN AGE-FRIENDLY REDLANDS COMMITTEE**Dataworks Filename: CS Seniors Group (RAGOSI)****Responsible Officer: Greg Jensen
Manager Community & Cultural Services****Author: Haleel Rane
Coordinator Community**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A confidential report from Manager Community & Cultural Services was discussed in closed session.

**OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION****Moved by: Cr W Boglary****Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson**

That Council resolve to establish the Age-friendly Redland Committee (ARC) to replace the existing Redlands Advisory Group on Seniors Issues (RAGOSI).

CARRIED (en-bloc)

12 ENVIRONMENT & PLANNING COMMITTEE – 10 OCTOBER 2012

Moved by: Cr J Talty
Seconded by: Cr A Beard

That the Environment & Planning Committee Minutes of 10 October 2012 be received.

[Environment & Planning Minutes 10 October 2012](#)

CARRIED

12.1 CITY PLANNING & ENVIRONMENT**12.1.1 FINAL ADOPTION OF THE REDLAND CITY PEST MANAGEMENT PLAN
2012 - 2016**

Datworks Filename: EM - Pest Management Plan
Attachment: [RCC Pest Management Plan 2012 2016](#)
Responsible Officer: Gary Photinos
Manager City Planning & Environment
Author: Michael Holland
Advisor Environment

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The *Land Protection (Pest and Stock Route Management) Act 2002* (the Act) mandates that all local governments produce and implement a State approved Local Government Area Pest Management Plan (PMP). The PMP clearly identifies that management of pests is a shared responsibility across a range of stakeholders including the three levels of government, land owners, peak bodies and associations, industry and environment groups.

The previous Pest Management Plan 2006 – 2010 has expired, and an updated Pest Management Plan 2012 – 2016 has been developed by Council and endorsed by the Queensland Government Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) in accordance with the Act.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to present the Pest Management Plan 2012-2016 for adoption.

BACKGROUND

- 2010: Council approved the 'Draft Redland City Council Pest Management Plan 2010 – 2014' for external stakeholder consultation and engagement.
- 2010: External stakeholder engagement and consultation initiated.

- 2011: Comprehensive consultation process undertaken involving a range of stakeholders including State Government, adjoining Councils, industry groups, environmental groups and landholders.
- 2012: Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry advised that Council may, by resolution, adopt the *Pest Management Plan 2012 – 2016*, in accordance with *the Act*.

ISSUES

The effective management of declared pests requires coordinated action from the entire Redland community including private landowners; Federal, State and Local government; peak industry bodies and associations; and community and environmental groups.

The PMP identifies and places a priority for management activities on 18 'Priority Environmentally Significant Areas' and 27 associated aquatic environments listed in the PMP. Council is committed to managing (page 55-59) these in partnership with identified stakeholders.

The four strategic objectives of the Redland Pest Management Plan are to:

1. Raise community awareness of declared pests and their impact. Increase the community's capacity to identify and manage declared pests;
2. Manage the introduction of new declared pests and minimise the spread of existing infestations;
3. Sustain best pest management practice, maintain regional collaborations and encourage stakeholder commitment; and
4. Protect environmentally significant areas and aquatic environments from declared pests.

The Pest Management Plan is primarily an operational Action Plan. The Pest Management Plan 2012 – 2016 continues the existing approach to declared pest management currently undertaken by Council. It does not significantly alter the prioritisation of resources or the focus of activities. Implementation of this Pest Management Plan will be carried out within existing budget and resources.

The most notable change to the previous Pest Management Plan is that it now includes a 'first response' management process for newly identified and declared pest species in Redland City. The first response process aims to minimise the impact of new introductions through a protocol comprising: awareness; investigations (identification, control methods); a plan for eradication; implementation of controls; and monitoring, reporting and planning for future action if required. There are good early reports on the success of this approach where it has been applied to an outbreak of *Cabomba caroliniana* in Tarradarrapin Creek. This is a clever approach of early control to lower the total cost of removal of new outbreaks.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

1. Healthy natural environment

A diverse and healthy natural environment, with an abundance of native flora and fauna and rich ecosystems will thrive through our awareness, commitment and action in caring for the environment.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Current funding for implementation of the Pest Management Plan has been included in operational budgets for the 2012-13 financial years and there are no additional financial implications.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

There are no implications for the Redland Planning Scheme.

CONSULTATION

During production of the Pest Management Plan consultation was undertaken with Biosecurity Queensland Logan City Council, Brisbane City Council and Gold Coast City Council, the State Government Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry and senior Council officers.

OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/ COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr J Talty

Seconded by: Cr M Elliott

That Council resolve to adopt the State approved Redland City Council Pest Management Plan 2012 – 2016 in accordance *Land Protection (Pest and Stock Route Management) Act 2002*.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

12.1.2 WATERWAY RECOVERY STRATEGY, ACTION PLAN AND REPORT

Dataworks Filename: EM - Waterway Recovery Policy

Attachments: [Waterway Recovery Report 2012](#)
[Draft Reaching for Waterway Recovery](#)
[Draft Waterway Recovery Action Plan](#)

Responsible Officer: Gary Photinos
Manager City Planning and Environment

Authors: Warren Mortlock
Principal Adviser Environment
Helena Malawkin
Senior Adviser Environment

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents the draft *Reaching for Waterway Recovery: A Strategy to 2030*, as Council's approach to recover the health of Redlands creeks and waterways by 2030.

Also presented is the *Waterway Recovery Action Plan 2013 to 2018*. The Action Plan responds to State legislative requirements for improved waterway management, and to community expectations for action.

The *Waterway Recovery Report* presents water quality data from July 2011 to June 2012 and compares this with previous years. The report is presented on a catchment-by-catchment basis. Local water quality data indicates that the majority of our freshwater creeks (73%) are in fair to good condition, indicating a stabilising trend in overall water quality. The Report indicates that Council's current planning approach and the annual financial investment in waterways programs seems to have halted and stabilised the further decline in the health of Redland freshwater creeks. The release of Council's *Waterways Recovery Report 2012* is planned to coincide with the release of the regional Healthy Waterways Ecosystem Health Monitoring Program (EHMP) Report Card in October 2012.

This report recommends that Council adopts the *Reaching for Waterway Recovery* strategy for all planning and management purposes, noting the stabilising trend in the condition of the City's Waterways.

PURPOSE

The *Waterway Recovery Report* presents water quality data from July 2011 to June 2012 and compares this with previous years (Attachment 1).

The purpose of this report is to present the *Reaching for Waterway Recovery 2030* Strategy (Attachment 2) and the *Waterway Recovery Action Plan 2013-2018* (Attachment 3) for adoption.

BACKGROUND

- Council's Corporate Environment Policy (POL-2644) includes statements:
-

“Protect, maintain and enhance the health of the City: Waterways, foreshores, coasts and Moreton Bay”; and

“Halt and then reverse the declining trend in the health of Redlands waterways and Moreton Bay, returning the native fish and macro-invertebrates to our (freshwater) waterways.

- Waterway Management Plans were developed for Tingalpa (2003) and Eprapah (2003) and Hilliards (2005) creeks.
- Detailed Waterway Management Planning is now integrated with flood-based planning (infrastructure planning) to produce Integrated Waterway Management Plans (IWMP). The first IWMP was produced by consultants in 2010 for Native Dog and Torquay catchments and further such plans are anticipated.
- Council is required by the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 2009 to develop and implement a Total Water Cycle Management Plan (TWCM) plan by 1 July 2013.
- Council has monitored waterways since 1994 from a sewerage treatment perspective to license wastewater discharges, and since 1998 from a general water quality and waterways health perspective.
- Council has participated with partners and directly monitored the water quality of our city’s creeks regularly for reporting purposes since 2004.
- In 2008/09 Council designed and implemented a localised freshwater creek water quality monitoring program for Redland creeks. The local monitoring program has been running for three consecutive years with water quality and aquatic process data reported annually, and fish and water bug survey results. Since 2009 Council has produced an annual Waterway Recovery Report which gives an update on the water quality and health of our freshwater creeks. The reports in the past have been produced as a hard copy document and made available as a PDF download on the Redland City Council website.

ISSUES

STATUS OF WATERWAY HEALTH

The result of local monitoring indicates, a stabilising overall water quality trend. The overall water quality trend across the Redlands for each catchment from 2004 to 2012 has:

- a) slightly improved in Hilliards Creek;
- b) stabilised in Thornlands, Eprapah, Moogurrapum, Serpentine, Native Dog, Coolnwynpin Creeks and SMBI; and
- c) slightly declined in Tarradarrapin, Cleveland, Weinam and Upper Tingalpa Creeks.

Local monitoring has determined that some locations in the city have inexplicably poor water quality, and these are called hot spots. Special investigations are underway to determine the source of very high pollutant loads at these hot spot locations and help us focus our on-ground waterway recovery action.

Council’s city-wide water quality monitoring program monitors physical, chemical and aquatic processes of freshwater creeks in the Redlands. Every second or third year biological condition is monitored. The program was established in 2008/09 and this is the third annual report on the Program.

The Redland's freshwater 'catchment' has rated an 'F' grade for eight consecutive years according to the Healthy Waterways regional EHMP report card. The EHMP program has been running since 1999 and collects, analyses and publishes monitoring data on the physical, chemical and biological condition of fresh, estuarine and marine waters in Southeast Queensland. The annual EHMP report card is due for public release on Wednesday, October 24, 2012.

Council's Waterway Recovery Report is timed for release prior to the EHMP report card and provides reliable detailed information on the status of local creeks and allows a very targeted approach for recovery actions. It is now the primary information and planning tool for tracking change in local waterway health. The annual SEQ Healthy Waterways freshwater Ecosystem Health Report Card covers freshwater, marine and estuarine water quality.

RECENT COUNCIL ACTIVITY

Since 2007 Council has focussed its efforts on waterway recovery projects that:

- a) investigated specific waterway health issues (e.g. nutrient and sediment pollution, pest plants and animals, water quality and hot-spot investigations);
- b) modelled and analysed pollutant loads in Eprapah and Hilliards creeks;
- c) assessed and prioritised Council managed artificial waterbodies (dams, lakes) and developed information and methods to support operational management of these waterbodies; and
- d) trialled cost effective water quality improvement measures for these waterbodies, including floating wetlands, aeration and adding of enzymes.

The Waterway Extension Program (WEP) is an action oriented program launched in 2009. It aims to work one-on-one with landholders to tackle specific management issues in identified high priority catchments, provide technical, practical and financial assistance for landholders, all to reduce pollutants entering waterways and improving waterway health. The program has registered more than 60 properties in three years of operation.

Council's Parks and Conservation Services (City Spaces) undertake revegetation, corridor widening and maintenance activities on council land adjacent to waterways, wetlands and Moreton Bay foreshore. In the 2010/11 financial year alone, 560 linear meters, covering 4.6 hectares of new corridor plantings was completed, 0.6 hectares of corridors were widened, maintenance of planting carried out in previous years and on-going maintenance including weed control and in-fill plantings.

Council supports community volunteer activities to improve waterways health through the Bush Care and Creek Crew Programs that provide coordination, training, tools, equipment and seedlings. These groups carry out weed removal and re-vegetation often near waterways and undertake community water quality monitoring. Other groups such as Eprapah Creek Catchment Landcare Association, formed in 1990 undertake community and school education activities for waterways health and carry out weed control and re-vegetation activities across the catchment.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

State government legislation impacts on Council's management of waterways and devolves responsibility to local government.

Council has a statutory duty of care under the *Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 2009* to protect or enhance waterway environmental values for Redland waterways. The Policy includes location-specific guideline values and water quality objectives for waterways in Queensland. The Policy also requires that Council develop and commence implementation of a Total Water Cycle Management Plan (TWCMP).

Core (must do) actions in response to this legislation include: erosion and sediment control compliance and enforcement; water quality monitoring and hot-spot investigations; and implementing waterway health actions in Council's TWCMP – a requirement of this Policy. Preparation of the TWCMP is underway by City Infrastructure Group through consultancy with BMT WBM and is currently at the stage of confirming action responsibilities, timing and cost estimates. There is some overlap with existing actions in the Draft Waterway Recovery policy, however the latter has a limited scope and deals only with recovery of waterway condition. The TWCMP deals with the whole water cycle (not just creek condition) and therefore has a much broader scope and is the overarching plan.

- a) *Queensland Sustainable Planning Act 2009*. This Act provides for protection of waterway values through the Redland Planning Scheme. The Act also provides for regional plans. *State Planning Policy 4/10 Healthy Waters* is intended to ensure that development is planned, designed, constructed and operated to manage storm water and waste water in ways that help protect the water environmental values specified in the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 2009.
- b) SEQ Regional Natural Resource Management (NRM) Plan 2009 – 2031. The policies and programs in this plan cover biodiversity; total water cycle planning, waterway health and rural water. The SEQ Regional NRM Plan includes targets adopted by the Council of Mayors and acknowledged by Council (GM Sept 2011), that focus on priority targets for future planning purposes:
- c) *Queensland Environmental Protection Act, 1994*. This Act creates the legislative framework for operation of Environmentally Relevant Activities (ERAs) and creates offences for water contamination. Council is delegated management of certain ERAs and responsibility for minor water pollution and environmental nuisance under the Act and regulations.
- d) *Queensland Land Protection (Pest and Stock Route Management) Act 2002*. This Act creates a legislative requirement for Council to develop and implement a pest management plan for managing declared pest species in a local government area. Management of aquatic weeds is a must do requirement of the Act and Council's draft Pest Management Plan 2012-2016.

COMMUNITY OPINION ON WATERWAY ISSUES

The local community has a strong interest in the achievement of healthy waterways within the Redlands. This is reflected in the Redlands 2030 Community Plan under the vision for Healthy Natural Environment, Goal 5 states that 'All the aquatic-based ecosystems of the Redlands are healthier, due to improved water quality in creeks, waterways, aquifers and wetlands.'

REACHING FOR WATERWAY RECOVERY DOCUMENT

The strategy is a plan to fix the health of our creeks and sits under the TWCMP. It is a whole-of-organisation strategy demonstrating consistent policy approach and delivery for Council and opportunities for savings to occur by ensuring actions are not duplicated (for example in waterways planning) and specifically targeted where the investment is required. The strategic outcome statements take a long-term view (to 2030) and the Action Plan (2013 – 2018) takes a short term view.

The proposed strategy objectives for waterway recovery, are the follows:

- a) Prevent pollution (mainly nutrients and sediments) from entering waterways and manage waterways to limit the in-stream release of nutrients.
- b) Manage public land to achieve no negative impacts on downstream waterways.
- c) Retain seiment on-site and prevent sediment moving into waterways.
- d) Control development to avoid, mitigate and manage negative impacts on downstream waterways.
- e) Manage artificial water bodies or remove them from the landscape.
- f) Recover healthy plant and animal populations in mainland and island waterways.
- g) Maintain a comprehensive network of connected, shaded and weed free waterway corridors across the city.
- h) Provide places, activities and opportunities for the community to connect with waterways and become involved in local recovery efforts.
- i) Drive improvement in waterways health through regular reporting and evaluation of waterways condition.

PRIORITY ACTIONS IN THE WATERWAY RECOVERY ACTION PLAN 2013 TO 2018

Council's highest priority actions for the next five years concentrate on essential State legislative obligations and the requirement for efficient and cost effective (back to basic) approaches to delivery of service standards, operational plans, asset management and community expectations for waterways management. The highest priorities are:

- a) **Hot spot management first.** This relies on continuing investigations to find hotspots and then development of efficient and cost effective approaches to reducing high pollutant loads if these don't already exist.
- b) **Erosion control on Council lands.** Fixing erosion sources on Council land is a core, on-ground activity that will significantly reduce sediments entering waterways, avoid nuisance water pollution and achieve substantial outcomes for dollars spent. In the next five years, this will be achieved through repairing gullies and scars, maintaining tracks and trails, planting pastures and trees, and ensuring a regular maintenance and inspection regime is implemented after repair and maintenance work is completed.
- c) **Artificial water bodies and Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) assets on Council lands.** There are 2000 dams in the Redland landscape, and about 140 are on Council land. The priority is testing management methods and unique solutions in the next five years and implementing better management and maintenance regimes of hard and soft water assets. A core requirement is to manage assets to meet service standards for risk and asset maintenance.

- d) **Waterways Extension Program.** A significant number of waterways are located on private land. Pollutant levels from rural areas exceed guideline values. Partnering with private landholders to implement waterway-friendly practices is the best way to ensure that best practice land management occurs in the upper catchment and rural / peri-urban areas of Redlands. (The Waterway Extension Program also generates significant community and landholder positive perception of Council).
- e) **Community awareness.** Awareness in the local community about waterway management and the work that Council is doing is important. The strategy supports regular consistent messages about the dos and don'ts of waterways health, the promotion of Council activity, and the promotion of positive outcomes.
- f) **Redland Planning Scheme.** The SEQ Regional Plan and the State Planning Policy 4/10 Healthy Waters will be addressed in the review of the Redland Planning Scheme 2015.
- g) **Auditing and reporting.** Auditing and reporting are required to track waterways health trends to support on-going investigations and to ensure that Council's efforts are delivering results.

CURRENT OPERATIONAL BUDGET FOR WATERWAY RECOVERY ACTIVITIES

Waterway recovery priorities are challenging. Council's current planning approach and the annual financial investment in waterways programs seems to have halted and stabilised the further decline in the health of Redland freshwater creeks. Council is contributing significantly, but additional funding is needed from other levels of government, and in particular the Queensland State government. Council has supported a business case directed at the State government through the Healthy Waterways Network and the Council of Mayors.

Responsibility for waterway management is well-integrated across Council and actions to address waterway recovery are spread across the operational and to a much lesser extent capital budgets. Council's operational expenditure on all waterway recovery related programs in 2011/12 financial year totalled \$1.3 million. This was funded substantially through the Environment Charge (56%) with the balance sourced from general revenue.

It is noted that current Environment Charge expenditure is the subject of a forthcoming Council workshop.

STRATEGY AND ACTION PLAN TO BE REVIEWED BY LATE 2014

It is proposed to publish the Recovery Report, Strategy and Action Plan on Council's website for the information of community and industry groups. It is also intended that a review of the strategy and action plan will be conducted in 2014, subject to further direction by Council regarding budget priorities.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

The recommendation supports Council's Corporate Plan Outcomes for 'Healthy Natural Environment' and 'Embracing the Bay'.

Healthy natural environment

A diverse and healthy natural environment, with an abundance of native flora and fauna and rich ecosystems will thrive through our awareness, commitment and action in caring for the environment.

- 1.3 Protect our natural environment by restoring degraded landscapes, contaminated land and managing fire, pests and other hazards
- 1.4 Improve residents' understanding, respect and enjoyment of the local environment through stewardship and partnerships
- 1.6 Address the decline in the health of Redlands waterways and improve water quality, aquatic populations and their biodiversity

Embracing the bay

The benefits of unique ecosystems, visual beauty, spiritual nourishment and coastal lifestyle provided by the islands, beaches, foreshores and water catchments of Moreton Bay will be valued, protected and celebrated.

- 3.3 Ensure the ongoing health of the bay by managing creeks, wetlands and stormwater and by protecting natural areas surrounding the bay.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no additional financial implications impacting Council as a result of adopting the strategy as all implementation actions are funded from existing budgets. However, opportunities for future savings will be identified in line with Council budget priorities.

Advocacy for additional state investment in waterways recovery programs should continue through Council of Mayors and SEQ Healthy Waterways Network.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

Officers from the City Environment Unit will consult with the City-wide Planning Unit to ensure that the draft strategy is aligned to the review of the Redland Planning Scheme project.

CONSULTATION

Senior officers from various Council departments were consulted in the development of the Strategy, Action Plan and this report.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That Council resolve to:

- 1 Adopt for all planning and management purposes the Waterway Recovery Strategy to 2030, and the Action Plan 2013-2018; and

- 2 Note the stabilising trend in the condition and health of the City's waterways described in the Redlands Waterway Recovery Report 2012.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr J Talty

Seconded by: Cr M Elliott

That this item be deferred and referred to the Development Assessment & Community Standards Committee meeting scheduled for Wednesday, 17 October 2012.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

12.1.3 BUNKER ROAD STRUCTURE PLAN

Dataworks Filename: LUP Planning - Bunker Road Precinct Plan

Responsible Officer: Gary Photinos
Manager City Planning & Environment

Author: Alan Miljkovic
Strategic Planner

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the General meeting of 25 July 2012, Council resolved to defer making a decision on the draft Bunker Road Structure Plan until the Environment and Planning Committee meeting scheduled for 8 August 2012 to allow Councillors to seek further clarification prior to making a decision.

This report seeks to confirm Council's decision to defer the planning for the Bunker Road Emerging Urban Communities (EUC) area.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to confirm deferral of detailed planning for the Bunker Road Structure Plan until after adoption of the new planning scheme. The new planning scheme will identify the Victoria Point local development area in the strategic framework to align with the South East Queensland Regional Plan (SEQRP). Once the new planning scheme is adopted, planning for the Victoria Point local development area, incorporating the detailed planning for Bunker Road, will be undertaken.

BACKGROUND

PAST COUNCIL DECISIONS

The draft Bunker Road Structure Plan was first presented to Council at the General Meeting on the 14 December 2011 (Item No. 15.5.1), the Council resolved the following:

- 1. To adopt the draft Bunker Road Structure Plan and required Redland Planning Scheme (RPS) amendments for the purposes of first State interest review;*
- 2. That the draft Bunker Road Structure Plan and associated proposed amendments to the RPS remain **confidential** pending written agreement from the Minister confirming that Redland City Council may proceed to public notification;*

The Bunker Road Structure Plan was to remain confidential to allow consultation with individual property owners in the area prior to publicly releasing the Structure Plan.

At the General meeting of 25 July 2012, Council resolved to defer making a decision on the draft Bunker Road Structure Plan until the Environment and Planning Committee meeting scheduled for 8 August 2012 to allow Councillors to seek further clarification prior to making a decision.

It has since been proposed that the Bunker Road Structure Plan be deferred until after adoption of the new planning scheme. Planning for the Bunker Road Structure Plan can then be combined with planning for the Victoria Point local development area on Double Jump Road (identified by the South East Queensland Regional Plan 2009-2031). Planning for this area will only commence once there has been substantial uptake of the South-east Thornlands and Kinross Road development areas and so will be undertaken after commencement of the new planning scheme.

The Bunker Road Structure Plan was therefore withdrawn from the Environment and Planning Committee meeting of 8 August 2012 with a fresh recommendation coming before the current meeting.

ISSUES

The Bunker Road Structure Plan

Location

The Bunker Road Structure Plan area comprises those properties zoned Emerging Urban Community (EUC), and consists of 27ha of land over nine properties on the southern side of Bunker Road, Victoria Point. The Bunker Road EUC is located approximately 2km south-west of the Victoria Point Major Activity Centre.

Planning context

The subject area is a remnant of the Special Planning Intent Area No.5 which was identified in the 1998 Redland Shire Strategic Plan. That plan stated: “...*Bunker Road is considered to be suitable for urban residential purposes. Areas to be retained for conservation, public open space, buffers for existing poultry farms and drainage purposes are to be determined at the time a development application is received*”. The balance of the SPI5 area which had not been developed at the time that the 2006 planning scheme came into force became EUC zoned.

The EUC zone under the RPS requires Council to prepare a Structure Plan and amendment to the RPS prior to any development taking place. The Bunker Road EUC is included within the Urban Footprint under the South East Queensland Regional Plan 2009-2031 (SEQRP) and is a small component of the larger Victoria Point local development area on Bunker Road, which has the potential to accommodate future urban development.

The draft Local Growth Management Strategy (LGMS) identified this larger Victoria Point local development area as a major potential greenfield development area, and anticipated that together the areas could provide approximately 600 dwellings. The LGMS also recognised that planning of these areas must address conservation, open space and drainage issues.

A decision to defer planning in this area will not have a substantial long term planning effect. There is currently no demonstrated need for the land to be released for urban growth purposes. The current EUC zoning will control development in the area until a detailed plan is put into place.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

5. Wise planning and design

We will carefully manage population pressures and use land sustainably while advocating and taking steps to determine limits of growth and carrying capacity on a local and national basis, recognising environmental sensitivities and the distinctive character, heritage and atmosphere of local communities. A well-planned network of urban, rural and bushland areas and responsive infrastructure and transport systems will support strong, healthy communities.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

A decision to defer the Bunker Road structure plan will not have any financial implications on Council.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

Deferring the Bunker Road Structure Plan will have no immediate effect on the RPS. Future planning for the Victoria Point local development area will result in amendments to the new Planning Scheme.

CONSULTATION

The Mayor, Divisional Councillor and senior Council officers were consulted in the preparation of this report.

OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/ COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr J Talty
Seconded by: Cr M Elliott

That Council resolve as follows:

- 1. To suspend the current planning processes for preparation of the Bunker Road Structure Plan (EUC zoned area);**
- 2. That the Bunker Road EUC area be recognised as part of the planning for the broader Victoria Point local development area within the new planning scheme;**
- 3. Undertake the planning for the Victoria Point (including Double Jump Road and Bunker Road) at an appropriate time after the adoption of the new Redlands Planning Scheme; and**
- 4. That the Minister for State Development, Infrastructure and Planning be advised in writing that council does not intend to proceed further with the Bunker Road Structure Plan and will include the area in a wider planning study for Victoria Point at a later date.**

CARRIED (en-bloc)

12.2 CLOSED SESSION AT COMMITTEE

The Committee meeting was closed to the public under section 72(1) of the *Local Government (Operations) Regulation 2010* to discuss the following item, and following deliberation on this matter, the Committee meeting was again opened to the public.

12.2.1 CLEVELAND CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT INCENTIVES DISCUSSION PAPER

Datworks Filename: LUP Projects – Cleveland CBD Project

Responsible Officer: Toni Averay
General Manager Environment Planning & Development

Author: Stephen Hill
Principal Advisor Local Area and Strategic Planning

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A confidential report from General Manager Environment Planning & Development was discussed in closed session.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/ COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr J Talty
Seconded by: Cr M Elliott

That Council officers bring a report to the Development Assessment & Community Standards Committee meeting scheduled for Wednesday, 17 October 2012 regarding implementation of specific incentives as discussed.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

13 CORPORATE SERVICES & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE – 16 OCTOBER 2012

Moved by: Cr M Edwards
Seconded by: Cr Talty

That the Corporate Services & Governance Committee Minutes of 16 October 2012 be received.

[Corporate Services & Governance Minutes 16 October 2012](#)

CARRIED

13.1 GOVERNANCE

13.1.1 EMPLOYEE CODE OF CONDUCT (POL-0716)

Datworks Filename: HRM/Code of Conduct

Attachments: [POL-0716 - Code of Conduct](#)
[DRAFT Code of Conduct July 2012](#)
[Appendix 1 - Code of Conduct Summary of Changes](#)

Responsible Officer: Amanda Daly
Manager People & Change

Author: Susan Forby
Service Manager Workplace Relations

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As a result of changes to the *Public Sector Ethics Act* (PSE Act) and the *Whistleblowers Protection Act* the employee code of conduct requires amendment.

Further minimal changes requested by the Executive Leadership Group have been made to the draft document which is now provided to Council for final approval.

PURPOSE

To seek Council approval to adopt the amended employee code of conduct.

BACKGROUND

Changes to the *Public Sector Ethics Act* and the *Whistleblowers Protection Act* took effect from 1 November 2010 for the *Public Sector Ethics Act* and 1 January 2011 for the new *Public Interest Disclosure Act* (which replaces the *Whistleblowers Protection Act*).

The ethics principles have been replaced within the *PSE Act*, resulting in four principles instead of five as follows:

- Integrity and impartiality
 - Promoting the public good
 - Commitment to the system of government
-

- Accountability and transparency

The ethics values relating to each of these principles replace the old conduct obligations. While they do not differ in intent from the previous five principles, the wording is different and this has resulted in the proposed changes to the employee code of conduct.

At the time of the changes to the legislation, local governments were not in a position to unilaterally amend codes of conduct and accordingly transitional provisions applied allowing the existing codes to be retained until 30 June 2011.

The proposed changes to the employee code of conduct consist mainly of rearranging the previous clauses and sub-clauses under the four new principles.

Some additional clauses have been added and some additional examples provided to demonstrate the meaning of the information more clearly. A summary of the changes is provided at Appendix 1.

ISSUES

Notification to employees of the changes

A fact sheet has been developed for the Operational Leadership Group advising of the changes and their role in implementing the amended code of conduct.

An on-line learning module and tool box talk are currently being developed for all employees to ensure they are provided with information about the amended code of conduct and what it means to them.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

9. An efficient and effective organisation

Council is well respected and seen as an excellent organisation which manages resources in an efficient and effective way

9.4 Provide a safe place for staff to work in and support the health and wellbeing of our people.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications impacting Council as a result of this report.

CONSULTATION

Consultation has taken place between 4 April and 25 April 2012 between Redland City Council, employees and the relevant unions.

Employees were notified via the Global on 11 April 2012 of the amendments to the employee code of conduct and were provided with the opportunity to provide feedback on the proposed changes.

All changes proposed by employees have been considered and implemented where appropriate. The relevant unions have been provided with a copy of the proposed employee code of conduct on 11 April 2012 and asked to provide feedback within 2 weeks.

OPTIONS

Preferred

That Council adopt the revised Redland City Council Employee Code of Conduct.

**OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr W Boglary

Seconded by: Cr M Elliott

That Council resolve to adopt the revised Redland City Council Employee Code of Conduct.

CARRIED

Cr Bishop voted in the negative.

13.1.2 SEPTEMBER 2012 MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORTS**Dataworks Filename:** FM Monthly Financial Reports to Committee**Attachment:** [September EOM Report](#)**Responsible Officer:** Martin Drydale
General Manager Corporate Services**Author:** Deborah Corbett-Hall
Service Manager Business & Commercial
Finance

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Section 152(2) of the *Local Government (Finance Plans & Reporting) Regulation 2010* requires the Chief Executive Officer to present the financial report to a monthly meeting and accordingly the September 2012 financial reports are now presented to Council for noting.

The September 2012 financial performance report provides indication of financial outcomes at the end of the first quarter. Trends will have been noted by the Executive Leadership Group and relevant officers who can provide further clarification and advice around actual to budget variances.

The budget presented in the attached report includes the adopted carryover budget review position which identified approximately \$1.1M of capital works to be carried over from 2011-2012 and an additional \$5.1M of efficiency reductions. The first quarter budget review is expected to further address any material variances between budget and actuals following the results from the first three months of the financial year.

Council exceeded targets adopted for the following 2012-2013 Key Financial Stability and Sustainability Ratios:

- Level of dependence on general rate revenue;
- Ability to pay our bills – current ratio;
- Ability to repay our debt – debt servicing ratio;
- Cash balance;
- Cash balances – cash capacity in months;
- Longer term financial stability – debt to assets ratio;
- Operating surplus ratio;
- Net financial liabilities; and
- Interest cover ratio.

The following indicators are unfavourable and outside of Council's target range:

- Operating performance; and
- Asset Consumption ratio.

PURPOSE

The purpose is to present the September 2012 financial report to Council and explain the content and analysis of the report. Section 152(2) of the *Local Government (Finance, Plans & Reporting) Regulation 2010* requires the Chief Executive Officer of a local government to present statements of its accounts to the local government.

The financials also provide Council with regular analysis of performance against budget; variances can then be identified on a monthly basis and subsequently addressed if required via budget submissions through the quarterly budget review process.

BACKGROUND

The Corporate Plan contains a strategic priority to support the organisation's capacity to deliver services to the community by building a skilled, motivated and continually learning workforce, ensuring assets and finances are well managed, corporate knowledge is captured and used to best advantage, and that services are marketed and communicated effectively.

ISSUES

Please refer to the attached Monthly Financial Performance Report.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

8. Inclusive and ethical governance

Deep engagement, quality leadership at all levels, transparent and accountable democratic processes and a spirit of partnership between the community and Council will enrich residents' participation in local decision making to achieve the community's Redlands 2030 vision and goals

8.7 Ensure Council resource allocation is sustainable and delivers on Council and community priorities

8.8 Provide clear information to citizens about how rates, fees and charges are set and how Council intends to finance the delivery of the Community Plan and Corporate Plan

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Please refer to the attached Monthly Financial Performance Report.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

It is considered that the outcome of recommendations in this report will not require any amendments to the Redlands Planning Scheme.

CONSULTATION

Consultation has taken place amongst Council departmental officers, Financial Services Group officers and the Executive Leadership Group.

OPTIONS**PREFERRED**

That Council resolve to note the End of Month Financial Reports for September 2012 and explanations as presented in the attached Monthly Financial Performance Report.

ALTERNATIVE

That Council requests additional information.

**OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr M Edwards

Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

That Council resolve to note the End of Month Financial Reports for September 2012 and explanations as presented in the attached Monthly Financial Performance Report.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

13.2 GOVERNANCE

13.2.1 SPONSORSHIP APPLICATION - ABIGAIL'S ENTERTAINMENT 'SENIOR SUPERSTAR' EVENT

Datworks Filename: CR Sponsorship - Outgoing

Responsible Officer: Nick Clarke
General Manager Governance

Author: Tracey Walker
Group Manager Communications

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Abigail's Entertainment has applied for sponsorship of \$15,000, plus venue hire of RPAC plus in-kind promotion to help run a talent show, *Senior Superstar*, in February/March 2013 (depending on venue availability).

The applicant describes *Senior Superstar* as a "community building program that encourages participation and showcases the talents of older citizens" where "participants have the opportunity to perform through a series of heats and a final showcase".

Abigail's Entertainment is a Moorooka-based events company that for the past eight years has run *Senior Superstar* in Brisbane and at the Sunshine Coast for the past six years.

It claims the event is the largest seniors' talent quest in Australia, attracting an audience of more than 4400 people to its Brisbane events in 2011. A total of 87 seniors participated in 67 acts in Brisbane in 2011, with the oldest entrant aged 93 years.

The total cost of the event is \$32,383. The Public Trustee has committed to \$2,500 towards the event.

The objective of Redland City Council's Corporate Sponsorship policy is to:

Support and promote a strong and involved community through the sponsorship of events, projects, services and other activities in an equitable and accountable way.

The benefits include increased community capacity, economic opportunities and building greater understanding of Council's role in the community.

Applicants for outgoing sponsorship will be assessed against criteria of: alignment with Council goals and priorities; value for money; track record of applicants; level of support from other organisations; and benefits to the local community.

All sponsorships of more than \$10,000 must be referred to Council for decision.

This report provides recommendations from the internal assessment committee regarding the sponsorship application.

The internal committee recommends:

- \$3,000 sponsorship to be used for venue hire at RPAC
- In-kind promotion of the event through existing Council communication channels (ie website, newsletters).

PURPOSE

This report provides background and recommendations to Council for sponsorship of Senior Superstar, a talent show for older citizens, planned to be held in the Redlands in February/March 2013.

Abigail's Entertainment runs Senior Superstars has requested sponsorship of \$15,000, to help run the event, plus venue hire of RPAC plus in-kind promotion.

ISSUES

This project is expected to have medium community involvement and limited economic opportunity.

Providing large sponsorships, such as the \$15,000 requested, for projects *without* significant community involvement or significant economic opportunity is not advised, as it severely limits the ability of council to share funds equitably.

Redland City Council already runs and subsidises the ticket price for the Musical Melodies Concert series of up to six cabaret events a year at RPAC aimed at the seniors' audience. The ticket subsidy alone for 2011-12 totalled \$15,692.50.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

7. Strong and connected communities

Our health, wellbeing and strong community spirit will be supported by a full range of services, programs, organisations and facilities, and our values of caring and respect will extend to people of all ages, cultures, abilities and needs

7.1 Promote festivals, events and activities for people to come together, developing connections and networks to improve community spirit and enhance 'sense of place'

7.8 Support "Ageing Well in the Redlands", to enable active participation in all aspects of community life

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Council's total sponsorship budget for 2012-13 is \$126,000.

Already, in only two months of sponsorship assessments (July and August 2012), a total of \$47,000 in sponsorships has been allocated.

CONSULTATION

The internal assessment panel representing the areas of community futures, community and cultural services, tourism, planning and development, and communications.

OPTIONS**PREFERRED**

Accept the assessment panel's recommendation to provide:

- \$3,000 sponsorship to be used for venue hire at RPAC; and
- In-kind promotion of the event through existing Council communication channels (i.e. website, newsletters).

ALTERNATIVE

1. Decline approval of the assessment panel's recommendation.
2. Amend the amount of sponsorship funding.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That Council resolve to accept the assessment panel's recommendation in relation to the Abigail's Entertainment 'Senior Superstar' sponsorship application to provide:

1. \$3,000 sponsorship to be used for venue hire at RPAC; and
2. In-kind promotion of the event through existing Council communication channels (i.e. website, newsletters).

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr M Edwards

Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

That the Officer's Recommendation not be accepted and that Council resolve to decline the application for sponsorship for the Abigail's Entertainment 'Senior Superstar' event.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

13.3 CITY SERVICES

13.3.1 PETITION (DIVISION 9) REQUEST TO REMOVE LEASING FEE PLACED ON SPORTING CLUBS BASED ON THE NUMBER OF POKER MACHINES

Dataworks Filename: GOV Petitions

Attachments: [Attachment 1 – Policy Document POL-3071](#)
[Attachment 2 – Fees Collected by Council since 2008](#)
[Attachment 3 – Comparative Options](#)

Responsible Officer: Lex Smith
Group Manager City Spaces

Author: Kristina Dickman
Service Manager Sport and Recreation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Council adopted Corporate Policy POL 3071 Leasing of Council Land and Facilities in January 2008. There was a further review of fee structures for organisations with licences for up to 30 gaming machines or more (Category D and E) in July 2010.

Since the time of the last review there has been significant increase in operating costs to our clubs and there has been increased level of concern raised with Council about their sustainability.

A petition was brought to Council General Meeting on 28 March 2012 that was a “Request to remove leasing fee on sporting clubs based on the number of poker machines”. The petition had 150 respondents with 122 residents’ signatures from the Redlands.

This report puts forward a number of scenarios for consideration and makes a recommendation to amend the policy and fee structure for an outcome based on ‘equity, sustainability and best value’.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to:

1. Provide Council with a snapshot of the current types of leases and fee structure
2. Provide alternatives for consideration and make a recommendation.
3. Seek Council approval to amend the fees and charges schedule for the implementation of the revised leasing policy fees and issue a revised budget at the quarterly review for projected revenue in 2012-13 in consideration of the amendment.

BACKGROUND

One of the core functions of Local Government is to manage land for community use. On 30 January 2008, Council adopted Corporate Policy POL 3071 Leasing of Council Land and Facilities. The policy contains leasing provisions for eligible organisations such as lease types, tenure terms and fees and charges. Please see Attachment 1 POL 3071

Leasing of Council land must benefit the wider community and fall within the following purposes:

- Sporting
- Recreational
- Community Services
- Arts and Cultural
- Education

Annual lease fees are charged as contributions towards costs associated with the provision of land, service costs and maintenance. These fees were originally based on the potential capacity of an organisation to contribute to costs rather than user numbers or value of the land. Please note that all revenue amounts in this report are exclusive of GST.

Table 1:

Number of Clubs/Organisations	Type of Organisation	Conditions	Rate at the time of adoption (GST Exclusive):
54	Category A	organisations without a liquor licence (or up to 3 day licences each year)	\$1 per year
12	Category B	organisations with restricted club permits	\$1 per year
5	Category C	organisations with full liquor licences	\$500 per year
7	Category D	organisations with licence for 30 gaming machines or less	\$100 per machine for 30 gaming machines or less
5	Category E	organisations with licence for more than 30 gaming machines	\$125 per machine per year for more than 30 gaming machines

ISSUES

To date there have been no issues with clubs and organisations in Categories A, B and C. However, in Categories D and E (those with EGM's – electronic gaming machines), there are a couple of organisations that have struggled to meet their obligations. Please see Attachment 2, Category D and E organisations and the fees collected since 2008.

Council Officers have worked closely with the clubs to assist them meet their obligations by developing individual payment schedules to fit in with the seasonal fluctuations of sporting organisations or to spread out repayments throughout the

year. As a result all but one organisation is currently in debt to Council for lease fees. There has been however, an increasing level of concern raised by organisations around sustainability. Clubs are looking for an increased level of assistance as well as some relief from Council to ensure the clubs remain strong from a financial point of view.

It is necessary to ensure that in comparing an organisation to its peers, that we are able to have a measure of relative analysis with the categories. In other words, comparing apples with apples.

It is proposed that Council may consider a number of options to make an adjustment to the existing fees and charges to accommodate the Clubs' request whilst ensuring that the public resources of Council are managed in the best interests of the City.

Option 1:

This option proposes to revise the current categories and fee structures, taking into consideration the existing scale and size of the operations of clubs and organisations.

This approach provides a more balanced approach and takes into consideration the principles of 'equity, sustainability and best value'. The proposed categories are based on a holistic view of the level of commercial activity that includes existing revenue streams and capacity in terms of paid staff of the organisation. Refer Table 2 below.

Table 2:

Current Category	Proposed Category	Sub-category	Current Fee GST Exclusive	Revised Fee GST Exclusive Activity based flat rate \$	Rationale Summary of scale of commercial activities
A	Community Club	Unlicensed	1	1	No change required No commercial activities and limited revenue streams – volunteer staff/ no FTE
B	Community Club	Restricted liquor permit	1	1	No change required Less than 25 hours per week trading under restricted liquor permit – volunteer staff/ no FTE
C	Community Club	Full liquor permit	541.50	541.50	No change required – commercial activity of alcohol sales under full liquor permit – volunteer staff or less than 5 FTE or equivalent
D	Supporters Club	Tier 1	Machine based rate	1000	Community club with a range of small scale commercial activity, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • full liquor permit, • gaming (16 machines or less), • hospitality operating less than 7 days

Current Category	Proposed Category	Sub-category	Current Fee GST Exclusive	Revised Fee GST Exclusive Activity based flat rate \$	Rationale Summary of scale of commercial activities
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Less than 5 FTE or equivalent
D and E	Supporters Club	Tier 2	Machine based rate	2,000	Community club with medium scale commercial activity, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> full liquor permit, gaming (17-35) machines or less), entertainment and hospitality operating less than 7 days less than 10 FTE or equivalent
E	Supporters Club	Tier 3	Machine based rate	10,000	Large club with a range of commercial, gaming and entertainment activity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> full liquor permit, gaming (36-149), entertainment and hospitality, operating 7 days less than 10 FTE or equivalent
E	Supporters Club	Tier 4	Machine based rate	25,000	Large club with a full range of significant commercial, gaming, function and entertainment activity, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> full liquor permit, gaming (more than 150 machines), full entertainment, function and hospitality venue operating 7 days more than 10 FTE or equivalent

In summary all clubs would make significant savings total \$29,389.38 GST exclusive refer Attachment 3 and this would impact Councils projected revenue and bottom line by the same amount. A budget adjustment would be required at quarterly budget review.

Option 2: Make a fixed rate for each existing category rather than charging per gaming machine

Table 3

Number of Clubs/ Organisations	Type of Organisation	Current Rate GST Exclusive	Proposed Rate GST Exclusive	Council Revenue GST Exclusive	Rationale for change
54	Category A	\$1 per year	\$1 per year		na

Number of Clubs/ Organisations	Type of Organisation	Current Rate GST Exclusive	Proposed Rate GST Exclusive	Council Revenue GST Exclusive	Rationale for change
12	Category B	\$1 per year	\$1 per year		na
6	Category C	\$541.50 per year	\$541.50 per year	\$3249	na
7	Category D	\$109.25 per machine for 30 gaming machines or less	\$1000	\$7000	Flat rate
5	Category E	\$137.73 per machine per year for more than 30 gaming machines	\$5000	\$25000	Flat rate

Option 2: This approach means that A-C clubs are unchanged and a new flat rate applies for D-E that would mean significant savings to all of these clubs and it would reduce the revenue to Council. Total Current projection 12/13 \$97,638.38 GST exclusive less Flat rate proposal total \$35,249 GST exclusive equals \$62,389.38 GST exclusive refer Attachment 3. This amount would require adjusting Council budget at quarterly review.

Option 3: Leave the category structure as it is and reduce the fees to Category D and E clubs to give significant relief e.g. 50% reduction in the current fee would mean significant savings to the clubs and a reduction in revenue to Council. Please see Attachment 3, Percentage reduction in existing fees. A reduction of 50% would mean that there would be a reduction in revenue to Council of \$47,149.69 GST exclusive. This amount would require adjusting Council budget at quarterly review.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

7. Strong and connected communities

Our health, wellbeing and strong community spirit will be supported by a full range of services, programs, organisations and facilities, and our values of caring and respect will extend to people of all ages, cultures, abilities and needs

7.2 Provide access to quality services, facilities and information that meet the needs of all age groups and communities, especially disadvantaged and vulnerable people

8. Inclusive and ethical governance

Deep engagement, quality leadership at all levels, transparent and accountable democratic processes and a spirit of partnership between the community and Council will enrich residents' participation in local decision making to achieve the community's Redlands 2030 vision and goals

8.3 Establish and maintain effective partnerships with local, regional and national organisations and governments to deliver the visions and goals of the community

- 8.7 Ensure Council resource allocation is sustainable and delivers on Council and community priorities
- 8.8 Provide clear information to citizens about how rates, fees and charges are set and how Council intends to finance the delivery of the Community Plan and Corporate Plan

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

This recommendation requires a change to the current year's budget of \$29,389.38 GST exclusive in revenue. This would be implemented through the Finance One account number and budget phasing at the quarterly budget review. It is to be noted that Revenue to Council for community leasing has a total current budget of \$97,638.38 GST exclusive.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

The City Planning & Environment Group was consulted and it is considered that the outcome of recommendations in this report will not result in some future amendments to the Redlands Planning Scheme.

CONSULTATION

- General Manager Corporate Services.
- Manager Property Services.
- Clubs requesting some relief from Council fees.

OPTIONS

PREFERRED

That Council resolve as follows:

1. Note the report and to approve an amendment to the leasing policy for the implementation of Option 1 that provides new categories and fees based on the scale and size of the operations of the clubs and organisations;
2. Approve the issue of invoices to clubs and organisation under the new fee schedule; and
3. Issue a revised budget at the quarterly review for projected revenue in 2012-13 in consideration of the amendment.

ALTERNATIVE 1

1. Seek Council approval to amend the fees and charges schedule for the implementation of Scenario 1: Leave the policy as it is and reduce the fees to Category D and E clubs by 50%
2. Issue a revised budget at the quarterly review for projected revenue in 2012-13 in consideration of the amendment.

ALTERNATIVE 2

1. Seek Council approval to do further investigation and engagement with clubs and organisations to gather data and revise the leasing policy model.
2. Issue invoices to clubs and organisation as per the current schedule in alignment with projected revenue in 2012-13.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That Council resolve to:

1. Note the report and to approve an amendment to the leasing policy for the implementation of Option 1 that provides new categories and fees based on the scale and size of the operations of the clubs and organisations;
2. Approve the issue of invoices to clubs and organisation under the new fee schedule; and
3. Issue a revised budget at the quarterly review for projected revenue in 2012-13 in consideration of the amendment.

PROPOSED MOTION

Moved by: Cr L Hewlett

That every Sporting and Recreation Club be charged only \$1.00.

The motion LAPSED for want of a seconder.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr M Edwards

Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

That Council resolve as follows:

1. To note the report and to approve an amendment to the leasing policy for the implementation of Option 2 that provides new categories and fees based on the scale and size of the operations of the clubs and organisations;
2. To approve the issue of invoices to clubs and organisation under the new fee schedule;
3. To issue a revised budget at the quarterly review for projected revenue in 2012-13 in consideration of the amendment;
4. That Council undertake a further review of options for modelling these charges; and
5. To amend the Fees & Charges Schedule 2012/2013 to incorporate these changes.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

13.4 CLOSED SESSION AT COMMITTEE

The Committee meeting was closed to the public under section 72(1) of the *Local Government (Operations) Regulation 2010* to discuss the following item, and following deliberation on this matter, the Committee meeting was again opened to the public.

13.4.1 FLEET REPLACEMENT PROGRAM

Datworks Filename: FM Tendering

Responsible Officer: Martin Drydale
General Manager Corporate Services

Author: Gail Widrose
Contracts Manager

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A confidential report from General Manager Corporate Services was discussed in closed session.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr M Edwards
Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

That Council resolve to delegate authority to the Chief Executive Officer, under section 257 (1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 2009*, to:

- 1. Award the contracts under delegation to the successful contractors;**
- 2. Make, vary and discharge the contracts in accordance with the agreed contract terms for any changes; and**
- 3. Sign all relevant documentation.**

CARRIED (en-bloc)

14 REDLAND WATER AND REDWASTE COMMITTEE – 16 OCTOBER 2012

Moved by: Cr L Hewlett
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That the Redland Water and RedWaste Committee Minutes of 16 October 2012 be received and items resolved under delegated authority be noted.

[Redland Water and RedWaste Committee Minutes 16 October 2012](#)

CARRIED

ITEMS RESOLVED UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY

- 14.1.1 Provision of a Preferred Supplier for the Design, Supply and Installation of New Inlet Screening Upgrades at Cleveland WWTP – Contract Over \$500,000 Including GST
- 14.1.2 Expression of Interest for the South-East Thornlands Sewer Project

14.1 COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY**14.1.1 PROVISION OF A PREFERRED SUPPLIER FOR THE DESIGN, SUPPLY AND INSTALLATION OF NEW INLET SCREENING UPGRADES AT CLEVELAND WWTP - CONTRACT OVER \$500,000 INCLUDING GST**

Dataworks Filename: T-1606-12/13-RDW

**Responsible Officer: Bradley Taylor
Group Manager Infrastructure & Planning**

**Author: Bruce Harding
Infrastructure Project Officer**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A tender is currently being developed to attract suitable contractors for the Cleveland wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) inlet screening upgrade project.

This tender is in accordance with section 106 (2) Sound Contracting Principles of the *Local Government Act 2009*.

In accordance with section 173(3), 175 and 177 of the *Local Government (Finance, Plans and Reporting) Regulation 2010*, Council proposed to advertise a tender for respondents to lodge a submission for the removal of the existing step screen, manual rake screen and dewatering screw conveyor, supply and retrofitting of two new band screens and an upgraded dewatering screw conveyor in the existing concrete channels.

To assist with the contract award process, Redland Water & Redwaste requests that authority be delegated to Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to make, vary and discharge contracts for the successful tenderer which will have a value over \$500,000 (including GST).

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to seek resolution from Council to delegate authority to the Chief Executive Officer to:

- Accept, make, vary and discharge a contract with a value over \$500,000 (including GST) for the Cleveland WWTP inlet screening upgrade works (T-1606-12/13-RDW).

DELEGATED AUTHORITY REQUIREMENTS

Under section 187 (entering into a contract under a delegation) of the *Local Government (Finance, Plans and Reporting) Regulation 2010*, Council can give delegated authority to the CEO to enter, negotiate, and conclude contracts and purchasing arrangements under the provisions of the *Local Government Act 1993* and Local Government Finance Standards.

Under section 259 (delegation of the Chief Executive Officer powers) of the *Local Government Act 2009*, the CEO has the authority to delegate to the General Managers and other council officers to accept quotations and tenders and enter into contracts subject to the following conditions:

- An instrument of delegation has been issued and signed by the CEO;
- The value of the contract is within the limits and conditions outlined in the instrument of delegation; and
- The delegate has attended Purchasing and Technology One training.

As there will be significant benefit in Council making a formal decision (resolution) on this matter without delay, it is recommended that the Committee use delegated authority for formal decision making on this matter, in accordance with section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009*.

The significant benefit relates to:

- Meeting construction timeframes; and
- Meeting budget timeframes.

Over the last few financial years, the departments have been presenting reports to Council requesting that authority be delegated to the CEO to make, vary and discharge contracts for various tenders with a value over \$500,000 (including GST). This process has been used to assist with expediting the contract award process and delivery of the project. This delegation is therefore within the Committee's limits and conditions.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

This project has been budgeted for in the 2012/2013 financial year Redland Water Capex Budget 12/13 program. The RCC approved capital works budget amount is \$1,000,000.00 (excluding GST).

CONSULTATION

The following have been consulted in the preparation of this report and all are in agreement or supportive of the recommendation:

- General Manager Redland Water & RedWaste;
- Group Manager Infrastructure & Planning;
- Project Officer Manager - Procurement Operations; and

- Senior Tenders & Contracts Officer.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

9 An efficient and effective organisation

Council is well respected and seen as an excellent organisation which manages resource in an efficient and effective way.

9.7 Develop our procurement practices to increase value for money within an effective governance framework.

OPTIONS

Preferred

That Council resolve to use Committee delegated authority for formal decision making in accordance with section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009* and Council resolution of the Post Election Meeting 17 May 2012 Item 7, as follows:

1. To delegate authority to the Chief Executive Officer, under s.257(1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 2009*, to accept the tender (T-1606-12/13-RDW) and make, vary and discharge a contract with a value over \$500,000 (including GST);
2. To delegate authority to the Chief Executive Officer to sign and amend all relevant documentation; and
3. The use of delegated authority is justified for the purpose of meeting construction and budget timeframes.

Alternative

That Council resolve not to delegate authority to the Chief Executive Officer which will result in delays with the awarding of a contract and the construction of the project which could lead to additional costs to Council.

OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/ COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr L Hewlett
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That Council resolve to use Committee delegated authority for formal decision making in accordance with section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009* and Council resolution of the Post Election Meeting 17 May 2012 Item 7, as follows:

1. To delegate authority to the Chief Executive Officer, under s.257(1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 2009*, to accept the tender (T-1606-12/13-RDW) and make, vary and discharge a contract with a value over \$500,000 (including GST);
2. To delegate authority to the Chief Executive Officer to sign and amend all relevant documentation; and
3. The use of delegated authority is justified for the purpose of meeting construction and budget timeframes.

CARRIED

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr L Hewlett
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That the Committee Resolution be noted.

CARRIED

14.1.2 EXPRESSION OF INTEREST FOR THE SOUTH-EAST THORNLANDS SEWER PROJECT

Datworks Filename: T-1603-12/13-RDW

Responsible Officer: Bradley Taylor
Group Manager Infrastructure & Planning

Author: Bruce Harding
Infrastructure Project Officer

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

An expression of interest (EOI) has been issued for construction of the south-east Thornlands (SET) sewer project.

This EOI is in accordance with section 106(2) Sound Contracting Principles of the *Local Government Act 2009*.

In accordance with section 173(3), 175 and 177 of the *Local Government (Finance, Plans and Reporting) Regulation 2010*, Council invited respondents to lodge a submission for the construction of the pump station, rising main and gravity sewerage scheme to be considered in a short list and to submit a tender for the SET sewer project.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to seek resolution from Council to invite tenders from the three companies that submitted conforming EOIs.

DELEGATED AUTHORITY REQUIREMENTS

As there will be significant benefit in Council making a formal decision (resolution) on this matter without delay, it is recommended that the Committee use delegated authority for formal decision making on this matter, in accordance with Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009*.

The significant benefit relates to:

- meeting developer timeframes
- meeting construction timeframes
- meeting budget timeframes
- financial benefits to all parties

This delegation is therefore within the Committee's limits and conditions.

BACKGROUND

An EOI has been issued to attract suitable constructors for the SET sewer project.

This EOI is in accordance with section 106(2) Sound Contracting Principles of the *Local Government Act 2009*.

In accordance with section 173(3), 175 and 177 of the *Local Government (Finance, Plans and Reporting) Regulation 2010*, Council, invited respondents to lodge a submission for the construction of the pump station, rising main and gravity sewerage

scheme to be considered in a short list and to submit a tender for the SET sewer project.

The EOI was advertised in The Courier Mail and local papers on Friday 7 September 2012 and Tuesday 11 September 2012, and closed on Friday 28 September 2012 at 2.00pm.

At the time of closing, 15 EOIs were received. Upon evaluation, only 3 of these were deemed to be conforming.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

This project has been budgeted for in the 2012/2013 financial year Redland Water Capex Budget 12/13 program.

The Redland City Council approved capital works budget amount is \$5,000,000.00 (excluding GST).

CONSULTATION

The following have been consulted in the preparation of this report and all are in agreement or supportive of the recommendation:

- General Manager Redland Water & Redwaste;
- Group Manager Infrastructure & Planning, Redland Water & RedWaste;
- Project Officer Manager - Procurement Operations, Redland City Council; and
- Senior Tenders & Contracts Officer, Redland City Council.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

9. An efficient and effective organisation

Council is well respected and seen as an excellent organisation which manages resources in an efficient and effective way

9.7 Develop our procurement practices to increase value for money within an effective governance framework

OPTIONS

Preferred

That Council resolve as follows:

1. To use Committee delegated authority for formal decision making in accordance with Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009*. and Council's resolution of the Post Election Meeting 17 May 2012 (Item 7) to invite tenders for the construction of the Southeast Thornlands sewer project for the three companies that submitted conforming expressions of interest; and
2. The use of delegated authority is justified for the purpose of meeting statutory and budget timeframes and financial benefits to all parties.

Alternative

That Committee resolve not to invite tenders from the three companies that submitted conforming expressions of interest.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr L Hewlett
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That Council resolve as follows:

1. To use Committee delegated authority for formal decision making in accordance with Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009*, and Council's resolution of the Post Election Meeting 17 May 2012 (Item 7) to invite tenders for the construction of the Southeast Thornlands sewer project for the three companies that submitted conforming expressions of interest; and
2. The use of delegated authority is justified for the purpose of meeting statutory and budget timeframes and financial benefits to all parties.

CARRIED

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr L Hewlett
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That the Committee Resolution be noted.

CARRIED

14.2 REDLAND WATER AND REDWASTE

14.2.1 REDLAND WATER BUSINESS UNIT REPORT - SEPTEMBER 2012

Dataworks Filename: WW Redland Water & RedWaste Committee
WS Redland Water & RedWaste Committee

Attachment: [Redland Water Business Unit Monthly Report September 2012](#)

Responsible Officer: Gary Soutar
General Manager Redland Water & RedWaste

Author: Shelley Thompson
PA to General Manager Redland Water & RedWaste

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Redland Water (RW) business unit report is presented to Council for noting. The report provides the business unit's performance for the month of September 2012 and covers financial and non-financial indicators for water and wastewater.

It is expected that, most of the time the report findings will be "business as usual". Where exceptions occur, these will be highlighted.

The report provides a regular opportunity for Council to consider RW's performance and to respond to any exceptional reporting.

Council is provided with the option to accept the report or, accept it and request additional information or a review of performance.

PURPOSE

To report on the ongoing performance of the business unit against key performance indicators (KPIs).

BACKGROUND

RW's performance plan identifies KPIs for which performance targets have been agreed with Council. Reporting is done each month through the Redland Water & RedWaste committee.

ISSUES

The report is provided to Council as a means of monitoring the performance of RW for the activities of water and wastewater.

The first part of the report comprises a "snapshot" of the business unit's achievement in meeting KPIs (year-to-date) and the financial report card.

The report then provides specific financial reports and commentary, capital expenditure (graphically) and a detailed customer overview.

The main body of the report focuses on actual levels of achievement against the KPIs for the month. Where exceptions have occurred and targets not met, an explanation is given as well as action taken to improve performance.

The report closes with a summary of the major issues for each group during the month.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

8. Inclusive and ethical governance

Deep engagement, quality leadership at all levels, transparent and accountable democratic processes and a spirit of partnership between the community and Council will enrich residents' participation in local decision making to achieve the community's Redlands 2030 vision and goals

8.5 Be transparent and consistent in the way we manage the organisation, its risks and obligations and ensure we are delivering against our priorities

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct financial implications impacting Council as a result of this report.

Financial implications may result where Council requests a performance review or requests an increase in performance standards.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

The City Planning & Environment group was not consulted as it is considered that the outcome of recommendations in this report will not require any amendments to the Redlands Planning Scheme.

CONSULTATION

Consultation has occurred with:

- Manager Distribution & Treatment Services – Redland Water & RedWaste;
- Manager Customer & Retail Services – Redland Water & RedWaste;
- Manager Infrastructure & Planning - – Redland Water & RedWaste;
- Senior Accountant Commercial Businesses – Redland City Council.

OPTIONS

PREFERRED

That Council resolve to accept the Redland Water business unit report for September 2012 as presented in the attachment.

ALTERNATIVE

That Council resolve to accept the Redland Water business unit report for September 2012 as presented in the attachment and requests additional information or a review of performance.

**OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

**Moved by: Cr L Hewlett
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards**

That Council resolve to accept the Redland Water business unit report for September 2012 as presented in the attachment.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

14.2.2 REDWASTE BUSINESS UNIT REPORT FOR JULY TO SEPTEMBER 2012**Dataworks Filename:** WM – Waste Monthly Reports to Committee**Attachment:** [RedWaste Business Unit Report September 2012](#)**Responsible Officer:** Gary Soutar
General Manager Redland Water & RedWaste**Author:** Robert Walford
Service Manager - RedWaste

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The RedWaste Business Unit Report is presented to Council for noting. This report provides details relating to the business unit's performance for the quarter from 1 July 2012 to 30 September 2012 and covers financial and non-financial indicators for waste, as outlined in the 2012/13 Annual Performance Plan (APP), which was adopted by Council in July 2012.

The report provides a regular opportunity for Council to consider the performance of the RedWaste Business Unit and to respond to any exceptional reporting. Most of the report findings will be "business as usual". Where exceptions occur, these will be highlighted.

PURPOSE

To report on the performance of the RedWaste business unit against key performance indicators (KPIs) outlined in the business unit's APP for 2012/13 for the quarter from 1 July 2012 to 30 September 2012.

BACKGROUND

The RedWaste Business Unit APP identifies KPIs for which performance targets have been agreed with Council. First quarter reporting is prepared through Council's Redland Water & RedWaste Committee, and subsequent reporting will be prepared monthly through the Redland Water & RedWaste Committee.

ISSUES

The report is provided to Council as a means of monitoring the performance of the business unit's activities. The first part of the attached report comprises a "snapshot" of the business unit's achievement in meeting KPIs (year-to-date) and the financial report card.

The report then provides a specific financial report and commentary, capital expenditure (graphically) and a detailed customer overview. The main body of the report focuses on actual levels of achievement against the KPIs for each of the months, year to date. Where exceptions have occurred and targets not met, an explanation is given as well as action taken to improve performance.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

2. Green living

Our green living choices will improve our quality of life and our children's lives, through our sustainable and energy efficient use of resources, transport and infrastructure, and our well informed responses to risks such as climate change.

2.2 Promote, support and encourage commitment to green living in our community by improving residents' understanding of climate change and achieving greater water, energy and waste conservation and efficiency

2.8 Implement Council's waste management strategy by applying best practice principles in pricing, public awareness, resource management, recycling and recovery

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications impacting Council as a result of this report.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

The City Planning & Environment Group was not consulted as it is considered that the outcome of recommendations in this report will not result in amendments to the Redlands Planning Scheme.

CONSULTATION

Consultation has occurred with the following:

- General Manager – Redland Water & RedWaste;
- Group Manager, Customer & Retail Services – Redland Water & RedWaste;
- Service Manager – RedWaste; and
- Management Accountant - Commercial Finance Unit.

OPTIONS

PREFERRED

That Council resolve to note the RedWaste Business Unit Report for the quarter ending 30 September 2012, as presented in the attachment.

ALTERNATIVE

That Council accepts the report and requests additional information or a review of performance.

OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/ COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr L Hewlett
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That Council resolve to accept the RedWaste Business Unit report for the quarter ending 30 September 2012, as presented in the attachment.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

14.2.3 REDLAND WATER DRAFT WATER NETSERV PLAN PART A

Datworks Filename:	WS Planning – Water Netserv Plan WW Planning – Water Netserv Plan
Attachment:	<u>Redland Water Draft Water Netserv Plan Part A</u>
Responsible Officer:	Bradley Taylor Group Manager Infrastructure and Planning
Author:	Matthew Ingerman Principal Engineer - Water

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Redland Water Draft Water Netserv Plan Part A is presented to Council for noting and approval to proceed to public consultation.

PURPOSE

To provide Council with a draft of the first Water Netserv Plan Part A prepared by Redland Water, and to seek Council approval to proceed to public consultation in accordance with the requirements of the *South East Queensland Water (Distribution and Retail) Act 2009*.

BACKGROUND

The *South East Queensland Water (Distribution and Retail) Act 2009* requires Redland Water (RW) to have a Water Netserv Plan in place from 1 July 2013. The Water Netserv Plan comprises two separate parts - Part A and Part B. Part A contains public information about RW's water and wastewater services, while Part B is an internal planning document that informs the overall strategic direction of RW. RW is only required to carry out public consultation for Part A of the proposed Water Netserv Plan.

ISSUES

The purpose of a Water Netserv Plan is to:

- ensure the provision of safe, reliable and secure water and wastewater services;
- provide for strategic planning for the operation of the business (mainly through Part B);
- provide for infrastructure planning for water and wastewater services for at least 20 years;
- integrate land use planning and infrastructure planning for water and wastewater services;
- provide for the management of water and wastewater services in a way that seeks to achieve ecological sustainability.

The State Government did intend producing guidelines for the preparation of Water Netserv Plans, however these guidelines have not been released. Consequently, RW has relied on the topics required for inclusion in Part A of Water Netserv Plans as

listed in the *South East Queensland Water (Distribution and Retail) Act 2009*. These requirements can be seen in Table 4-2 of the attachment.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

5. Wise planning and design

We will carefully manage population pressures and use land sustainably while advocating and taking steps to determine limits of growth and carrying capacity on a local and national basis, recognising environmental sensitivities and the distinctive character, heritage and atmosphere of local communities. A well-planned network of urban, rural and bushland areas and responsive infrastructure and transport systems will support strong, healthy communities.

5.12 Plan, provide and advocate for essential physical and social infrastructure that supports community well-being and manage Council's existing infrastructure assets to ensure current service standards are maintained or improved

8. Inclusive and ethical governance

Deep engagement, quality leadership at all levels, transparent and accountable democratic processes and a spirit of partnership between the community and Council will enrich residents' participation in local decision making to achieve the community's Redlands 2030 vision and goals

8.3 Establish and maintain effective partnerships with local, regional and national organisations and governments to deliver the visions and goals of the community

8.5 Be transparent and consistent in the way we manage the organisation, its risks and obligations and ensure we are delivering against our priorities

8.7 Ensure Council resource allocation is sustainable and delivers on Council and community priorities

8.8 Provide clear information to citizens about how rates, fees and charges are set and how Council intends to finance the delivery of the Community Plan and Corporate Plan

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications impacting Council as a result of this report.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

It is considered that the outcome of recommendations in this report will not require any amendments to the Redlands Planning Scheme.

CONSULTATION

Consultation has occurred with:

- General Manager Redland Water & RedWaste;
- Manager Distribution & Treatment Services – Redland Water & RedWaste;
- Manager Customer & Retail Services – Redland Water & RedWaste;
- Manager Infrastructure & Planning – Redland Water& RedWaste;

- stakeholders in the Environment, Planning and Development group, via the General Manager;
- Stakeholders in the City Infrastructure Group, via the Group Manager;
- Department of Energy and Water Supply – Director Urban Institutional Arrangements and Reform.

OPTIONS

PREFERRED

That Council resolve to:

1. Note the Draft Water Netserv Plan Part A as presented in the attachment; and
2. Proceed to public consultation with the Draft Water Netserv Plan Part A as presented in the attachment.

OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/ COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr L Hewlett
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That Council resolve to:

1. **Note the Draft Water Netserv Plan Part A as presented in the attachment;
and**
2. **Proceed to public consultation with the Draft Water Netserv Plan Part A as
presented in the attachment.**

CARRIED (en-bloc)

15 DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT & COMMUNITY STANDARDS COMMITTEE – 17 OCTOBER 2012

Moved by: Cr M Elliott
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That the Development Assessment & Community Standards Committee Minutes of 17 October 2012 be received and items resolved under delegated authority be noted.

[Development Assessment & Community Standards Minutes 17 October 2012](#)

CARRIED

ITEMS RESOLVED UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY

- 15.1.1 Waterway Recovery Strategy, Action Plan and Report
- 15.1.2 Future of the Community Immunisation Program

15.1 COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY**15.1.1 WATERWAY RECOVERY STRATEGY, ACTION PLAN AND REPORT**

Dataworks Filename: EM - Waterway Recovery Policy

Attachments: [Waterway Recovery Report 2012](#)
[Draft Reaching for Waterway Recovery](#)
[Draft Waterway Recovery Action Plan](#)

Responsible Officer: Gary Photinos
Manager City Planning and Environment

Authors: Warren Mortlock
Principal Adviser Environment
Helena Malawkin
Senior Adviser Environment

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the Environment & Planning Committee on Wednesday 10 October 2012, this item was deferred and referred to the Development Assessment & Community Standards Committee scheduled for Wednesday 17 October 2012 for resolution under Committee Delegated Authority.

This report presents the draft *Reaching for Waterway Recovery: A Strategy to 2030*, as Council's approach to recover the health of Redlands creeks and waterways by 2030.

Also presented is the *Waterway Recovery Action Plan 2013 to 2018*. The Action Plan responds to State legislative requirements for improved waterway management, and to community expectations for action.

The *Waterway Recovery Report* presents water quality data from July 2011 to June 2012 and compares this with previous years. The report is presented on a catchment-by-catchment basis. Local water quality data indicates that the majority of our freshwater creeks (73%) are in fair to good condition, indicating a stabilising trend in overall water quality. The Report indicates that Council's current planning

approach and the annual financial investment in waterways programs seems to have halted and stabilised the further decline in the health of Redland freshwater creeks. The release of Council's *Waterways Recovery Report 2012* is planned to coincide with the release of the regional Healthy Waterways Ecosystem Health Monitoring Program (EHMP) Report Card in October 2012.

This report recommends that Council adopts the *Reaching for Waterway Recovery* strategy for all planning and management purposes, noting the stabilising trend in the condition of the City's Waterways.

PURPOSE

The *Waterway Recovery Report* presents water quality data from July 2011 to June 2012 and compares this with previous years (Attachment 1).

The purpose of this report is to present the *Reaching for Waterway Recovery 2030 Strategy* (Attachment 2) and the *Waterway Recovery Action Plan 2013-2018* (Attachment 3) for adoption.

BACKGROUND

- Council's Corporate Environment Policy (POL-2644) includes statements:
 - “Protect, maintain and enhance the health of the City: Waterways, foreshores, coasts and Moreton Bay”; and
 - “Halt and then reverse the declining trend in the health of Redlands waterways and Moreton Bay, returning the native fish and macro-invertebrates to our (freshwater) waterways.
- Waterway Management Plans were developed for Tingalpa (2003) and Eprapah (2003) and Hilliards (2005) creeks.
- Detailed Waterway Management Planning is now integrated with flood-based planning (infrastructure planning) to produce Integrated Waterway Management Plans (IWMP). The first IWMP was produced by consultants in 2010 for Native Dog and Torquay catchments and further such plans are anticipated.
- Council is required by the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 2009 to develop and implement a Total Water Cycle Management Plan (TWCM) plan by 1 July 2013.
- Council has monitored waterways since 1994 from a sewerage treatment perspective to license wastewater discharges, and since 1998 from a general water quality and waterways health perspective.
- Council has participated with partners and directly monitored the water quality of our city's creeks regularly for reporting purposes since 2004.
- In 2008/09 Council designed and implemented a localised freshwater creek water quality monitoring program for Redland creeks. The local monitoring program has been running for three consecutive years with water quality and aquatic process data reported annually, and fish and water bug survey results. Since 2009 Council has produced an annual Waterway Recovery Report which gives an update on the water quality and health of our freshwater creeks. The reports in the past have been produced as a hard copy document and made available as a PDF download on the Redland City Council website.

ISSUES

STATUS OF WATERWAY HEALTH

The result of local monitoring indicates, a stabilising overall water quality trend. The overall water quality trend across the Redlands for each catchment from 2004 to 2012 has:

- d) slightly improved in Hilliards Creek;
- e) stabilised in Thornlands, Eprapah, Moogurrapum, Serpentine, Native Dog, Coolnwynpin Creeks and SMI; and
- f) slightly declined in Tarradarrapin, Cleveland, Weinam and Upper Tingalpa Creeks.

Local monitoring has determined that some locations in the city have inexplicably poor water quality, and these are called hot spots. Special investigations are underway to determine the source of very high pollutant loads at these hot spot locations and help us focus our on-ground waterway recovery action.

Council's city-wide water quality monitoring program monitors physical, chemical and aquatic processes of freshwater creeks in the Redlands. Every second or third year biological condition is monitored. The program was established in 2008/09 and this is the third annual report on the Program.

The Redland's freshwater 'catchment' has rated an 'F' grade for eight consecutive years according to the Healthy Waterways regional EHMP report card. The EHMP program has been running since 1999 and collects, analyses and publishes monitoring data on the physical, chemical and biological condition of fresh, estuarine and marine waters in Southeast Queensland. The annual EHMP report card is due for public release on Wednesday, October 24, 2012.

Council's Waterway Recovery Report is timed for release prior to the EHMP report card and provides reliable detailed information on the status of local creeks and allows a very targeted approach for recovery actions. It is now the primary information and planning tool for tracking change in local waterway health. The annual SEQ Healthy Waterways freshwater Ecosystem Health Report Card covers freshwater, marine and estuarine water quality.

RECENT COUNCIL ACTIVITY

Since 2007 Council has focussed its efforts on waterway recovery projects that:

- e) investigated specific waterway health issues (e.g. nutrient and sediment pollution, pest plants and animals, water quality and hot-spot investigations);
- f) modelled and analysed pollutant loads in Eprapah and Hilliards creeks;
- g) assessed and prioritised Council managed artificial waterbodies (dams, lakes) and developed information and methods to support operational management of these waterbodies; and
- h) trialled cost effective water quality improvement measures for these waterbodies, including floating wetlands, aeration and adding of enzymes.

The Waterway Extension Program (WEP) is an action oriented program launched in 2009. It aims to work one-on-one with landholders to tackle specific management issues in identified high priority catchments, provide technical, practical and financial assistance for landholders, all to reduce pollutants entering waterways and improving

waterway health. The program has registered more than 60 properties in three years of operation.

Council's Parks and Conservation Services (City Spaces) undertake revegetation, corridor widening and maintenance activities on council land adjacent to waterways, wetlands and Moreton Bay foreshore. In the 2010/11 financial year alone, 560 linear meters, covering 4.6 hectares of new corridor plantings was completed, 0.6 hectares of corridors were widened, maintenance of planting carried out in previous years and on-going maintenance including weed control and in-fill plantings.

Council supports community volunteer activities to improve waterways health through the Bush Care and Creek Crew Programs that provide coordination, training, tools, equipment and seedlings. These groups carry out weed removal and re-vegetation often near waterways and undertake community water quality monitoring. Other groups such as Erapah Creek Catchment Landcare Association, formed in 1990 undertake community and school education activities for waterways health and carry out weed control and re-vegetation activities across the catchment.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

State government legislation impacts on Council's management of waterways and devolves responsibility to local government.

Council has a statutory duty of care under the *Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 2009* to protect or enhance waterway environmental values for Redland waterways. The Policy includes location-specific guideline values and water quality objectives for waterways in Queensland. The Policy also requires that Council develop and commence implementation of a Total Water Cycle Management Plan (TWCMP).

Core (must do) actions in response to this legislation include: erosion and sediment control compliance and enforcement; water quality monitoring and hot-spot investigations; and implementing waterway health actions in Council's TWCMP – a requirement of this Policy. Preparation of the TWCMP is underway by City Infrastructure Group through consultancy with BMT WBM and is currently at the stage of confirming action responsibilities, timing and cost estimates. There is some overlap with existing actions in the Draft Waterway Recovery policy, however the latter has a limited scope and deals only with recovery of waterway condition. The TWCMP deals with the whole water cycle (not just creek condition) and therefore has a much broader scope and is the overarching plan.

- e) *Queensland Sustainable Planning Act 2009*. This Act provides for protection of waterway values through the Redland Planning Scheme. The Act also provides for regional plans. *State Planning Policy 4/10 Healthy Waters* is intended to ensure that development is planned, designed, constructed and operated to manage storm water and waste water in ways that help protect the water environmental values specified in the *Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 2009*.
- f) SEQ Regional Natural Resource Management (NRM) Plan 2009 – 2031. The policies and programs in this plan cover biodiversity; total water cycle planning, waterway health and rural water. The SEQ Regional NRM Plan includes targets adopted by the Council of Mayors and acknowledged by Council (GM Sept 2011), that focus on priority targets for future planning purposes:

- g) *Queensland Environmental Protection Act, 1994*. This Act creates the legislative framework for operation of Environmentally Relevant Activities (ERAs) and creates offences for water contamination. Council is delegated management of certain ERAs and responsibility for minor water pollution and environmental nuisance under the Act and regulations.
- h) *Queensland Land Protection (Pest and Stock Route Management) Act 2002*. This Act creates a legislative requirement for Council to develop and implement a pest management plan for managing declared pest species in a local government area. Management of aquatic weeds is a must do requirement of the Act and Council's draft Pest Management Plan 2012-2016.

COMMUNITY OPINION ON WATERWAY ISSUES

The local community has a strong interest in the achievement of healthy waterways within the Redlands. This is reflected in the Redlands 2030 Community Plan under the vision for Healthy Natural Environment, Goal 5 states that 'All the aquatic-based ecosystems of the Redlands are healthier, due to improved water quality in creeks, waterways, aquifers and wetlands.'

REACHING FOR WATERWAY RECOVERY DOCUMENT

The strategy is a plan to fix the health of our creeks and sits under the TWCMP. It is a whole-of-organisation strategy demonstrating consistent policy approach and delivery for Council and opportunities for savings to occur by ensuring actions are not duplicated (for example in waterways planning) and specifically targeted where the investment is required. The strategic outcome statements take a long-term view (to 2030) and the Action Plan (2013 – 2018) takes a short term view.

The proposed strategy objectives for waterway recovery, are the follows:

- j) Prevent pollution (mainly nutrients and sediments) from entering waterways and manage waterways to limit the in-stream release of nutrients.
- k) Manage public land to achieve no negative impacts on downstream waterways.
- l) Retain seiment on-site and prevent sediment moving into waterways.
- m) Control development to avoid, mitigate and manage negative impacts on downstream waterways.
- n) Manage artificial water bodies or remove them from the landscape.
- o) Recover healthy plant and animal populations in mainland and island waterways.
- p) Maintain a comprehensive network of connected, shaded and weed free waterway corridors across the city.
- q) Provide places, activities and opportunities for the community to connect with waterways and become involved in local recovery efforts.
- r) Drive improvement in waterways health through regular reporting and evaluation of waterways condition.

PRIORITY ACTIONS IN THE WATERWAY RECOVERY ACTION PLAN 2013 TO 2018

Council's highest priority actions for the next five years concentrate on essential State legislative obligations and the requirement for efficient and cost effective (back to basic) approaches to delivery of service standards, operational plans, asset management and community expectations for waterways management. The highest priorities are:

- h) **Hot spot management first.** This relies on continuing investigations to find hotspots and then development of efficient and cost effective approaches to reducing high pollutant loads if these don't already exist.
- i) **Erosion control on Council lands.** Fixing erosion sources on Council land is a core, on-ground activity that will significantly reduce sediments entering waterways, avoid nuisance water pollution and achieve substantial outcomes for dollars spent. In the next five years, this will be achieved through repairing gullies and scars, maintaining tracks and trails, planting pastures and trees, and ensuring a regular maintenance and inspection regime is implemented after repair and maintenance work is completed.
- j) **Artificial water bodies and Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) assets on Council lands.** There are 2000 dams in the Redland landscape, and about 140 are on Council land. The priority is testing management methods and unique solutions in the next five years and implementing better management and maintenance regimes of hard and soft water assets. A core requirement is to manage assets to meet service standards for risk and asset maintenance.
- k) **Waterways Extension Program.** A significant number of waterways are located on private land. Pollutant levels from rural areas exceed guideline values. Partnering with private landholders to implement waterway-friendly practices is the best way to ensure that best practice land management occurs in the upper catchment and rural / peri-urban areas of Redlands. (The Waterway Extension Program also generates significant community and landholder positive perception of Council).
- l) **Community awareness.** Awareness in the local community about waterway management and the work that Council is doing is important. The strategy supports regular consistent messages about the dos and don'ts of waterways health, the promotion of Council activity, and the promotion of positive outcomes.
- m) **Redland Planning Scheme.** The SEQ Regional Plan and the State Planning Policy 4/10 Healthy Waters will be addressed in the review of the Redland Planning Scheme 2015.
- n) **Auditing and reporting.** Auditing and reporting are required to track waterways health trends to support on-going investigations and to ensure that Council's efforts are delivering results.

CURRENT OPERATIONAL BUDGET FOR WATERWAY RECOVERY ACTIVITIES

Waterway recovery priorities are challenging. Council's current planning approach and the annual financial investment in waterways programs seems to have halted and stabilised the further decline in the health of Redland freshwater creeks. Council is contributing significantly, but additional funding is needed from other levels of government, and in particular the Queensland State government. Council has supported a business case directed at the State government through the Healthy Waterways Network and the Council of Mayors.

Responsibility for waterway management is well-integrated across Council and actions to address waterway recovery are spread across the operational and to a much lesser extent capital budgets. Council's operational expenditure on all waterway recovery related programs in 2011/12 financial year totalled \$1.3 million. This was funded substantially through the Environment Charge (56%) with the balance sourced from general revenue.

It is noted that current Environment Charge expenditure is the subject of a forthcoming Council workshop.

STRATEGY AND ACTION PLAN TO BE REVIEWED BY LATE 2014.

It is proposed to publish the Recovery Report, Strategy and Action Plan on Council's website for the information of community and industry groups. It is also intended that a review of the strategy and action plan will be conducted in 2014, subject to further direction by Council regarding budget priorities.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

The recommendation supports Council's Corporate Plan Outcomes for 'Healthy Natural Environment' and 'Embracing the Bay'.

Healthy natural environment

A diverse and healthy natural environment, with an abundance of native flora and fauna and rich ecosystems will thrive through our awareness, commitment and action in caring for the environment.

- 1.3 Protect our natural environment by restoring degraded landscapes, contaminated land and managing fire, pests and other hazards
- 1.4 Improve residents' understanding, respect and enjoyment of the local environment through stewardship and partnerships
- 1.6 Address the decline in the health of Redlands waterways and improve water quality, aquatic populations and their biodiversity

Embracing the bay

The benefits of unique ecosystems, visual beauty, spiritual nourishment and coastal lifestyle provided by the islands, beaches, foreshores and water catchments of Moreton Bay will be valued, protected and celebrated.

- 3.3 Ensure the ongoing health of the bay by managing creeks, wetlands and stormwater and by protecting natural areas surrounding the bay.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no additional financial implications impacting Council as a result of adopting the strategy as all implementation actions are funded from existing budgets. However, opportunities for future savings will be identified in line with Council budget priorities.

Advocacy for additional state investment in waterways recovery programs should continue through Council of Mayors and SEQ Healthy Waterways Network.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

Officers from the City Environment Unit will consult with the City-wide Planning Unit to ensure that the draft strategy is aligned to the review of the Redland Planning Scheme project.

CONSULTATION

Senior officers from various Council departments were consulted in the development of the Strategy, Action Plan and this report.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That Council resolve to:

- 1 Adopt for all planning and management purposes the Waterway Recovery Strategy to 2030, and the Action Plan 2013-2018; and
- 2 Note the stabilising trend in the condition and health of the City's waterways described in the Redlands Waterway Recovery Report 2012.

COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr J Talty

Seconded by: Cr W Boglary

That Council resolve to:

1. **Adopt for all planning and management purposes the Waterway Recovery Strategy to 2030 as amended, and the Action Plan 2013-2018; and**
2. **Note the stabilising trend in the condition and health of the City's waterways described in the Redlands Waterway Recovery Report 2012.**

CARRIED (unanimously)

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr M Elliott

Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That the Committee Resolution be noted.

CARRIED

15.1.2 FUTURE OF THE COMMUNITY IMMUNISATION PROGRAM

Datworks Filename: PH Immunisation Services

Responsible Officer: Toni Averay
General Manager Environment Planning and
Development

Author: Jennifer Haines
Service Manager Health and Environment

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Redland City Council currently offers a free immunisation service to the Redland City community. Council has determined to concentrate on core business activities as part of its Back to Basics mandate, in line with Council's policy *POL 3089 Back to Basics*.

As there will be significant benefit in Council making a formal decision (resolution) on this matter without delay, it is recommended that the Committee use delegated authority for formal decision making on this matter, in accordance with Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009* and Council's resolution of the Post Election Meeting 17 May 2012, (Item 7). The significant benefits relates to financial benefits to all parties.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to confirm Council's decision to withdraw from the Community Immunisation Program.

Immunisation is not a core service for Council. It is noted that alternative service providers are available to deliver this service.

BACKGROUND

Council has previously resolved to withdraw from the provision of School Based Immunisation Services (refer Resolution General Meeting 30 November 2011 Item 15.1.4).

Redland City Council currently provides free of charge vaccinations at community clinics. This service is delivered on Council's behalf under a contract arrangement by a provider with administration and supervision by Council's Environmental Health Officers.

ISSUES

The overall cost of the Community Immunisation Program is partly offset by funding from both the State and Federal Governments. The Local Government Association of Queensland (LGAQ) delivers the funding to Queensland Councils as part of the Queensland Health's funding initiative to support local Council immunisation programs.

Medicare Australia also delivers regular payments to Council via the Australian Childhood Immunisation Register (ACIR) based directly on the number of children vaccinated per month. 521 people have attended Council community immunisation

clinics since 1 June 2012 and 1040 vaccines have been administered. The types of vaccines administered at the clinics are for the prevention of Diphtheria, Polio, Measles, Chickenpox, Tetanus, Haemophilus influenza type B, Mumps, Hepatitis A, Whooping cough, Pneumococcal, Rubella, HPV (cervical cancer), Hepatitis B, Rotavirus and Meningococcal C.

The following table details attendance over the last three financial years.

Attendance at Redland City Council community immunisations clinics 2009 - 2012

<u>Financial Year</u>	<u>2009/10</u>	<u>2010/11</u>	<u>2011/12</u>
Clinic Attendance	1024	632	521
Vaccines Administered	1814	1256	1040

Council currently also manages its staff vaccination program under this program. These vaccines are administered by Council's immunisation contractor at community immunisation clinics. These vaccines are delivered to 'at risk' workers such as those likely to come into contact with infectious substances. Council also runs a yearly flu vaccination campaign in March/April for staff members. In March/April of 2012, a total of 259 staff members were provided with free flu vaccinations from Council as a result of this program.

No other public agencies within Redland City currently provide a formal immunisation service free of charge. It is not known if Queensland Health will endeavour to identify an alternative agency to provide the service once Council's decision is confirmed. However, the vaccines prescribed by the National Immunisation Schedule can be accessed privately and free of charge from many General Practitioners (GPs), although normal consultation fees will apply where GPs do not bulk bill.

EXIT STRATEGY

A draft exit strategy is being finalised for approval by the CEO. The strategy deals with the capital assets and resources for this program, a communication plan, as well as data and information management.

The communication plan will ensure that current clients are informed as early as possible with advice about alternative GP services. The local medical community will also be informed to ensure that they are aware of the potential for increased demand for vaccination services. However, it is considered that given the relatively moderate number of clients currently utilising Council's immunisation clinics, Council's decision will not have a significant impact on local medical practitioners.

Responsibility for the staff vaccination program will be transferred to Council's Safety and Wellbeing Unit.

As there will be significant benefit in Council making a formal decision (resolution) on this matter without delay, it is recommended that the Committee use delegated authority for formal decision making on this matter, in accordance with Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009* and Council's resolution of the Post Election Meeting 17 May 2012, (Item 7). The significant benefits relates to financial benefits to all parties.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

The Redlands 2030 Community Plan identifies a Healthy Community as a goal, which mentions a requirement that dedicated organisations promote and support physical, mental and spiritual wellbeing, and includes acknowledgment of a requirement for specialist medical services to meet the needs of a growing and ageing community as a goal. Additionally, Council's Corporate Plan identifies Strong and Connected Communities as a community priority and identifies increasing community safety, health and wellbeing by planning and delivering programs, services, partnerships, regulations and education as a strategy.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Withdrawing from the Community Immunisation Program will save Council approximately \$45,000 annually. Direct budget savings for the 2012/13 financial year based on a 31 December 2012 withdrawal date would be approximately \$20,585.30.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

There are no implications for the Redland Planning Scheme.

CONSULTATION

This matter has previously been workshopped with Councillors.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION/ COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr K Williams
Seconded by: Cr A Beard

1. That Council resolve to use Committee delegated authority for formal decision making in accordance with Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009* and Council resolution of the Post Election Meeting 17 May 2012 Item 7, as follows:
 - a. That Council confirm its decision to cease the Community Immunisation Program as of 31 December 2012;
 - b. That the Chief Executive write to Queensland Health, Medicare and the Local Government Association of Queensland to formally advise of Council's decision;
 - c. That Council note that an exit strategy is currently being finalised for approval by the Chief Executive Officer; and
2. The use of delegated authority is justified for the purpose of financial benefits to all parties.

CARRIED

DIVISION

FOR: Crs Boglary, Ogilvie, Hardman, Hewlett, Edwards, Williams, Talty, Beard, Bishop and Elliott.

AGAINST: Cr Gleeson

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr M Elliott

Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That the Committee Resolution be noted.

CARRIED

15.2 ENVIRONMENT PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

15.2.1 CATEGORY 1 - MINOR COMPLYING CODE ASSESSMENTS AND ASSOCIATED ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS, INCLUDING CORRESPONDENCE ASSOCIATED WITH THE ROUTINE MANAGEMENT OF ALL DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS

Datworks Filename: GOV - Development and Community Standards – Delegated Items

Responsible Officer: Bruce Macnee
Group Manager, Sustainable Assessment

Author: Kerri Lee
Business Support Officer, Sustainable Assessment

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the General Meeting of 27 July, 2011, Council resolved that development assessments be classified into the following four Categories:

Category 1 – Minor Complying Code Assessments & associated administrative matters, including correspondence associated with the routine management of all development applications;

Category 2 – Complying Code Assessments & Minor Impact Assessments;

Category 3 – Moderately Complex Code & Impact Assessments;

Category 4 – Major and Significant Assessments.

The applications detailed in this report have been assessed under Category 1 criteria - defined as complying code assessable applications, including building works assessable against the planning scheme, and other applications of a minor nature.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is for Council to note that the following decisions were made under delegated authority – Category 1 – Minor Complying Code Assessments and associated administrative matters, including correspondence associated with the routine management of all development applications.

1. Development Permit issued on 6 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a dwelling house at 19 Coorong Street, Macleay Island. Mr P.W. Phillips. (MCU012784)
2. Development Permit issued on 6 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a dwelling house at 6 Attunga Street, Macleay Island. Mr Robert P Smith and Mrs Judy A Smith. (MCU012925)
3. Development Permit issued on 6 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a small lot house at 312 Queens Esplanade, Thorneside. Mr Ian Selwyn Johnston. (MCU012920)
4. Development Permit issued on 10 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for a domestic outbuilding at

- 2 Tranquillity Court, Victoria Point. Mr G.J. Williams and Mrs D.A. Williams. (BWP001536)
5. Development Permit issued on 3 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for a domestic outbuilding at 7 Moongalba Road, Point Lookout. Mrs O.L McLennan. (BWP001535)
 6. Development Permit issued on 29 August, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for a domestic outbuilding at 5 Salcombe Court, Alexandra Hills. Adro Constructions Group. (BWP001534)
 7. Development Permit issued on 10 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for domestic additions at 176-178 Pioneer Road, Sheldon. The Certifier Pty Ltd. (BWP001541)
 8. Development Permit issued on 6 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for domestic additions at 21 Hawthornden Drive, Russell Island. Mrs D.E. Eveans. (BWP001544)
 9. Development Permit issued on 5 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for domestic additions at 151 Shore Street North, Cleveland. The Certifier Pty Ltd. (BWP001519)
 10. Development Permit issued on 4 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for domestic additions at 16 Browning Street, Russell Island. Ms R.A. Howard. (BWP001538)
 11. Development Permit issued on 31 August, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for a domestic outbuilding and domestic additions at 15 White Fig Place, Thornlands. Mr J. Andaloro and Mrs V. Andaloro. (BWP001524)
 12. Development Permit issued on 6 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a relative's apartment at 8 Birdwood Road, Birkdale. Ms M. Richter. (MCU012853)
 13. Development Permit issued on 3 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a dwelling house and private swimming pool at 210 Shore Street North, Cleveland. Mr T. Needham and Mrs D. Needham. (MCU012865)
 14. Development Permit issued on 4 September, 2012 for a material change of use for the construction of a private swimming pool at 13 Arthur Street, Wellington Point. The Certifier Pty Ltd. (BWP001484)
 15. Concurrence Agency Response issued on 3 September, 2012 for a dwelling house at 23 Sundown Road, Russell Island. Mr Michael D Hunt. (BWP001558)
 16. Concurrence Agency Response issued on 7 September, 2012 for a small lot house at 23 John Street, Thorneside. Casey Jackson Homes Pty Ltd. (BWP001559)
 17. Development Permit issued on 10 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a dwelling house at 26 Jicama Court, Thornlands. Haines Homes. (MCU012924)
 18. Concurrence Agency Response issued on 11 September, 2012 for a small lot house at 36 Riley Peter Place, Cleveland. Javica Property Solutions Pty Ltd. (BWP001563)
-

19. Concurrence Agency Response issued on 11 September, 2012 for a small lot house at 101 Main Street, Redland Bay. Devonbourne Homes Pty Ltd. (BWP001562)
20. Development Permit issued on 11 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for domestic additions at 3 Bunya Pine Place, Mount Cotton. Des Newport Consulting Engineers Pty Ltd. (BWP001570)
21. Development Permit issued on 12 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for a domestic outbuilding at 30 Rosella Street, Wellington Point. Mr L.J. Pampling. (BWP001545)
22. Development Permit issued on 12 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for a domestic outbuilding at 7 Fir Street, Victoria Point. The Certifier Pty Ltd. (BWP001550)
23. Concurrence Agency Response issued on 13 September, 2012 for a small lot house at 19 Rusbrook Street, Redland Bay. Bartley Burns Certifiers & Planners. (BWP001574)
24. Concurrence Agency Response issued on 13 September, 2012 for a small lot house at 32 Riley Peter Place, Cleveland. Javica Property Solutions Pty Ltd. (BWP001567)
25. Concurrence Agency Response issued on 17 September, 2012 for a small lot house at 21 Rusbrook Street, Redland Bay. Bartley Burns Certifiers & Planners. (BWP001572)
26. Development Permit issued on 14 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for a domestic outbuilding at 2C Toompany Street, Amity. Mr G.W. Heisig and Mrs C.J. Heisig. (BWP001528)
27. Development Permit issued on 17 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for domestic additions at 35 Coondooropa Drive, Macleay Island. Mr L.P. Wells and Mr B.C. Wells. (BWP001552)
28. Development Permit issued on 17 September, 2012 for operational works for an advertising device at 21-27 Enterprise Street, Cleveland. Mr P.M. Endacott. (OPW001348)
29. Concurrence Agency Response issued on 21 September, 2012 for a small lot house at 5 Riley Peter Place, Cleveland. Javica Pty Ltd. (BWP001573)
30. Development Permit issued on 20 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for a domestic outbuilding at 61 Barron Road, Birkdale. Mr S.A. Ferre. (BWP001551)
31. Concurrence Agency Response issued on 26 September, 2012 for a dwelling house at 11 Glenfield Avenue, Russell Island. Approveit Building Certification Pty Ltd. (BWP001568)
32. Development Permit issued on 24 September, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for a domestic outbuilding at 4 Carol Street, Redland Bay. Strickland Certification Pty Ltd. (BWP001555)

33. Development Permit issued on 28 September, 2012 for operational works for an advertising device at 67-107 Middle Street, Cleveland. The Trust Company Limited. (OPW001354)
34. Development Permit issued on 26 September, 2012 for operational works for an advertising device at 308-316 High Central Road, Macleay Island. City Syndicate Nominees Pty Ltd. (OPW001307)
35. Concurrence Agency Response issued on 27 September, 2012 for a dwelling house at 10 Ibis Street, Macleay Island. Mr Marin Basic. (BWP001578)

**OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr M Elliott

Seconded by: Cr A Beard

That the report be noted.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

15.2.2 CATEGORY 2 - COMPLYING CODE ASSESSMENT AND MINOR IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Datworks Filename: GOV - Development and Community Standards – Delegated Items

Responsible Officer: Bruce Macnee
Group Manager, Sustainable Assessment

Author: Kerri Lee
Business Support Officer, Sustainable Assessment

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the General Meeting of 27 July, 2011, Council resolved that development assessments be classified into the following four Categories:

Category 1 – Minor Complying Code Assessments & associated administrative matters, including correspondence associated with the routine management of all development applications;

Category 2 – Complying Code Assessments & Minor Impact Assessments;

Category 3 – Moderately Complex Code & Impact Assessments; and

Category 4 – Major and Significant Assessments.

The applications detailed in this report have been assessed under Category 2 criteria - defined as complying code assessable and compliance assessable applications, including operational works, and Impact Assessable applications without submissions of objection. Also includes a number of process related delegations, including issuing planning certificates, approval of works on and off maintenance and the release of bonds, and all other delegations not otherwise listed.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is for Council to note that the following decisions were made under delegated authority – Category 2 – Complying Code Assessments and Minor Impact Assessments. (Category 2 Report)

1. Development Permit issued on 28 August, 2012 for a material change of use for a dwelling house at 65A Whitehall Avenue, Birkdale. Mr D.E. Coskey and Mrs K. Coskey. (MCU012884)
2. Development Permit issued on 3 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a dwelling house at 85-91 Duncan Road, Sheldon. Landmark. (MCU012837)
3. Development Permit issued on 4 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a dwelling house at 41 Main View Drive, Russell Island. Adept Building Approvals. (MCU012903)
4. Development Permit issued on 10 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a dwelling house at 32-34 Pier Haven, Lamb Island. Mr K.M. Launt. (MCU012795)

5. Development Permit issued on 10 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a dwelling house at 20 Aquamarine Avenue, Russell Island. Bay Island Designs. (MCU012919)
6. Development Permit issued on 5 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a dwelling house and relatives apartment at 48-52 Railway Parade, Thorneside. Place Design Group Pty Ltd. (MCU012872)
7. Development Permit issued on 28 August, 2012 for a material change of use for a relative's apartment at 6 Casuarina Court, Capalaba. Adept Building Approvals. (MCU012911)
8. Development Permit issued on 6 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a relative's apartment at 1 Alice Street, Wellington Point. Ms T.N.Y. Tran. (MCU012896)
9. Development Permit issued on 31 August, 2012 for a material change of use for a small lot house at 47 Thorneside Road, Thorneside. Oceanview Construction. (MCU012912)
10. Development Permit issued on 30 August, 2012 for a material change of use to construct a dual occupancy at 143-145 Allenby Road, Wellington Point. Morpho Two Pty Ltd as Trustee. (MCU012817)
11. Development Permit issued on 31 August, 2012 for a material change of use for the purpose of a telecommunication facility at 240D Seaview Road, Mount Cotton. Bayside Community Radio Association. (MCU012511)
12. Development Permit issued on 30 August, 2012 for building works approval assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for domestic additions and a domestic outbuilding at 40 Tramican Street, Point Lookout. Ken Drew Town Planning Pty Ltd. (BWP001486)
13. Development Permit issued on 30 August, 2012 for a material change of use for the purpose of a park, community facility and outdoor recreation facility at 23 Nicholas Street and 2-50 Union Street, Russell Island. Redland City Council. (MCU012871)
14. Development Permit issued on 3 September, 2012 for a material change of use and building works assessed against the Redlands Planning Scheme for a relative's apartment, domestic outbuildings and domestic additions at 50 Rosella Street, Wellington Point. Mr D.W. Stevens. (MCU012850)
15. Development Permit issued on 10 September, 2012 for reconfiguration of lots (boundary realignment) at 84-86 Redland Bay Road, Capalaba. East Coast Surveys Pty Ltd. (ROL005623)
16. Development Permit issued on 4 September, 2012 for reconfiguration of lots (one into two lots) at 233-235 Birkdale Road, Birkdale. Bartley Burns Certifiers and Planners. (ROL005620)
17. Development Permit issued on 5 September, 2012 for reconfiguration of lots (access easement) at 21-31 Bloomfield Street and 143 Shore Street West, Cleveland. Mater Health Services. (ROL005609)
18. A Notice agreeing to extend the relevant period of an existing development approval was issued on 30 August, 2012 for reconfiguration of lots at 185 Long Street, Cleveland. Jean-Baptiste Yves Michel Canac as Trustee. (SB005399)

19. A Notice agreeing to extend the relevant period of an existing development approval was issued on 31 August, 2012 for reconfiguration of lots at 115 South Street, Cleveland. Cenefield Pty Ltd as Trustee. (SB005420)
20. A Notice agreeing to a change of approval was issued on 31 August, 2012 for a reconfiguration of lots at 100 Valley Way (137-139 Sanctuary Drive), Mount Cotton. Bayview Country Club Pty Ltd, Bennett & Bennett Consulting Surveyors (Gold Coast Office). (SB004042.8)
21. Development Permit issued on 12 September, 2012 for reconfiguration of lots (one into two lots) at 67 Bunker Road, Victoria Point. Statcorp Pty Ltd and TDH Carpentry Pty Ltd. (ROL005626)
22. Development Permit issued on 12 September, 2012 for reconfiguration of lots at 72-74 Muriel Street, Redland Bay. Ken Drew Town Planning Pty Ltd. (ROL005618)
23. Development Permit issued on 17 September, 2012 for a material change of use for the purpose of general industry (9 units) at 13-17 Enterprise Street, Cleveland. Building Code Approval Group Pty Ltd. (MCU012766)
24. Negotiated Decision Notice issued on 17 September, 2012 to vary an existing approval for a reconfiguration at 445 Old Cleveland Road East, Birkdale. Sutgold Pty Ltd. (ROL005577)
25. Development Permit issued on 24 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a small lot house at 110 Thomas Street, Birkdale. Perry Homes (Aust) Pty Limited. (MCU012922)
26. Negotiated Decision Notice issued on 20 September, 2012 to vary an existing approval for a reconfiguration and small lot house at 48 Bates Drive, Birkdale. Bartley Burns Certifiers and Planners. (ROL005608)
27. Development Permit issued on 24 September, 2012 for a material change of use for a combined commercial office, health care centre and shop at 153-157 Bloomfield Street, Cleveland. Eastpoint Holdings Pty Ltd as Trustee. (MCU012750)
28. Development Permit issued on 27 September, 2012 for a material change of use to operate a home business at 2-4 Oakwood Street, Capalaba. L. Brancato. (MCU012930)
29. Development Permit issued on 2 October, 2012 for reconfiguration of lots (two into three lots) at 81-83 Gordon Street, Ormiston. Outstanding Property Solutions 2 Pty Ltd as Trustee. (ROL005617)
30. A Notice agreeing to a change of approval was issued on 2 October, 2012 for an apartment building (x 2) at 4-6 Wharf Street, Cleveland. ABC Properties Development Pty Ltd. (MCU012588)

**OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr M Elliott

Seconded by: Cr A Beard

That the report be noted.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

15.2.3 CATEGORY 3 - MODERATELY COMPLEX CODE AND IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Dataworks Filename: GOV - Development and Community Standards – Delegated Items

Responsible Officer: Bruce Macnee
Group Manager, Sustainable Assessment

Author: Kerri Lee
Business Support Officer, Sustainable Assessment

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the General Meeting of 27 July, 2011, Council resolved that development assessments be classified into the following four Categories:

Category 1 – Minor Complying Code Assessments & associated administrative matters, including correspondence associated with the routine management of all development applications;

Category 2 – Complying Code Assessments & Minor Impact Assessments;

Category 3 – Moderately Complex Code & Impact Assessments;

Category 4 – Major and Significant Assessments

The applications detailed in this report have been assessed under Category 3 criteria that are defined as applications of a moderately complex nature, generally mainstream impact assessable applications and code assessable applications of a higher level of complexity.

Impact applications may involve submissions objecting to the proposal readily addressable by reasonable and relevant conditions. Both may have minor level aspects outside a stated policy position that are subject to discretionary provisions of the Planning Scheme. Applications seeking approval of a plan of survey are included in this category.

Applications can be referred to Development and Community Standards Committee for a decision.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is for Council to note that the following decisions were made under delegated authority - Category 3 – Moderately Complex Code & Impact Assessments. (Category 3 Report)

1. Development Permit issued on 12 September, 2012 for a material change of use for dwelling houses (x 8) and a reconfiguration of lots at 330-348 Main Road, Wellington Point. Suncoast Building Approvals. (MC007975 / SB004817)
 2. A Notice agreeing to extend the relevant period of an existing development approval was issued on 25 September, 2012 for a material change of use for multiple dwellings (x 22) at 25-27 Passage Street, Cleveland. Yung Developments Pty Ltd. (MC011035)
-

3. Development Permit issued on 27 September, 2012 for reconfiguration of lots (three into thirteen lots) at 19 Como Street, Ormiston. Mr M.C. Woodhead. (ROL005541)

**OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr M Elliott

Seconded by: Cr A Beard

That the report be noted.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

15.2.4 APPEALS LIST CURRENT AS AT 8 OCTOBER, 2012

Dataworks Filename: GOV - Development and Community Standards – Current Appeals

Responsible Officer: Bruce Macnee
Group Manager, Sustainable Assessment

Author: Daniel Zilli
Service Manager, Design and Co-ordination

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.	File Number:	Appeal 1880 of 2008 (SB004758.1A SB004758.1B MC007588)
Applicant:		Heritage Properties P/L
Application Details:		Material Change of Use (residential development) and Reconfiguring a Lot (1 into 35 lots (1A)) and Preliminary Approval affecting a Planning Instrument 268, 278, 296, 310, 332 & 344 Cleveland-Redland Bay Road, Thornlands
Appeal Details:		Applicant appeal against deemed refusal.
Current Status:		Conditions are being reviewed by appellants and Infrastructure Agreements are being finalised.
Hearing Date:		Judgment 12 April 2011. Appeal allowed. Adjourned to 26 October 2012.

2.	File Number:	Appeal 1963 of 2009 (MC010715)
Applicant:		JT George Nominees P/L
Application Details:		Preliminary Approval for MCU for neighbourhood centre, open space and residential uses (concept master plan). Cnr Taylor Rd & Woodlands Dve, Thornlands.
Appeal Details:		Applicant Appeal against refusal.
Hearing Date:		Adjourned for further review 25 October 2012.

3.	File Number:	Appeal 2675 of 2009. (MC010624)
Applicant:		L M Wigan
Application Details:		Material Change of Use for residential development (Res A & Res B) and preliminary approval for operational works 84-122 Taylor Road, Thornlands
Appeal Details:		Applicant Appeal against refusal.
Hearing Date:		Adjourned for further review 18 October 2012.

4.	File Number:	Appeal 2894 of 2011. (SB004896)
Applicant:		M & D Power
Application Details:		Reconfiguring a Lot (1 into 10 Lots) 18 Mainsail Street, Birkdale
Appeal Details:		Compensation Claim in relation to Council's refusal.
Current Status:		Further negotiations are underway.
Hearing Date:		Adjourned for further review 8 November 2012.

5.	File Number:	Appeal 3788 of 2011. (MC010623)
Applicant:		Karreman Resources P/L
Application Details:		Request to Change Development Approval for Extractive Industry 616-632 West Mt Cotton Rd, Mt Cotton
Appeal Details:		Applicant appeal against part refusal of request for Permissible Change.
Current Status:		Conclave meetings on hold. Without prejudice negotiations underway.
Hearing Date:		Adjourned to 25 October 2012.

6.	File Number:	Appeal 4947 of 2011 (MC011057)
Applicant:		Mulder
Application Details:		Material Change of Use for a Dwelling House 8 Edgewater Place, Lamb Island
Appeal Details:		Applicant appeal against deemed refusal.
Current Status:		Without prejudice meeting held Wed 18/04/2012. Clarification of issues in dispute. Appellant considering alternative design options.
Hearing Date:		Likely adjournment to 24 or 25 October 2012. Appellant seeking engineering review to ensure proposed dwelling and access is flood-free and waste trenching does not pollute the adjoining bay.

7.	File Number:	Appeal 5192 of 2011 (MC008414)
Applicant:		Cleveland Power Pty Ltd
Application Details:		Request to Extend Relevant Period for Bio-mass Power Plant and ERA # 17 70-96 Hillview Rd, Mt Cotton
Appeal Details:		Applicant appeal against refusal.
Current Status:		Review being conducted by experts.
Hearing Date:		Set down for hearing on 1 & 2 November 2012.

8.	File Number:	Appeal 342 of 2012 (BWP001388)
Applicant:		Seymour
Application Details:		Building Works for Domestic Outbuilding 309 Esplanade, Redland Bay
Appeal Details:		Applicant appeal against refusal.
Current Status:		Without prejudice negotiations underway. 28/09/2012 WOP email enclosing draft conditions – likely to settle matter.
Hearing Date:		Adjourned to date to be fixed.

9.	File Number:	Appeal 2951 of 2012 (MCU012308)
Applicant:		Magro
Application Details:		Material Change of Use for Shop and Indoor Recreation Facility 51-55 Island Outlook Avenue, Thornlands
Appeal Details:		Submitter appeal against approval.
Current Status:		No action at this stage.
Hearing Date:		Listed for mention on 19 October 2012.

10.	File Number:	Appeal 3363 of 2012 (SB004897)
	Applicant:	Heritage Properties P/L
	Application Details:	Material Change of Use (Rural Non Urban to Residential A) and Reconfiguring a Lot 337 & 401-451 Redland Bay Road, Capalaba
	Appeal Details:	Originating application requesting a permissible change
	Current Status:	No action at this stage.
	Hearing Date:	Court approved by consent on 27 September 2012.

Information on appeals may be found as follows:

1. Planning and Environment Court

- a) Information on current appeals and declarations with the Planning and Environment Court involving Redland City Council can be found at the District Court web site using the "Search civil files (eCourts) Party Search" service: <http://www.courts.qld.gov.au/esearching/party.asp>
- b) Judgements of the Planning and Environment Court can be viewed via the Supreme Court of Queensland Library web site under the Planning and Environment Court link: <http://www.sclqld.org.au/qjudgment/>

2. Redland City Council

The lodgement of an appeal is acknowledged with the Application details on the Councils "Planning and Development On Line - Development - Application Inquiry" site. Some Appeal documents will also be available (note: legal privilege applies to some documents). All judgements and settlements will be reflected in the Council Decision Notice documents:

<http://www.redland.qld.gov.au/PlanningandBuilding/PDOnline/Pages/default.aspx>

3. Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning (SDIP)

The DSDIP provides a Database of Appeals

(<http://services.dip.qld.gov.au/appeals/>) that may be searched for past appeals and declarations heard by the Planning and Environment Court.

The database contains:

- A consolidated list of all appeals and declarations lodged in the Planning and Environment Courts across Queensland of which the Chief Executive has been notified.
- Information about the appeal or declaration, including the appeal number, name and year, the site address and local government.

OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/ COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr M Elliott

Seconded by: Cr A Beard

That the report be noted.

CARRIED (en-bloc)

15.2.5 AMENDMENTS TO FEES AND CHARGES SCHEDULE 2012-2013

Dataworks Filename: GOV Fees and Charges Documentation
FM – Fees and Charges 2012/2013

AMENDED Attachment: [Amendments Fees and Charges Schedule 2012-2013](#)

Responsible Officer: Toni Averay
General Manager, Environment Planning and
Development

Author: Katie Hunter
Senior Advisor, Performance and Governance

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Council adopted the 2012-2013 Fees and Charges Schedule at its General Meeting on 27 June 2012.

A number of fees requiring clarification have been identified in the Fees and Charges Schedule which need to be corrected. Accordingly, this report seeks approval for the 2012-2013 Fees and Charges Schedule to be amended to reflect these changes. These amendments are detailed in Attachment 1.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to seek Council approval to amend the 2012/2013 Fees and Charges Schedules as detailed.

BACKGROUND

Following Council's adoption of the 2012/2013 Fees and Charges Schedule, a number of items were identified as requiring amendment and/or clarification in the schedule.

Amendments to Current Fees and Charges Schedule

The relevant corrections have been identified in the attached table titled Amendments to Current Fees and Charges Schedule 2012-2013 (Attachment 1). The document reflects areas where fees need clarification. This clarification has been identified following consultation with relevant Department officers and stakeholders. An explanation relevant to each proposed amendment is listed in the 'comments' section of the document.

ISSUES

The adoption of the proposed amendments to the 2012/2013 Fees and Charges Schedule will ensure clarity for Council's customers and reinforce the Department's commitment to customer service.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

The recommendation primarily supports Council's strategy 9.6 - Implement long term asset management planning that supports innovation and sustainability of service

delivery, taking into account the community's aspirations and capacity to pay for desired service levels.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There is a no impact on the Department's budget bottom line. The proposed changes are detailed in the attached document, '*Amendments to Current Fees and Charges Schedule 2012-2013 (Attachment 1)*'.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

There are no implications for the Redlands Planning Scheme.

CONSULTATION

All areas of the Environment, Planning & Development Department were consulted, in particular, Group Manager Sustainable Assessment.

CONCLUSION

That Council resolve to adopt the revised fees and charges as highlighted in the attached document.

OFFICER'S/COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved by: Cr P Bishop
Seconded by: Cr K Williams

That Council resolve that the proposed changes to the 2012/2013 Fees and Charges Schedule, as detailed in this report and in attachment 1, be adopted and become effective from 1 November 2012.

CARRIED

DIVISION

FOR: Crs Boglary, Ogilvie, Hardman, Hewlett, Edwards, Williams, Beard, Gleeson, Bishop and Elliott.

AGAINST: Cr Talty.

ADDENDUM – 18th October 2012

Following the Development Assessment and Community Standards Committee meeting of 17 October 2012, an amendment to the *Sustainable Planning Regulation 2009*, was recently adopted by the State Government. This allows building works, for class 1 buildings with on-site wastewater management systems, to be conducted without compliance permits. In order to protect public health and the neighbourhood environment, the Local Government has become the concurrence agency for non-sewered domestic additions.

Effective from 1st November 2012, this change of legislation has triggered the requirement for a new regulatory fee, in order to recover payment for this concurrence agency service.

Accordingly the attachment, Amendment to Fees and Charges Schedule 2012-2013, has been modified to include the new proposed fee; page 4, Concurrence Assessment Agency Fee.

**REVISED OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr M Elliott

Seconded by: Cr L Hewlett

That Council resolve that the proposed changes to the 2012/2013 Fees and Charges Schedule, as detailed in this report and in amended attachment 1, be adopted and become effective from 1 November 2012.

CARRIED

15.3 CLOSED SESSION

The Committee meeting was closed to the public under section 72(1) of the *Local Government (Operations) Regulation 2010* to discuss the following items, and following deliberation on these matters, the Committee meeting was again opened to the public.

15.3.1 Proposed Cleveland Central Business District Incentives Package

15.3.2 Committee Delegated Authority Appeal 5192 of 2011 – Cleveland Power Pty Ltd at 70-96 Hillview Road, Mt Cotton

15.3.1 PROPOSED CLEVELAND CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT INCENTIVES PACKAGE

Datworks Filename: LUP Projects – Cleveland CBD Project
Responsible Officer: Toni Averay
General Manager Environment Planning & Development
Author: Stephen Hill
Principal Advisor Local Area and Strategic Planning

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A confidential report from General Manager Environment Planning & Development was discussed in closed session.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION/ COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr M Elliott
Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

In recognition that the Cleveland CBD is a key regional activity centre playing a vital role in the history, lifestyle and culture, administrative and economic development of the City.

That Council resolve to:

1. **Adopt in principle the Cleveland Central Business District (CBD) Incentives Program consisting of financial and regulatory provisions as detailed in the addendum to this report as a stimulus to build confidence, activity and momentum in accordance with the following:**
 - a) **the proposed Incentives Program shall operate until June 2015;**
 - b) **land uses eligible for the Incentives Program shall include;**
 - i. **Aged Care and Special Needs Housing where part of a mixed use;**
 - ii. **Apartment Buildings where part of a mixed use ;**
 - iii. **Commercial Offices;**
 - iv. **Community Facility;**
 - v. **Education Facility**
 - vi. **Health Care Centre;**
-

- vii. Hotel;
 - viii. Indoor Recreation Facility (includes cinemas, convention centre and fitness centre);
 - ix. Refreshment Establishment;
 - x. Shop;
 - xi. Tourist Accommodation.
- c) Financial Incentives (such as waivers of infrastructure charges and application fees) included in the proposed Incentives Program, are subject to further financial and budgetary consideration, and
- d) Regulatory Incentives in the Proposed Incentives Program include:
- i. Fast tracking assessment of all material change of use applications consistent with the Cleveland Master Plan and the Redlands Planning Scheme within twenty (20) business days;
 - ii. Providing car parking concessions by adopting the Base Maximum rates for Activity Centres as identified in the “Transit Orientated development – Guide for Practitioners in Queensland” released by the Queensland Government for all material change of use applications consistent with the Cleveland Master Plan and the Redlands Planning Scheme;
 - iii. Undertaking a number of short term amendments to the Redland Planning Scheme which will ensure changes of tenancies within the major centres are self assessable for eligible uses, amending the Major Centre Zone Code to incorporate building height mapping as adopted in the Cleveland Master Plan and incorporating a new performance criteria into the Major Centre Code that supports an increase in height above the heights in the Planning Scheme Master Plan in circumstances where it is demonstrated that the proposed development supports the revitalisation and contributes to economic and employment/residential growth within the Cleveland CBD;
2. Rescind its resolution of Council 29th February 2012 namely:
- That Council resolve to establish a task force consisting of:*
- *Council officers;*
 - *Elected representatives;*
 - *Investment leaders in the Redlands;*
 - *Developers (large scale); and*
- to identify what is required to facilitate any redevelopment of Cleveland;*
3. In lieu of establishing a taskforce consider the appointment of a Cleveland CBD Revitalisation Special Committee consisting of no more than 5 Councillors in accordance with section 61 of the *Local Government (Operations) Regulation 2010* as part of the 6 month review of the
-

committee structure post election with the proposed charter and specific tasks as outlined in the addendum to this report; and

- 4. That the body of this report, the addendum and attachments be deemed confidential and treated as such in accordance with section 171(3) of the *Local Government Act 2009*.**

CARRIED

**15.3.2 COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY APPEAL 5192 OF 2011 –
CLEVELAND POWER PTY LTD AT 70-96 HILLVIEW ROAD, MT COTTON**

Dataworks Filename: MC008414
Responsible Officer: Bruce Macnee
Manager Sustainable Assessment
Author: Chris Vize
Senior Planner Design & Coordination

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A confidential report from Manager Sustainable Assessment was discussed in closed session.

**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION/
COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved by: Cr W Boglary
Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

1. That Council resolve to use Committee delegated authority for formal decision making in accordance with Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009* and Council resolution of the Post Election Meeting 17 May 2012 Item 7, as follows:
 - a. That the Preferred Option be adopted; and
 - b. That this report and its recommendations remain confidential pending the final outcome of the appeal; and
2. The use of delegated authority is justified for the purpose of meeting statutory timeframes.

The motion was **LOST** for the purposes of a delegated decision.

DIVISION

FOR: Crs Boglary, Ogilvie, Gleeson, Bishop and Elliott.
AGAINST: Crs Hardman, Edwards, Williams, Talty and Beard.

Cr Hewlett was not present when this motion was put.

In accordance with the Council Resolution at the Post Election Meeting on 17 May 2012, there must be eight Councillors who vote in favour of a resolution under Delegated Authority to Committee for the motion to succeed. Therefore, despite there having been a simple majority in favour of the recommendation, the motion was LOST for the purposes of a delegated decision.

This Committee Recommendation will now be listed for determination at the General Meeting scheduled for 31 October 2012.

*** This item was discussed in closed session as Item 17.1.1**

16 DIRECT TO COUNCIL REPORTS

16.1 CORPORATE SERVICES

16.1.1 FIRST QUARTER BUDGET REVIEW 2012/13

Datworks Filename: FM First Quarter Budget Review 2012/13

Attachment: [Q1 Budget Review 2012-13](#)

Responsible Officer: Martin Drydale
General Manager Corporate Services

Author: Grant Tanham-Kelly
Service Manager Strategic Finance

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report outlines the budgeted financial position as at 30 September 2012 following the first quarter of 2012/13 service delivery. The report also provides an overview of required and/or requested budget submissions to Council's 2012/13 revised budget.

Attached to this report are the following details:

- Revised Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for 2012/2013,
- Revised 2012/2013 Budgeted Statement of Cash Flows,
- Revised 2012/2013 Budgeted Statement of Financial Position (Balance Sheet),
- Summary and Detailed listing of Budget Review Submissions, and
- Revised 2012/2013 Operating Statements, Capital Funding and Other Items.

It is proposed that Council resolve to adopt the revised budget for 2012/13 at Redland City Council (RCC) consolidated level. In addition to this and in accordance with the Local Government Act 2009 (Qld), it is proposed that Council resolve to adopt the RedWaste and Redland Water financial statements that are presented in the attached documentation. The relevant pages are outlined within the Officer's Recommendation in this report.

PURPOSE

To address known budget expectations, significant forecast variances and consider budget review submissions.

BACKGROUND

This report presents a review of the 2012/2013 revised budget as at 30 September 2012. As part of Council's financial management framework, comprehensive quarterly budget reviews are undertaken across all groups within each department.

The first quarter budget review usually builds on the previous review of the budget and amends previous forecasts. It also includes new submissions based on previously unknown circumstances or information pertaining to the original budget submissions.

Council previously revised the 2012/13 budget on 29 August 2012 to include any carryover funding from 2011/12 to 2012/13 and to deliver on budgeted savings.

ISSUES

Budget review submissions included in this review have been categorised as:

- **New Projects and initiatives** – Projects and initiatives proposed by officers that have not previously been approved by Council for any level of expenditure.
- **New Submissions** – Adjustments and variations to existing projects or services and revenue estimates that would affect Council's surplus/deficit or cash position.
- **Operational Efficiencies** – Reductions to the existing project or activity budgets that will affect the Council's surplus/deficit or cash position.
- **Transfers** – Adjustments and variations to existing projects or services and revenue estimates that would not affect Council's surplus/deficit or cash position.

A total of 260 submissions have been included within this first quarter budget review. Full details of the submissions and their financial impacts are provided in the attachments to this report.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

9. An efficient and effective organisation

Council is well respected and seen as an excellent organisation which manages resources in an efficient and effective way

9.5 Ensure robust long term financial planning is in place to protect the financial sustainability of Council

9.7 Develop our procurement practices to increase value for money within an effective governance framework

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

This recommendation requires a change to the current year's revised budget and the accompanying attachments outline in detail the individual budget submissions as well as the projected financial statements forecast to 30th June 2013.

This proposed budget review forecast will increase the cash holding position for the year ending 30th June 2013 by \$6.90m and also increase the operating deficit by \$1.11m.

However, included in this operating result are 'one off' submissions of \$1.24m which will not impact on the budget position for 2013/14 resulting in total recurrent savings of approximately \$1.06m being carried forward into that year.

The operational 'one off' asks are predominately made up of:

- \$346k Reduction in revenue due to caravan park transition to QYAC
 - \$350k Operational expenditure for Contact Centre project
 - \$180k Project Manager for new billing project Redland Water
 - \$150k Redland Water VR termination payment
 - \$75k Termination payment for Group Manager City Enterprises
 - \$75k Operational expenditure for the VOIP project
-

The proposed revised budget for operational expenditure for 2012/13 (excluding depreciation) is \$181.42m.

This budget review results in nine of the December 2011 adopted Financial Stability and Sustainability Ratios being favourable against their respective targets. For those ratios that are outside of their desired targets, the adoption of this proposed revised budget will not impact Council's ability to make payments as they fall due.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

It is considered that the outcome of recommendations in this report will not result in any future amendments to the Redlands Planning Scheme.

CONSULTATION

Group managers in consultation with the Executive Leadership Group (ELG) undertook the development of this budget review. Councillors reviewed the budget submissions with ELG in a workshop held on Tuesday 30 October 2012.

OPTIONS

PREFERRED

That Council resolve to:

1. Adopt the Revised Budget for 2012/13 at Redland City Council consolidated level which refers to the following:
 - a) RCC Budgeted Statement of Cash flows – page 2 of attachment;
 - b) RCC Statement of Financial Position (Balance Sheet) – page 3 of attachment;
 - c) RCC Individual budget submissions – pages 4 to 26
 - d) RCC Operating and Capital Funding Statement – page 27 of attachment.
2. To meet the requirements of the Local Government Act 2009 (Qld), adopt the RedWaste and Redland Water Operating and Capital Funding Statements – pages 30 and 31 of the attachment.

ALTERNATIVE

That Council resolve not to adopt the revised budget for 2012/13 as presented in the Officer's Recommendation below.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That Council resolve to:

1. Adopt the Revised Budget for 2012/13 at Redland City Council consolidated level which refers to the following:
 - a) RCC Budgeted Statement of Cash flows – page 2 of attachment;
 - b) RCC Statement of Financial Position (Balance Sheet) – page 3 of attachment;
 - c) RCC Operating and Capital Funding Statement – page 27 of attachment;
2. To meet the requirements of the Local Government Act 2009 (Qld), adopt the RedWaste and Redland Water Operating and Capital Funding Statements – pages 30 and 31 of the attachment.

**REVISED OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr M Elliott
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That Council resolve to:

1. Adopt the Revised Budget for 2012/13 at Redland City Council consolidated level which refers to the following:
 - a) RCC Budgeted Statement of Cash flows – page 2 of attachment;
 - b) RCC Statement of Financial Position (Balance Sheet) – page 3 of attachment;
 - c) RCC Operating and Capital Funding Statement – page 27 of attachment;
 - d) Add a vehicle expenses reimbursement fund for Councillors for the amount of \$50k for the remainder of the 2012/13 financial year;
 - e) Add a Councillors small grants fund of \$110k for the remainder of the 2012/13 financial year;
 - f) Reduce the Councillors divisional capital fund down from \$333k to \$160k for the remainder of the 2012/13 financial year;
 - g) Approve \$75,000 capital expenditure for a project to expand Point Lookout amenities; and
3. To meet the requirements of the Local Government Act 2009 (Qld), adopt the RedWaste and Redland Water Operating and Capital Funding Statements – pages 30 and 31 of the attachment.

CARRIED

16.2 GOVERNANCE

16.2.1 EXPENSES REIMBURSEMENT AND PROVISION OF FACILITIES FOR COUNCILLORS – POL-3076 & GL-3076-001 PARTS A & B

Datworks Filename: GOV Councillors – Expenses Reimbursement Policy

Attachments: [POL-3076 – Expenses Reimbursement and Provision of Facilities for Councillors](#)
[GL-3076-001 \(Part A & B\) – Expenses Reimbursement and Provision of Facilities for Councillors](#)

Responsible Officer: Nick Clarke
General Manager Governance

Author: Nick Clarke
General Manager Governance

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report recommends some changes to the Expenses Reimbursement and Provision of Facilities for Councillors Policy (POL-3076) and Guidelines (GL-3076-001 Parts A and B).

These proposed changes relate to the reimbursement of business-related expenses incurred: during international travel; whilst using private motor-vehicles; and when incurring 'hospitality' expenses such as when attending local events.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to obtain Council approval for amendments to the Expenses Reimbursement and Provision of Facilities for Councillors Policy and Guidelines.

BACKGROUND

On 30 May 2012 by resolution, Council approved the Expenses Reimbursement and Provision of Facilities for Councillors Policy and Guidelines. A review of these documents led to some proposed changes. These proposed changes are detailed below.

ISSUES

The changes recommended are as follows:

1. Policy:

The *Policy Statement* on page 1 and the reference to *Related Policies* on page 3 are to be corrected to remove the reference to the document: "*Guidelines for Councils: Reimbursement of Expenses and Provision of Facilities for Mayors and Councillors*". The Department of Local Government has advised that this document is no longer current.

2. Guidelines:

Flexibility is provided to enable Councillors to opt to supplement their existing *Hospitality Expenses* budget from any unused part of their *Discretionary Training* budget. This provides Councillors with greater choice with regard to claiming business-related expenses incurred from attending local events and training.

Clarification is provided regarding Councillors using their own vehicles for Council business. Provision is made for Councillors to reclaim business-related vehicle expenses for the use of their private vehicles.

Whilst Council-funded international travel by Councillors requires Council approval (by resolution), flexibility is added to the Guidelines to enable the CEO to approve a recommendation by the Mayor for Council to reimburse a Councillor for Council business-related expenses incurred whilst travelling overseas.

This is limited to minor expenses incurred during travel that is not funded by Council. This reduces the red tape relating to expenditure of or less than \$1,000.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

8. Inclusive and ethical governance

Deep engagement, quality leadership at all levels, transparent and accountable democratic processes and a spirit of partnership between the community and Council will enrich residents' participation in local decision making to achieve the community's Redlands 2030 vision and goals

8.5 Be transparent and consistent in the way we manage the organisation, its risks and obligations and ensure we are delivering against our priorities

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

This recommendation requires a change to the current year's budget. The reimbursement of business-related motor vehicle expenses is included in the first quarter budget review which is being considered at this same meeting of Council.

PLANNING SCHEME IMPLICATIONS

There are no Planning Scheme implications.

CONSULTATION

The following were consulted: the Mayor, Councillors, Executive Officer to the Mayor, and Payroll Officer.

OPTIONS

PREFERRED

That Council approve the amended documents as presented:

1. Expenses Reimbursement and Provision of Facilities for Councillors Policy (POL-3076); and
2. Expenses Reimbursement and Provision of Facilities for Councillors Guidelines (GL-3076-001 Parts A and B).

ALTERNATIVES

1. That Council approve the amended documents with further changes.
2. That Council does not approve the amended documents.

**OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION/
COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved by: Cr M Elliott
Seconded by: Cr B Bishop

That Council resolve to approve the amended documents as presented:

1. **Expenses Reimbursement and Provision of Facilities for Councillors Policy (POL-3076); and**
2. **Expenses Reimbursement and Provision of Facilities for Councillors Guidelines (GL-3076-001 Parts A and B).**

CARRIED

16.3 ENVIRONMENT PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

16.3.1 FEE WAIVER DUNWICH POST OFFICE

Dataworks Filename: CRID506859 / BD154477

Responsible Officer: Toni Averay
General Manager Environment Planning & Development

Author: Samantha Bongiorno
PA to General Manager Development & Community Standards

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The owners of the Post Office and caretaker's residence on NSI, which recently burnt down in a much publicised incident, have now lodged an 'Information in Writing' planning application and a building application prior to the construction of new premises.

They have requested that the fees for these applications be waived.

BACKGROUND

In September the property owners made an application to Sustainable Assessment (CRID506859) for an Information in Writing advice to determine whether a new planning application was required.

They have been advised that a planning application will not be required. A fee of \$600 was paid for this service. On 17 October 2012 a building application was lodged at the front counter (BD154477).

The building fees have been assessed at \$4,477.10 but have not yet been paid. They consist of:

1. Assessment Fee for mixed classes 5,6,9 -\$4,015.00
2. Standard commercial inspection - \$298.10
3. Document lodgement fee - \$164.00

ISSUES

This is a partly commercial building which will be frequented by members of the public so fire safety standards apply and the level of assessment will be higher, hence the level of fee.

Given the unfortunate circumstances of the incident that caused the destruction of the original premises, and the importance of the Post Office to the local Dunwich community, it is considered appropriate for Council to consider a full waiver of all application fees as an ex gratia goodwill gesture.

It is also noted that the Conlons have contributed significantly to the Dunwich community over many years, not only through their operation of the post office, but also through Brian's work with the volunteer Rural Fire Service.

RELATIONSHIP TO CORPORATE PLAN

8. Inclusive and ethical governance

Deep engagement, quality leadership at all levels, transparent and accountable democratic processes and a spirit of partnership between the community and Council will enrich residents' participation in local decision making to achieve the community's Redlands 2030 vision and goals

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Current charges for assessment of all applications listed below:

Sustainable Assessment fee	\$600
Building Application fee	\$4,477.10
Total Application Fee	\$5,077.10

OPTIONS

PREFERRED

That Council resolve to:

1. Waive building application fees totalling \$4,477.10 for the owners of the Dunwich Post Office and residence as an ex gratia goodwill gesture; and
2. Refund the Application in Writing fee of \$600 previously paid and waive the Refund Administration fee.

ALTERNATIVE

That Council resolve to:

1. Approve a 25% discount for building application fees for the owners of the Dunwich Post Office and residence as an ex gratia goodwill gesture. Discounted application fee to be \$3,462.10; and
2. Approve a 25% refund for the Application in Writing fee of \$600 previously paid and waive the Refund Administration fee. Refund amount to be \$450.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION/ COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr C Ogilvie
Seconded by: Cr P Bishop

That Council resolve to:

1. Waive building application fees totalling \$4,477.10 for the owners of the Dunwich Post Office and residence as an ex gratia goodwill gesture; and
2. Refund the Application in Writing fee of \$600 previously paid and waive the Refund Administration fee.

CARRIED

17 CLOSED SESSION**MOTION TO CLOSE THE MEETING AT 11.10AM**

Moved by: Cr J Talty
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That the meeting be closed to the public under section 72(1) of the *Local Government (Operations) Regulation 2010* to discuss the following item:

17.1.1 Appeal 5192 of 2011 – Cleveland Power Pty Ltd at 70-96 Hillview Road, Mount Cotton

The reason that this is applicable in this instance is as follows:

“(f) starting or defending legal proceedings involving it.” (Council)

CARRIED

DIVISION

FOR: Crs Beard, Talty, Edwards, Hewlett, Hardman and Williams

AGAINST: Crs Bishop, Gleeson, Elliott, Ogilvie and Boglary

MOTION TO MOVE INTO OPEN FORUM AT 11.20AM

Moved by: Cr W Boglary
Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

That the meeting move into Open Forum to allow further discussion on this item.

CARRIED

MOTION TO MOVE OUT OF OPEN FORUM AT 11.50AM

Moved by: Cr P Bishop
Seconded by: Cr M Edwards

That the meeting move out of Open Forum.

CARRIED

MOTION TO REOPEN MEETING AT 11.59AM

Moved by: Cr M Edwards
Seconded by: Cr J Talty

That the meeting be again opened to the public.

CARRIED

17.1 ENVIRONMENT PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

17.1.1 APPEAL 5192 OF 2011 – CLEVELAND POWER PTY LTD AT 70-96 HILLVIEW ROAD, MT COTTON

Dataworks Filename: MC008414
Responsible Officer: Bruce Macnee
Manager Sustainable Assessment
Author: Chris Vize
Senior Planner Design & Coordination

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A confidential report from Manager Sustainable Assessment was discussed in closed session.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION/ COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved by: Cr W Boglary
Seconded by: Cr P Gleeson

1. That Council resolve to use Committee delegated authority for formal decision making in accordance with Section 257 of the *Local Government Act 2009* and Council resolution of the Post Election Meeting 17 May 2012 Item 7, as follows:
 - a. That the Preferred Option be adopted; and
 - b. That this report and its recommendations remain confidential pending the final outcome of the appeal; and
2. The use of delegated authority is justified for the purpose of meeting statutory timeframes.

The motion was **LOST** for the purposes of a delegated decision.

DIVISION

FOR: Crs Boglary, Ogilvie, Gleeson, Bishop and Elliott.

AGAINST: Crs Hardman, Edwards, Williams, Talty and Beard.

Cr Hewlett was not present when this motion was put.

In accordance with the Council Resolution at the Post Election Meeting on 17 May 2012, there must be eight Councillors who vote in favour of a resolution under Delegated Authority to Committee for the motion to succeed. Therefore, despite there having been a simple majority in favour of the recommendation, the motion was LOST for the purposes of a delegated decision.

This Committee Recommendation will now be listed for determination at the General Meeting scheduled for 31 October 2012.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved by: Cr M Edwards
Seconded by: Cr L Hewlett

That Council resolve as follows:

1. To accept the alternative recommendation as amended; and
-

2. That this report and its recommendations remain confidential pending the final outcome of the appeal, with the exceptions of points 1, 2 and 3 in the amended recommendation which may be made public after they have been presented to the Court.

CARRIED

DIVISION

FOR: Crs Beard, Talty, Edwards, Hewlett, Hardman and Williams

AGAINST: Crs Bishop, Gleeson, Elliott, Ogilvie and Boglary

18 MEETING CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Mayor declared the meeting closed at 12.01pm.

Signature of Chairperson: _____

Confirmation date: _____